

Winter Field Data Report for Water Quality Study for Hylife Foods Facility Expansion

Final Report

October 20, 2021

Prepared for:

Hylife Foods LP

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

111440368



This document entitled was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Hylife Foods LP (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Prepared by _____ (signature)

Johanna Theroux, M.Sc.

(signature) Megan Hughesman, M.Sc., P.Geol.

Approved by

(signature)

Stephen Biswanger, P.Eng.



Table of Contents

ABBRI	EVIATIO	DNS	
1.0	васко	GROUND	1.1
2.0 2.1 2.2 2.3	WINTE TIMING SAMPL PARAM 2.3.1 2.3.2	R WATER QUALITY PROGRAM G OF EVENTS ING SITES METERS <i>In-Situ</i> Water Monitoring Water Sampling	2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.2
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.3	METHO SITE S WATEF FIELD	DDS ELECTION AND ACCESSIBILITY R QUALITY MONITORING OBSERVATIONS	3.1 3.1 3.1 3.3
4.0 4.1	WINTE WATEF 4.1.1 4.1.2	R FIELD PROGRAM RESULTS R QUALITY RESULTS <i>In-Situ</i> Water Quality Laboratory Results	4.1 4.1 4.1 4.6
5.0	SUMM	ARY	5.1
6.0	REFER	ENCES	6.1
LIST O	F TABL	.ES	
Table 3 Table 3 Table 4	3-1 3-2 4-1	Summary of the <i>In-Situ</i> Water Monitoring Program Field Observations during the Winter 2020 Field Program Hydrology data collected at Sites 1-6 for the Winter 2020 Field Program	3.3 3.4 4.5
LIST O	F FIGU	RES	
Figure Figure	3-1 3-2	Conceptual Representation of Water Monitoring and Sampling Design Visual Representation of Monitoring/Sampling Locations at Sites 1-6 (top to bottom)	3.1
Figure	4-1	Temperature Readings from Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud River	4 2
Figure	4-2	Turbidity Readings at Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud River	4.3
Figure	4-3	Conductivity Readings at Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud Biver	лл
Figure	4-4	Nutrient Concentrations Observed in the Winter 2020 Field Program	4.4 4.7

Figure 4-5 Chloride and Fluoride Concentrations Observed in the Winter 2020 Water Quality Program						
Figure 4-6 Results of Laboratory Parameters Showing Effect of Wetland Area Downstream of R3 Innovations Outfall						
LIST OF APP	ENDICES					
APPENDIX A	FIGURES	A .1				
APPENDIX B	WINTER PHOTOLOG	B.1				

Abbreviations

 \bigcirc

BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon
IWWTF	Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility
Ν	Nitrogen
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
Ρ	Phosphorus
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TIC	Total Inorganic Carbon
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TN	Total Nitrogen
ТОС	Total Organic Carbon
TP	Total Phosphorus
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
WSC	Water Survey of Canada

Background October 20, 2021

1.0 BACKGROUND

The planned expansion of the Hylife Foods LP (Hylife) pork processing facility in Neepawa, Manitoba to process up to 9,000 hogs/day will increase the volume of wastewater to be treated by the associated R3 Innovations Inc. Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility (R3 Innovations IWWTF). R3 Innovations has been in operation since the IWWTF was licensed in 2009. The processing increase will result in a need for R3 Innovations to treat and discharge up to an additional 720 m³/day of effluent (totaling 2,290 m³/day) to the Whitemud River, a 46% increase over the existing licensed effluent volume of 1,570 m³/day. In addition, the Town of Neepawa currently operates a wastewater treatment lagoon system that discharges seasonally into the Whitemud River upstream of the R3 Innovations discharge point. The Town of Neepawa is currently upgrading their lagoon system with a new outfall structure and a continuous discharge and is scheduled to be operational in late 2020.

A review of the available information on the Whitemud River identified relatively little current hydrological and water quality information to describe the Whitemud River. Previous assessments of the Whitemud River, by Earth Tech (2008) for the initial development and licensing of R3 Innovations, included water quality data from the Province of Manitoba from 1990-1992 and hydrological data from the Water Survey of Canada (WSC) gauging stations 05LL011 and 05LL009 from 1961-1992.

A water quality study is underway to increase understanding of the current conditions in the Whitemud River (current state) and to support definition of the required R3 Innovations IWWTF treatment upgrades necessary to accomodate the planned increase in wastewater production. The study includes consideration of the combined effects of increased effluent discharge from R3 Innovations and the Town of Neepawa's upgraded lagoon wastewater treatment system on the Whitemud River.

The water quality assessment includes development of an open-water water quality model supported by data collected in 2019 with empirical context provided for the winter based on early 2020 data. This report summarizes the data collected in Winter (February) 2020.

Winter Water Quality Program October 20, 2021

2.0 WINTER WATER QUALITY PROGRAM

The field program for winter 2020 was developed by Stantec with consideration of the 2019 open water field program, the previous Earth Tech program, and knowledge of current conditions. Site selection was based off the 2019 field program, with six sites along the Whitemud River and the R3 Innovations effluent outfall selected for water quality monitoring, water sampling, and flow monitoring. In addition, water sampling and water quality monitoring was conducted at the point where the discharge from the wetland area downstream of the R3 outfall met the Whitemud River (Appendix A; Figure 1).

2.1 TIMING OF EVENTS

The winter water quality field program was completed over a total of four days from February 24-28, 2020. The collected data, in combination with other available data, will characterize the receiving conditions in the Whitemud River during ice-covered conditions. The winter 2020 dataset does not include the effect of the planned continuous release of the Town of Neepawa's wastewater lagoon system, which is expected to be online in late 2020.

2.2 SAMPLING SITES

The rationale and description of the six sampling sites along the Whitemud River is presented in Section 2.2 of the open water field data report (Stantec 2019). The R3 Innovations outfall was also selected for water quality and flow monitoring during the winter 2020 field program since it is the direct wastewater input of interest for the Hylife expansion. The R3 Innovations effluent discharges via outfall to a low-lying wetland area covering approximately 1.13 ha of land north of the effluent outfall. The wetland area drains to the Whitemud River via an apparent outlet 300 m downstream (northeast) of the R3 Innovations outfall structure at UTM coordinates 14 U 469135 m E 5565220 m N. A second apparent outlet from the wetland to the Whitemud River was also noted during the winter field program. It is located at UTM coordinates 14U 46898 m E 5565186 m N (150 m upstream of the primary/larger outlet). To better understand the effect of the effluent flowing through the wetland area, water quality monitoring and sampling was conducted at the confluence of the primary wetland outlet and the Whitemud River (Appendix B; Photo ID 5 and 6).

2.3 PARAMETERS

2.3.1 In-Situ Water Monitoring

In-situ water quality data was collected at all six (6) sites on the Whitemud River, the R3 Innovations effluent outfall, and the primary wetland outlet/river confluence as part of the winter water quality field program. Water monitoring was conducted at surface (approximately 10 cm below the bottom of the ice in hole) and bottom (approximately 10 cm above the bottom of the channel bed) at Sites 1-6 along the

Winter Water Quality Program October 20, 2021

Whitemud River, and in the mid-stream at the primary confluence and the R3 Innovations effluent. The *in-situ* parameters measured included:

- Water temperature (°C)
- Dissolved oxygen (DO) (mg/L)
- Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)
- pH
- Oxidation Reduction Potential (ORP) (mV)
- Turbidity (NTU)
- Total dissolved solids (TDS)(g/L)

Water velocity was also measured *in-situ* at Sites 1-6 and at the R3 Innovations outfall. Water velocity was not measured at the confluence to the Whitemud River, as it was assumed that the R3 Innovations outfall was the primary source of water flow into, and out of, the wetland. To estimate volumetric flow, the following *in-situ* measurements were made:

- Depth (m)– 1 m intervals across the channel width at Sites 1-6; point measurement at the R3 Innovations outfall
- Velocity (m/s)– 1 m intervals across the channel width at Sites 1-6 at 60% depth; point measurement at the R3 Innovations outfall

2.3.2 Water Sampling

Water samples were collected at all 6 sites on the Whitemud River, the R3 Innovations effluent outfall, and the primary wetland outlet/river confluence for analysis of the following parameters by an accredited laboratory (ALS Laboratories):

- Alkalinity HCO₃, CO₃, OH (mg/L)
- Biochemical Oxygen Demand Nitrogenous and Carbonaceous (mg/L)
- Silica Reactive Soluble (mg/L)
- Total Metals (µg/L)
- Ammonia (mg/L)
- Carbon Total Inorganic, Organic and Dissolved (mg/L)
- Total Nitrogen (mg/L)
- Chlorophyll a (mg/L)
- Phosphorus Soluble Reactive, Inorganic, Acid-Hydrolyzable, Dissolved and Particulate (mg/L)
- Fecal Coliforms (#/100 mL)
- E.coli (Escherichia coli) (#/100 mL)
- Nitrate and Nitrite (mg-N/L)

Winter Water Quality Program October 20, 2021

- Total and Volatile Suspended Solids (mg/L)
- Chloride and Fluoride (mg/L)

The analyte parameters were selected to be consistent with the 2019 open water field program, and to provide data for the winter water quality assessment.

Methods October 20, 2021

3.0 **METHODS**

3.1 SITE SELECTION AND ACCESSIBILITY

Sites 1-6 were selected to be consistent with the 2019 open water field program. The primary wetland outlet/river confluence site was selected to characterize the effect of the wetland on the R3 Innovations effluent quality and temperature before mixing with the river. Sites were accessed by vehicle on service, municipal, or private roads and by traversing riverbanks and on-ice by foot. Sampling and monitoring at Sites 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 was conducted approximately 50-75 m upstream from the open water field program locations to avoid areas of open water, suspected thin ice, large rocks and boulders, in order to prevent damage to the ice auger and reduce safety risks.

3.2 WATER OUALITY MONITORING

In-situ water quality monitoring was conducted at Sites 1-6, immediately downstream of the R3 Innovations outfall, and in the wetland, just upstream of the confluence of the primary wetland outlet/Whitemud River. In-situ water quality parameters were measured using a handheld multi-parameter Horiba U-52, rented from Maxim Environmental and Safety Inc. The unit was calibrated by Maxim Environmental and Safety Inc. on February 17, 2020 and was further calibrated in the field on February 27, 2020. Water guality monitoring was conducted at three subsampling locations across the stream width (left, middle, and right, facing downstream) for Sites 1-3 and at two subsampling locations across the stream width (left and right, facing downstream) for Sites 4-6 (Figure 3-1). The left, middle and right subsampling locations across the stream were selected based on sufficient depth of water under ice which often did not correspond to equally spaced subsampling locations (Figure 3-2). Surface (approximately 10 cm below ice-bottom) and bottom (approximately 10 cm from river bottom) measurements (denoted with suffix "S" for surface and "B" for bottom, respectively) were collected at each subsampling location, except for Site 5, the wetland outlet, and the R3 Innovations effluent. At these sites, a mid-stream monitoring point within the water column was selected, due to insufficient depth of water to discern surface from bottom.



Conceptual Representation of Water Monitoring and Sampling Design

Methods October 20, 2021

Channel velocity and effluent discharge data were collected to estimate effluent loading and streamflow characteristics during ice-covered conditions. Hydrological data was collected to profile stream flow characteristics and channel morphology at each of the 6 sites in the Whitemud River. At each site, the channel width was measured from ice edge to ice edge. Holes were drilled through the ice using a gasoline powered Eskimo Stingray Ice Auger (8" and 10" models) at 1 m intervals from mid-stream to nearshore, up until a minimum of 2 cm of water (or sediment bottom) was reached. Where suspected logs existed (Site 2), holes were drilled approximately 1.5 m upstream to prevent personal injury and/or damage to auger blades (Figure 3-2).



Figure 3-2 Visual Representation of Monitoring/Sampling Locations at Sites 1-6 (top to bottom)

Methods October 20, 2021

Depth of water (m), thickness of ice (m), and water velocity (m/s) were then measured in the holes containing open water across the width of the channel at 1 m intervals using an electromagnetic flow probe (Hach Flo-Mate FH-950/MF Pro with wading rod). Velocity and depth of water were also measured in the R3 Innovations effluent discharge by placing the flow probe vertically into the outfall pipe, measuring the effluent velocity and height of water in the pipe as it was discharged to the wetland area.

A summary of the *in-situ* winter water monitoring program, including sites and associated parameters, is presented in Table 3-1.

February 2020	Parameters	Location
Water Quality	 Water temperature (°C) Dissolved oxygen (DO) (mg/L) Specific Conductivity (µS/cm) pH Oxidation Reduction Potential (ORP) (mV) Turbidity (NTU) Total dissolved solids (TDS)(g/L) 	 Sites 1-3 left, middle, right, facing downstream; surface and bottom Sites 4 and 6 left and right facing downstream; surface and bottom Site 5 left and right facing downstream, approximately mid-depth R3 Innovations outfall directly downstream of outfall structure (0.5 m), at approximately mid-depth Wetland outlet in open-water at wetland outlet, mid-stream at approximately mid- depth
Hydrology	 Depth (m) Velocity (m/s) 	Sites 1-6 1 m intervals across channel width measured at 60% depth
	 In-culvert velocity, culvert width and water depth measurement in-culvert 	

 Table 3-1
 Summary of the In-Situ Water Monitoring Program

3.3 FIELD OBSERVATIONS

Sites were characterized through general field observations such as snow conditions, weather conditions, and notable site features. Notable observations included the open water in the wetland area with visible steam at the effluent outfall, vegetation growth of duckweed (*Lemna minor*), and visible small bodied fish (Appendix B; Photo ID 1 and 3). Observations indicated that the entire wetland area was open water. An open water plume (approximately 1-2 m in width), was also visible extending from the wetland outlet into the Whitemud River and continuing approximately 110 m downstream, covering an area of approximately 165 m² in the Whitemud River (Appendix B; Photo ID 5 and 8). In the open water plume, North American



Methods October 20, 2021

River Otters (*Lontra canadensis*) were also observed. At Site 6, flooding of the roadway from the fall was evident, as the road was covered in ice. Open water was also observed near the culverts at Site 6 (Appendix B; Photo ID 24). Snow thickness varied across sites ranging from approximately 3-5 cm at Site 6, to approximately 20 cm at Sites 1 and 3 (Appendix B; Photo ID 9 and 22).

Site	Weather	Snow thickness (cm)	Notes
1	Clear, sunny, -4°C	15-20	Audible flowing water under iceSand/mud substrate encountered on left bank
2	Calm, cloudy, -5°C	5-10	Woody deadfall encountered in-streamThick ice encountered
3	Overcast, -7°C	15-20	Thick ice encountered
4	Overcast, calm, light snow, -14°C	3-5	Sand/mud substrate encountered under ice
5	Overcast, calm, light snow, -16°C	5-10	Sand/mud substrate encountered under ice
6	Cloudy, -7°C	3-5	Open water visible near culvertsIce from flooding evident overtop of road
R3 Discharge	Mix of sun and cloud, -5℃	Open water	 Open water covering entire wetland area Steam coming off water from discharge Small bodied fish observed and duckweed (<i>Lemna minor</i>) abundant
Wetland outlet	Mix of sun and cloud, -5℃	Open water	 Several otters (<i>Lontra canadensis</i>) observed in open water plume in the Whitemud River downstream of wetland outlet. Open water plume approximately 1-2 m wide from wetland outlet to approximately 110 m downstream

 Table 3-2
 Field Observations during the Winter 2020 Field Program

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021

4.0 WINTER FIELD PROGRAM RESULTS

4.1 WATER QUALITY RESULTS

4.1.1 In-Situ Water Quality

In-situ water quality results for Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations effluent, and the wetland outlet are tabulated in Appendix C; Table C-1.

4.1.1.1 Dissolved Oxygen

Malfunction of the Horiba U-52, as indicated by unreasonably high dissolved oxygen (DO) measurements ranging from 20.07 mg/L to 49.82 mg/L, precluded reliable dissolved oxygen (DO) readings. The instrument was pre-calibrated on February 17, and field calibrated on February 26, 2020, per the manufacturer's published instructions, using National Institute of Standards and Technology traceable solutions and standards.

4.1.1.2 Temperature

Temperature readings at all sites showed similar readings from surface and bottom, with temperature ranging at Sites 1-6 from 0.17°C at Site 5 to 1.45°C at Site 4 (Figure 4-1). Temperature of the R3 Innovations effluent measured directly under the outfall pipe was 27.78°C. As the effluent passed through the wetland area, temperature was reduced as shown in the temperature reading at the wetland outlet of 3.58°C, contributing to the visible open water in the Whitemud River (Appendix B; Photo ID 5 and 8).

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021



Figure 4-1 Temperature Readings from Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud River

4.1.1.3 Turbidity

Turbidity measurements varied across Whitemud River Sites 1-6 with a minimum of 0 NTU (Site 1) to a maximum of 13 NTU (Site 3). The R3 Innovations effluent had a turbidity level of 79.5 NTU that decreased to 26.8 NTU at the wetland outlet (Figure 4-2). Turbulence at the outfall was observed as shown in Appendix B; Photo ID 25. For Whitemud River Sites 1-6, turbidity showed lower levels recorded at Site 1 (0 NTU) and increased levels downstream at Sites 4, 5 and 6 with turbidity readings of 8.0-12.6 NTU.

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021



Figure 4-2 Turbidity Readings at Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud River

4.1.1.4 Conductivity

Conductivity was elevated at the R3 Innovations outfall, with a reading of 1.65 μ s/cm, which fell to 1.61 μ s/cm at the wetland outlet. Lower conductivity levels were observed at Site 1 (0.989 μ s/cm) and increased downstream at Sites 4, 5 and 6 with conductivity readings between 1.05 μ s/cm and 1.1 μ s/cm (Figure 4-3).

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021



Figure 4-3 Conductivity Readings at Surface and Bottom (or mid-stream where not discernible) at Sites 1-6, the R3 Innovations Effluent, and the Confluence of the Wetland Area and the Whitemud River

4.1.1.5 Hydrology

In general, the channel shape at each site was approximately trapezoidal in shape, with the deepest portion of the channel near the middle of the stream width for Sites 1,2,3 and 4. The deepest portions of Sites 5 and 6 were towards the right bank, facing downstream (Table 4-1). Approximate stream widths (interpreted as width of the ice at each cross-section) at each site varied from 10-13 m; the presence of snow and shoreline vegetation obstructed a precise indication of the ice edge at each of the sites. Snow depth at each site varied from approximately 3 cm (Site 4 and 6) to 20 cm (Site 3). Ice thickness at each site also varied, ranging from 0.3 m (Site 1) to 1.14 m (Site 2). Water depth under ice varied across all sites with maximum depth of water under ice ranging from 0.22 m (Site 5) to 0.68 m (Site 2).

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021

	Site 1						Site 2				
Hole #*	Water dep (m)	th Ice thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)	Hole #*	Water depth (m)	Ice thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)
1	0.54	0.45	0.09	0.061	0.005	1	no water	1.14	0	n/a	n/a
2	0.58	0.45	0.13	0.078	0.010	2	1.32	1.14	0.18	0.071	0.013
3	0.7	0.42	0.28	0.028	0.008	3	1.52	1.12	0.4	0.06	0.024
4	0.78	0.36	0.42	0.037	0.016	4	1.68	1	0.68	0.193	0.131
5	0.72	0.3	0.42	0.144	0.060	5	1.45	1.06	0.39	0.059	0.023
6	0.44	0.4	0.04	0.004	0.000	6	0.52	0.51	0.01	-0.06	n/a
						7	no water	0.4	0	n/a	n/a
						8	no water	0.4	0	n/a	n/a
Total F	low Rate (m ³	/s)			0.100	Total F	low Rate (m³/s)				0.191
		Site	ə 3					Site 4			<u> </u>
Hole #*	Water dep (m)	th Ice thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)	Hole #*	Water depth (m)	Ice thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)
1	no water	0.83	0	n/a	n/a	1	No water	0.55	0	n/a	n/a
2	0.9	0.83	0.07	-0.015	n/a	2	0.76	0.58	0.18	0.042	0.008
3	1.06	0.95	0.11	0.041	0.005	3	0.96	0.7	0.26	0.16	0.042
4	1.18	0.95	0.23	0.080	0.018	4	1.06	0.78	0.28	0.178	0.050
5	1.32	0.85	0.47	0.196	0.092	5	1.06	0.9	0.16	0.086	0.014
6	1.34	0.72	0.62	0.253	0.157	6	1.06	0.8	0.26	0.086	0.022
7	1.2	0.65	0.55	0.097	0.053	7	0.88	0.7	0.18	0.125	0.023
8	0.62	0.56	0.06	0.040	0.002						
Total F	low Rate (m ³	/s)			0.328	Total F	low Rate (m³/s)				0.158
		Site	ə 5					Site 6			
Hole #*	Water dep (m)	th Ice thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)	Hole #*	Water depth (m)	lce thickness (m)	water under ice (m)	Flow (m/s)	Flow rate (m3/s)
1	0.64	0.42	0.22	0.222	0.049	1	1.02	0.68	0.34	0.208	0.071
2	0.56	0.4	0.16	0.206	0.033	2	1.02	0.82	0.2	0.139	0.028
3	0.56	0.4	0.16	0.145	0.023	3	0.96	0.86	0.1	0.157	0.016
4	0.56	0.4	0.16	0.26	0.042	4	1	0.8	0.2	0.114	0.023
5	0.57	0.42	0.15	0.184	0.028	5	0.88	0.72	0.16	0.033	0.005
6	0.48	0.4	0.08	0.099	0.008	6	no water	0.6	0	n/a	n/a
7	0.48	0.44	0.04	0.017	0.001	7	no water	0.6	0	n/a	n/a
8	no water	0.48	0	n/a	n/a						
Total F	low Rate (m ³	/s)			0.183	Total F	ow Rate (m³/s)				0.142
		R3 Disc	charge		iomotor of						
R	ep #	Height of water	Flow (m/s)	D	pipe (m)						
	1	16.5	1.113		0.2						
	2	16.5	1.075		0.2						
	3	16.5	1.039		0.2						
Volum	etric Flow Ra	te (m ³ /s)			0.03						
*Meas	*Measured in 1 m intervals from left to right bank, facing downstream										

Table 4-1 Hydrology data collected at Sites 1-6 for the Winter 2020 Field Program

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021

Culvert flow velocity was measured for the R3 Innovations effluent and channel flow velocity was calculated for Whitemud River Sites 1-6 using the method described in Appendix D of the open water report (Stantec 2019). A summary of the calculated volumetric flow rate at each site is presented in Table 4-1. Water Survey of Canada gauging stations on the Whitemud River are limited to seasonal operation (May-November) so comparisons to measured values were not possible for the winter field program.

4.1.2 Laboratory Results

Laboratory results for all sampling locations are tabulated in Appendix C; Table C-2. For water chemistry, field duplicate samples were within data quality limits (relative percent difference <25% if over 5x detection limit, and <100% if under 5x detection limit) with the exceptions of chlorophyll-a and pheophytin at Site 3-middle sample.

Parameters commonly used to characterize the effect of municipal and industrial wastewater discharges include BOD, coliforms, *E.coli*, DO, and nutrients. Concentrations of several parameters including nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus (total and dissolved), and total ammonia-N across Sites 1-6 are displayed in Figure 4-4.

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021



Figure 4-4 Nutrient Concentrations Observed in the Winter 2020 Field Program

The laboratory results show that *E.coli* concentrations varied across all sites; however, relatively elevated levels were seen at Site 2. *E.coli* ranged from a minimum concentration of 2/100 mL (Site 1) to a maximum concentration of 344/100 mL (Site 3). With the exception of Site 6-left sample, which reported a biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) of 33 mg/L, all other sites reported BOD concentrations near the laboratory detection limit of 2 mg/L

In the winter water quality program, nutrients were generally observed to increase from Site 1 to Site 2, with values generally decreasing further downstream. Across all sites, total nitrogen concentrations ranged from 1.2 mg/L (Site 1) to 1.72 mg/L (Site 4) and total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.05 mg/L (Site 1) to 0.09 mg/L (Site 4). For Total Ammonia-N, the most elevated concentrations were observed at Site 2 (0.18 mg/L – 0.19 mg/L) and the lowest concentrations were observed in the R3 discharge (0.018 mg/L) and at the downstream end of the study area (0.07 mg/L-0.071 mg/L) (Site 6, Figure 4-4).

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021

Chloride and fluoride were analyzed during the winter water quality program. The results showed elevated concentrations of both parameters in the effluent, with concentrations decreasing to relatively constant levels downstream (Figure 4-5).



Figure 4-5 Chloride and Fluoride Concentrations Observed in the Winter 2020 Water Quality Program

To determine the effect of the R3 Innovations effluent moving through the wetland area prior to discharging into the Whitemud River, samples were collected both directly from the R3 Innovations discharge and at the wetland outlet, located approximately 300 m downstream of the discharge, just before discharging to the Whitemud River. The results showed that nutrient parameters such as TIC, TOC, DOC, N, and P increased through the wetland area to the wetland outlet. Concentrations were relatively consistent (but elevated compared to Site 1 concentrations) from Site 2 downstream to Site 6 (Figure 4-6).

Winter Field Program Results October 20, 2021



Figure 4-6 Results of Laboratory Parameters Showing Effect of Wetland Area Downstream of R3 Innovations Outfall

Summary October 20, 2021

5.0 SUMMARY

The results of the February 2020 winter field program indicate that nutrients such as P (total, dissolved, inorganic, particulate) and ammonia are present in low concentrations (relative to background levels at Site 1) in the R3 Innovations effluent and appear to increase at the wetland outlet. Parameters including TIC, TOC, and DOC were present at low concentrations (relative to background at Site 1) at the R3 Innovations discharge and the wetland outlet, returning to background concentrations at Site 2 and remaining near background concentrations moving further downstream. Silica, used as an indicator for the presence of diatomic algae in the Whitemud River, was also present at low concentrations (relative to background; Site 1) in the R3 Innovations effluent and the wetland outlet, an expected result given the composition of the industrial wastewater, and returned to near background levels at Site 2. Chloride concentrations appeared to increase downstream of the R3 Innovations discharge (29.7 mg/L), relative to background levels observed at Site 1 (13.2 mg/L), indicating the potential presence of additional inputs at downstream locations from natural or manmade sources. Fluoride concentrations appeared to decrease to near background downstream of the R3 outfall (0.208 mg/L at Site 1-left compared to 0.196 mg/L at Site 6-left).

References October 20, 2021

6.0 **REFERENCES**

Earth Tech. 2008. Request for Alteration to the Town of Neepawa's Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility, Neepawa, Manitoba. Earth Tech Canada Inc. Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Stantec. 2019. Field Data Report for Water Quality Study for Hylife Foods Facility Expansion. Stantec Consulting Ltd. Winnipeg, MB.

APPENDICES

Appendix A Figures October 20, 2021

Appendix A FIGURES





Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for eacuracy and completeness of the data.

Appendix B Winter Photolog October 20, 2021

Appendix B WINTER PHOTOLOG





Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 1	- a takanta	the man and the	and the second second
Wetland area downsti of R3 Innovations out	ream fall		and a
Direction: north		The second	
Survey Date: 2/24/2020	eta a		-
Comments: Abundant open water duckweed and small bodied fish present	, ,		
Photograph ID: 2			200
Photo Location: Wetland area downst of R3 Innovations out	ream fall		
Direction: northeast			and a star
Survey Date: 2/24/2020		Roy and a second	the -
Comments:			



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 3 Photo Location: R3 Innovations effluer outfall structure	nt		All and a set
Direction: n/a			
Survey Date: 2/24/2020			
Comments: Continous discharge temperature of approximately 27C	with		
Photograph ID: 4	and the second s	1999 - B	1000 C
Photo Location: Secondary confluence observed on Whitemu River	e Id		
Direction: south	A D Mary sydney	Connection	
Survey Date: 2/24/2020			No. Contraction
Comments: Identified by area of o water coming off weth area	pen and		



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 5			
Photo Location: Primary confluence observed on Whitemu River	d bu	1A	
Direction: southeast	S. alles		Mar Contractor
Survey Date: 2/24/2020		The second	
Comments: Sampling location "Confluence"			
Photograph ID: 6	Service No.	Va Stre	and the
Photo Location: Open water plume downstream of conflu on Whitemud River	ence		Vine K
Direction: southeast			
Survey Date: 2/24/2020	and the second	- No A	the second
Comments:			



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 7		-	
Photo Location: Open water plume downstream of conflu facing upstream	ence,	-	X
Direction: west			
Survey Date: 2/24/2020		Sec. and	
Comments:			
Photograph ID: 8	1		- A # 10-
Photo Location: Open water plume, fa downstream	cing	The state	
Direction: northeast			A Real Provide State
Survey Date: 2/24/2020			
Comments: Plume approximately wide, 75 m length	1-2 m		



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 9 Photo Location: Site 1, facing right bar	nk	in jumurlant	
Direction: east		and the	
Survey Date: 2/24/2020		Profession .	and the second sec
Comments: holes drilled approxim 1 m apart	nately		
Photograph ID: 10	LA CH		A CONCERCION
Photo Location: Site 2	and the second second	Jezy	
Direction: west			
Survey Date: 2/26/2020	-		and the second
Comments: water monitoring			



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 11 Photo Location: Site 2 facing downstr	eam		- Aller
Direction: west			
Survey Date: 2/26/2020		and a state	Lange and Aller
Comments:			
Photograph ID: 12	-		
Photo Location: Site 3 hydrology		the state	XXE
Direction: north	A		- defe
Survey Date: 2/25/2020			Sector P.S. The
Comments: holes drilled approxim 1 m apart	hately		



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 13 Photo Location: Site 3		m	the man and the
Direction: east		E IN THE	
Survey Date: 2/25/2020		de la come	there are
Comments: >1 m of ice cover and showing distance to re culvert	oad		
Photograph ID: 14			
Photo Location: Site 4, right bank		Martin	and the second sec
Direction: south			
Survey Date: 2/27/2020		0 -	
Comments: <5 cm snow cover			



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 15 Photo Location:		ENLAKE .	and the second
Site 4, facing upstream	m National Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna A		Will Million and a starter
Direction: west			131 E WATER AND AND A
Survey Date: 2/27/2020		Children C	the state of the s
Comments:			
Photograph ID: 16		1.1.1	
Photo Location: site 4, left bank	Wineter	A STATE	Market Market
Direction: north			The second states
Survey Date: 2/27/2020			March Start
Comments:	C. H. C. L. A. S. L.		



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 17 Photo Location: Site 5, facing left bank	<		
north	2.4	Ante	the set
Survey Date: 2/27/2020	Toward .		a contraction of the second se
Comments: showing holes drilled			
Photograph ID: 18			1
Photo Location: Site 5, facing downstr	eam	Æ	14
Direction: east	N/CA		
Survey Date: 2/27/2020	200		
Comments:		1	



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 19 Photo Location: Site 5, facing upstreau	n		
Direction: west		10 mar	
Survey Date: 2/27/2020		The part of the	
Comments:			
Photograph ID: 20			V. M.
Photo Location: Site 6, facing upstrear	n		a distant
Direction: southwest		Mr. and	
Survey Date: 2/27/2020			
Comments:		Mr	



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 21			All and a second
Photo Location: Site 6, facing downstru	eam		
Direction: east			
Survey Date: 2/27/2020			- Sec
Comments:			
Photograph ID: 22			all and a second
Photo Location: Site 6, facing left bank	(
Direction: north			the second
Survey Date: 2/27/2020		and the second	
Comments:			



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 23 Photo Location: Site 6 Direction: n/a Survey Date: 2/27/2020 Comments: hydrology			
Photograph ID: 24 Photo Location: Site 6, facing upstrear from bridge Direction: west Survey Date: 2/27/2020 Comments: showing open water a and ice jamming	n Ireas		



Client:	Hylife	Project:	111440368
Site Name:	Water Quality Study	Site Location:	Neepawa, MB
Photograph ID: 25	ANNAN KASADA	1. Sten 12	THA MIN
Photo Location: Wetland area, downst of outfall	ream		
Direction: n/a			and the stage of
Survey Date: 2/24/2020	- Lond Ma		
Comments: showing turbulence			
		1	

Appendix C Water Monitoring and Laboratory Data October 20, 2021

Appendix C WATER MONITORING AND LABORATORY DATA



Table C-1 Winter in-situ Winter Water Monitoring Data

Table C-1Winter	<i>in-situ</i> Winte	r Water Mor	nitoring Data	a													
Site name			Site	e 1			R3 In	novations	Wetland outlet	Site 2							
Monitoring point	1-1	eft	1-mi	ddle	1-ri	ght	Dis	scharge		2-left		2-middle		2-riç	ght		
Site ID	FL1-s	FL1-b	FM1-s	FM1-b	FR1-s	FR1-b	Hylife Outfall	Hylife Outfall		FL2-s	FL2-b	FM2-s	FM2-b	FR2-s	FR2-b		
Hole number monitored (facing downstream)	1	1	4	4	5	5	under pipe	3 m downstream of pipe	n/a	2	2	4	4	5	5		
Date	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	26-Feb-20	26-Feb-20	26-Feb-20	26-Feb-20	26-Feb-20	26-Feb-20		
Time	13:10	13:05	13:20	13:15	13:30	13:25	14:35	14:40	16:38	16:20	16:15	16:10	16:05	16:00	15:55		
Temperature (°C)	0.88	1.12	0.37	0.32	0.25	0.91	27.78	27.52	3.58	0.35	0.24	0.4	0.38	0.84	0.44		
DO (mg/L)	20.07	36.72	45.84	47.98	44.22	35.03	13.72	10.82	25.16	39.02	42.13	43.91	46.33	41.38	43.77		
Conductivity (uS/cm)	0.994	1.03	0.983	0.993	0.969	0.989	1.65	1.64	1.61	1.02	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.02		
рН	7.77	7.54	7.51	7.61	7.69	7.72	7.77	7.76	7.87	7.91	7.79	7.93	7.78	7.93	7.79		
ORP (mV)	166	178	186	180	176	174	132	136	122	139	157	164	177	176	187		
Turbidity (NTU)	0	0	0.1	0	0	0.7	79.5	90.2	26.8	4.6	6.9	3.9	4	3.7	5.9		
TDS (g/L)	0.635	0.665	0.629	0.636	0.62	0.633	1.05	1.05	1.03	0.654	0.656	0.652	0.649	0.649	0.656		

Site name			Site	e 3				Sit	e 4		Sit	e 5	Site 6				
Monitoring point	3-le	ft	3-mi	ddle	3-right		4-left		4-right		5-left	5-right	6-left		it 6-riç		
Site ID	FL3-s	FL3-b	FM3-s	FM3-b	FR3-s	FR3-b	FL4-s	FL4-b	FR4-s	FR4-b	FL5	FR5	FL6-s	FL6-b	FR6-s	FR6-b	
Hole number drilled/location	4	4	5	5	7	7	3	3	6	6	3	6	1	1	5	5	
Date	25-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	27-Feb-20										
Time	9:05	9:00	8:55	8:50	8:45	8:40	8:20	8:15	8:30	8:25	10:35	10:40	14:00	13:55	14:10	14:05	
Temperature (°C)	0.62	0.21	0.92	0.3	1.12	0.62	1.45	0.55	0.7	0.34	0.17	0.2	0.22	0.17	0.22	0.18	
DO (mg/L)	37.19	44.21	46.34	49.82	45.95	37.07	31.26	29.1	36.25	36.69	31.88	29.84	27.38	27.01	26.58	27.51	
Conductivity (uS/cm)	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.02	0.993	1.01	1.05	1.09	1.08	1.1	1.07	1.07	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.05	
рН	7.97	7.74	7.88	7.71	7.83	7.66	7.76	7.5	7.87	7.68	7.54	7.64	7.74	7.57	7.69	7.65	
ORP (mV)	146	154	145	151	144	150	205	228	185	200	190	173	194	204	192	196	
Turbidity (NTU)	11.8	13	10.7	12.3	11	13	10.7	12.6	11.9	12	10.3	10.4	8	9.4	8.4	10.8	
TDS (g/L)	0.645	0.648	0.644	0.655	0.634	0.646	0.671	0.697	0.689	0.702	0.683	0.682	0.676	0.677	0.678	0.673	

Table C-2 Laboratory Analytical Results for the Winter 2020 Field Program

													Site 3-						Site 5-left		
		Site Name	Site 1-right	Site 1-middle	Site 1-left	R3 Effluent	Wetland outlet	Site 2-right	Site 2-middle	Site 2-left	Site 3-right	Site 3-middle	duplicate	Site 3-left	Site 4-right	Site 4-left	Site 5-right	Site 5-left	duplicate	Site 6-right	Site 6-left
ALS		Sample ID	FRI	FMI	FLI	HYLIFE	CONFLUENCE	FR2	FM2	FL2	FR3	FM3	FM3 DUP	FL3	FR4	FL4	FR5	FL5	DUP-FL5	FR6	FL6
3/12/2020		ALS ID	L2420941-1	L2420941-2	L2420941-3	L2420941-4	L2420941-5	L2422058-1	L2422058-3	L2422058-2	L2421538-2	L2421538-3	L2421538-4	L2421538-1	L2422058-4	L2422058-5	L2422109-1	L2422109-2	L2422109-3	L2422109-4	L2422109-5
L2420941		Sampled	1:45:00 PM	2/24/2020 1:40:00 PM	1:35:00 PM	2/24/2020 2:49:00 PM	2/24/2020 4:55:00 PM	4:30:00 PM	2/26/2020 4:35:00 PM	2/26/2020 4:43:00 PM	9:14:00 AM	9:20:00 AM	9:20:00 AM		8:37:00 AM	8:45:00 AM	11:12:00 AM	10:59:00 AM	10:59:00 AM	2:29:00 PM	2:15:00 PM
Analyte	Units	LOR	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Volatile Suspended Solids	mg/L	2	2.2	2.1	2.2	<2.0	2.7	3.5	<2.0	2.7	3.9	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.1	3.9	<2.0	IP	2.2	<2.0	<2.0
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	2	2.1	<2.0	2.1	<2.0	5.1	5.6	5.1	4	10.5	9.1	9.5	9.7	6.4	6.9	2.7	<2.0	3.6	3.5	2.5
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	mg/L	1	402	398	397	184	279	412	415	415	393	396	396	401	405	406	406	401	406	408	404
Ammonia, Total (as N)	mg/L	0.01	0.131	0.13	0.132	0.018	0.129	0.182	0.183	0.19	0.141	0.138	0.139	0.158	0.075	0.078	0.102	0.097	0.094	0.07	0.071
Bicarbonate (HCO3)	mg/L	1.2	490	485	484	225	341	485	486	492	458	463	463	468	486	488	496	489	495	498	493
Carbonate (CO3)	mg/L	0.6	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	8.76	10.1	7.2	10.3	10.1	9.84	10.9	4.08	3.6	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60
Eluoride (E)	mg/L	0.04	0.214	0.227	0.208	0.65	0.38	0.246	0.236	0.235	0.223	0.226	0.218	0.234	0.24	0.228	0.207	0.207	0.204	0 199	0.196
Hydroxide (OH)	mg/L	0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	<0.100	<0.34
Nitrate and Nitrite as N	ma/L	0.07	0.34	0.343	0.337	4.35	4.72	0.538	0.534	0.546	0.663	0.645	0.646	0.686	0.824	0.767	0.632	0.629	0.628	0.733	0.716
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	0.04	0.34	0.343	0.337	4.35	4.72	0.538	0.534	0.546	0.663	0.645	0.646	0.686	0.824	0.767	0.632	0.629	0.628	0.733	0.716
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.02	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.050 *	<0.050 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *	<0.020 *
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.2	0.9	0.9	0.86	0.79	1.06	0.99	0.99	1.04	0.91	1.02	0.97	0.92	0.89	0.92	0.83	0.84	0.88	0.93	0.76
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	0.2	1.24	1.24	1.2	5.14	5.79	1.53	1.52	1.58	1.57	1.66	1.62	1.61	1.72	1.68	1.46	1.47	1.51	1.67	1.48
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	mg/L	0.003	0.0238 *	0.0159 *	0.0157 *	0.0217 *	0.0330 *	0.0235 *	0.0248 *	0.0236 *	0.0388	0.0444	0.0393	0.0395	0.0288 *	0.0160 *	0.0243	0.0232	0.0234	0.0191	0.017
Phosphorus (P)-Total Dissolved	mg/L	0.003	0.0358	0.0353	0.0361	0.034	0.0522	0.0497	0.0491	0.048	0.0539	0.0534	0.0526	0.0526	0.0591	0.0596	0.0499	0.0499	0.0509	0.0423	0.0405
Phosphorus (P)-Total Reactive	mg/L	0.003	0.0306	0.0160 *	0.0185 *	0.0211 *	0.0419 *	0.0203 *	0.0208 *	0.0203 *	0.0453	0.0455	0.0457	0.0457	0.0278 *	0.0206 *	0.0312	0.0229	0.0248	0.0224	0.0419
Phosphorus (P)-Total	mg/L	0.003	0.0527	0.0536	0.0535	0.0353	0.0964	0.073	0.0744	0.0762	0.0824	0.0841	0.0814	0.0859	0.0868	0.0892	0.0798	0.0802	0.0785	0.071	0.0698
Phosphorus (P)-Total Inorganic	mg/L	0.003	0.0504	0.0495	0.0493	0.028	0.0852	0.0702	0.0669	0.0724	0.0742	0.0762	0.0756	0.0751	0.078	0.0809	0.0749	0.0752	0.0725	0.065	0.0659
Hydrolyzable	mg/L	0.0042	0.0198	0.0335	0.0309	0.0069	0.0433	0.0499	0.0462	0.0521	0.0289	0.0307	0.0299	0.0294	0.0502	0.0604	0.0437	0.0523	0.0476	0.0426	0.024
Phosphorus (P)-Total Particulate	mg/L	0.0042	0.0169	0.0183	0.0174	<0.0042	0.0441	0.0233	0.0253	0.0282	0.0286	0.0307	0.0288	0.0333	0.0276	0.0296	0.0299	0.0303	0.0276	0.0287	0.0294
Silica, Reactive (as SiO2)	mg/L	1	36	36	36	9.4	17.1	34	34	35	33	35	34	33	33	34	34	34	34	34	33
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.5	8.6	8.46	8.87	3.54	6.75	8.13	8.69	8.74	8.64	8.75	8.74	8.73	9.02	8.64	8.5	8.29	8.29	7.61	8.07
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.5	8.83	9 37	8.76	3 75	6.28	87	79 8.56	8.72	8.52	8.51	85	8.52	8.63	8.6	8 38	8.37	8 36	7.96	8.1
Escherichia Coli	MPN/100mL	1	2 *	2 *	2*	<1 *	214 *	313 *	328 *	344 *	210	291 *	186	185	67	77	38	26	28	8	8
Fecal Coliforms	MPN/100mL	1	3*	5*	3*	<1 *	121 *	196 *	285 *	236 *	172	145	144	196	68	91	20	31	26	9	8
Aluminum (Al)-Total	mg/L	0.003	0.022	0.0222	0.0241	<0.0030	0.0555	0.0469	0.0547	0.0469	0.105	0.105	0.101	0.109	0.0898	0.0895	0.0477	0.0376	0.0434	0.0354	0.0381
Antimony (Sb)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.00031	0.00014	0.00013	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.0001	<0.00010
Arsenic (As)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	0.00573	0.00585	0.00577	0.00025	0.00351	0.00549	0.00549	0.00556	0.00531	0.00521	0.00526	0.00521	0.00461	0.00472	0.00451	0.0045	0.00444	0.00367	0.0036
Barium (Ba)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	0.271	0.272	0.267	0.0193	0.164	0.28	0.285	0.283	0.262	0.265	0.271	0.272	0.266	0.262	0.283	0.279	0.284	0.279	0.272
Beryllium (Be)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Bismuth (Bi)-Total	mg/L	0.00005	<0.000050	< 0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	< 0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	< 0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	< 0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
Boron (B)-Total	mg/L	0.01	0.057	0.057	0.052	0.085	0.068	0.064	0.063	0.064	0.058	0.062	0.059	0.058	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.062	0.063	0.063
	mg/L	0.000005	110	122	100	<0.0000050 37.1	81	117	116	110	116	120	116	113	118	117	120	110	115	117	115
Cesium (Cs)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.00010	0.000059	0.000024	0.000022	0.000013	0.000012	0.000016	0.000018	0.000018	0.00002	0.000015	0.000014	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Chromium (Cr)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	< 0.00010	0.0001	0.00011	0.00027	0.00017	0.00017	0.00018	0.00015	0.00029	0.00034	0.00026	0.00028	0.00025	0.00024	0.00014	0.00012	0.00015	0.00012	0.00012
Cobalt (Co)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	0.00018	0.00019	0.00019	0.001	0.00054	0.00026	0.00026	0.00026	0.00029	0.00031	0.00029	0.00031	0.00025	0.00025	0.00024	0.00023	0.00023	0.00022	0.00023
Copper (Cu)-Total	mg/L	0.0005	0.00078	0.0008	0.0014	0.00111	0.00129	0.00084	0.0009	0.00094	0.00104	0.00126	0.00118	0.00104	0.00102	0.00103	0.00087	0.00081	0.00086	0.00078	0.00092
Iron (Fe)-Total	mg/L	0.01	0.219	0.225	0.229	0.05	0.418	0.286	0.319	0.295	0.41	0.42	0.404	0.436	0.326	0.327	0.34	0.338	0.333	0.291	0.284
Lead (Pb)-Total	mg/L	0.00005	<0.000050	0.000071	0.000104	<0.000050	0.000128	0.0001	0.000102	0.000098	0.000228	0.000191	0.000226	0.000187	0.000137	0.000137	0.000081	0.000083	0.000068	0.000075	0.000073
Lithium (Li)-Total	mg/L	0.001	0.0534	0.0537	0.0478	0.0142	0.0325	0.0525	0.0512	0.0529	0.049	0.051	0.0497	0.049	0.0542	0.0532	0.0523	0.0516	0.0512	0.0525	0.0514
Magnesium (Mg)-Total	mg/L	0.005	56	55.7	57	108	81.1	60.4	61.3	61.4	62.6	64.4	63.7	63.7	62.6	61.4	60.1	59.7	60.5	59.3	58.4
Manganese (Mn)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	0.524	0.519	0.535	0.198	0.321	0.472	0.485	0.48	0.276	0.277	0.277	0.282	0.166	0.16	0.29	0.293	0.287	0.184	0.182
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	mg/L	0.00005	0.00169	0.00161	0.00153	0.00855	0.00478	0.0021	0.00213	0.00212	0.00209	0.00218	0.00219	0.00211	0.00302	0.00204	0.00175	0.00178	0.00172	0.00161	0.00159
Nickel (Ni)-Total	mg/L	0.0005	0.00107	0.0011	0.00122	0.00988	0.00493	0.00175	0.00174	0.00164	0.00197	0.00224	0.00192	0.00196	0.00199	0.00195	0.00185	0.00181	0.0018	0.00185	0.00176
Potassium (K) Total	mg/L	0.03	0.062	0.059	0.063	0.059	0.101	0.075	0.075	0.075	10.4	10.1	0.085	10.2	0.085	0.092	0.084	0.088	0.081	9.04	0.065
Ruhidium (Rh)-Total	mg/L	0.00	0.07	0.42	0.07	0.0612	0.0283	9.01	9.72	0.00442	0.00460	0.0047	0.00471	0.0047	0.00402	9.99	9.21	9.40	9.17	0.91	0.00
Selenium (Se)-Total	mg/L	0.00002	0.000184	0.000182	0.000182	0.00012	0.000163	0.000244	0.00023	0.000442	0.000221	0.000222	0.000201	0.000195	0.000213	0.000235	0.000217	0.000225	0.000196	0.000209	0.000181
Silicon (Si)-Total	mg/L	0.1	18.4	18.3	18.4	5.52	12.1	17.6	17.6	17.6	18.1	18.5	18.6	18	17.9	17.8	17.8	18.1	18.1	17.6	17.4
Silver (Ag)-Total	mg/L	0.00001	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site Name	Site 1-right	Site 1-middle	Site 1-left	R3 Effluent	Wetland outlet	Site 2-right	Site 2-middle	Site 2-left	Site 3-right	Site 3-middle	Site 3- middle duplicate	Site 3-left	Site 4-right	Site 4-left	Site 5-right	Site 5-left	Site 5-left duplicate	Site 6-right	Site 6-left
ALS		Sample ID	FRI	FMI	FLI	HYLIFE	CONFLUENCE	FR2	FM2	FL2	FR3	FM3	FM3 DUP	FL3	FR4	FL4	FR5	FL5	DUP-FL5	FR6	FL6
3/12/2020		ALS ID	L2420941-1	L2420941-2	L2420941-3	L2420941-4	L2420941-5	L2422058-1	L2422058-3	L2422058-2	L2421538-2	L2421538-3	L2421538-4	L2421538-1	L2422058-4	L2422058-5	L2422109-1	L2422109-2	L2422109-3	L2422109-4	L2422109-5
L2420941		Date Sampled	2/24/2020 1:45:00 PM	2/24/2020 1:40:00 PM	2/24/2020 1:35:00 PM	2/24/2020 2:49:00 PM	2/24/2020 4:55:00 PM	2/26/2020 4:30:00 PM	2/26/2020 4:35:00 PM	2/26/2020 4:43:00 PM	2/26/2020 9:14:00 AM	2/26/2020 9:20:00 AM	2/26/2020 9:20:00 AM	2/26/2020 9:32:00 AM	2/27/2020 8:37:00 AM	2/27/2020 8:45:00 AM	2/27/2020 11:12:00 AM	2/27/2020 10:59:00 AM	2/27/2020 10:59:00 AM	2/27/2020 2:29:00 PM	2/27/2020 2:15:00 PM
Analyte	Units	LOR	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water										
Sodium (Na)-Total	mg/L	0.05	22.9	23.1	23.3	138	74.3	25.9	26.3	26.4	27.5	28.1	28	27.8	26.6	26.1	25.2	25.3	25.3	24.5	24.1
Strontium (Sr)-Total	mg/L	0.0002	0.424	0.437	0.381	0.11	0.264	0.424	0.429	0.426	0.42	0.433	0.434	0.42	0.43	0.425	0.431	0.438	0.413	0.425	0.419
Sulfur (S)-Total	mg/L	0.5	56.4	55.9	56.2	10.7	33.5	53	52.9	53.1	53.5	54.2	54.8	54	55.8	55.6	48.8	49.7	49.3	46.8	46
Tellurium (Te)-Total	mg/L	0.0002	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
Thallium (TI)-Total	mg/L	0.00001	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010	<0.000010
Thorium (Th)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Tin (Sn)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	0.00013	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.00012	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.00014	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Titanium (Ti)-Total	mg/L	0.0003	0.00067	0.00089	0.00076	<0.00030	0.0017	0.00145	0.0018	0.00158	0.00319	0.0036	0.0035	0.00349	0.00268	0.00277	0.00153	0.00158	0.00142	0.00112	0.00134
Tungsten (W)-Total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Uranium (U)-Total	mg/L	0.00001	0.00398	0.00411	0.00328	0.000012	0.00184	0.00377	0.00378	0.0039	0.00409	0.00406	0.00414	0.00403	0.00413	0.00403	0.00359	0.00353	0.0034	0.0034	0.00335
Vanadium (V)-Total	mg/L	0.0005	<0.00050	0.00054	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.00064	0.00056	0.00058	0.00057	0.00077	0.00079	0.00083	0.00085	0.00086	0.00084	0.00066	0.00064	0.00065	0.00064	0.00062
Zinc (Zn)-Total	mg/L	0.003	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	0.0099	0.0056	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	0.0087	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030
Zirconium (Zr)-Total	mg/L	0.0002	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00024	0.00023	0.00023	0.00024	0.00029	0.00023	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00021	<0.00020
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	2	3.1	3.2	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2.9	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2.7	<2.0	3.7	<6.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	33
BOD Carbonaceous	mg/L	2	<2.0	<2.0	<2	<2	<2	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2.3	<2.0	2.9	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
Chlorophyll a	ug/L	0.1	2.01	2.05	2.22	<0.10	2.27	1.24	3.12	3.08	1.67	1.71	2.6	1.62	3.17	2.62	2	1.26	1.37	2.06	1.38
Phaeophytin a	ug/L	0.1	2.35	2.47	2.57	<0.10	2.02	1.97	3.75	3.67	2.65	2.88	4.11	2.57	4.2	3.64	2.91	1.96	2.09	2.8	2.13
* = Result Qualified Mouse-over the result to see the qualification.																					