

December 24, 2015

Project No: 151-01887-00

Asit Dey, P.Eng. Environmental Engineer MANITOBA CONSERVATION AND WATER STEWARDSHIP Box 80, 160-123 Main Street Winnipeg, MB R3C 1A5

Dear Mr. Dey:

RE: R.M. OF TACHÉ – COMMUNITY OF LORETTE WASTEWATER TREATMENT LAGOON EXPANSION -TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE RESPONSE – FILE No: 4482.10

We are in receipt of the December 1, 2015 e-mail correspondence from Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship regarding the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) comments received during the initial review period for the R.M. of Taché – Community of Lorette Environment Act Proposal (EAP). This letter intends to address and respond to the comments and requests for additional information following submission of the EAP report.

Environmental Compliance and Enforcement – Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship

 As with any new system, the operation will be subject to a learning curve as the operators determine how to maintain the system within the licenced parameters.

During the initial year or two of operation, we would request that flexibility be given to the RM to determine how the system will best operate. Ultimately,

this flexibility will allow them to decrease inputs and costs. It is reasonable to expect that during this period more frequent testing will be required to determine the system characteristics throughout the various scenarios of discharge. However, once the operators have confidence and a greater knowledge of the system, we expect that the frequency of the testing will decrease to at most, once every two weeks.

We therefore suggest that the testing mandated in the Licence not be overly restrictive in terms of the number and frequency of the samples required, as it may prove to be onerous and without value in the long-term operation of the facility.

Nelson Environmental Inc. is involved with the project, specifically with the aeration system and the phosphorus removal system. They have already completed jar testing to determine liquid aluminum sulfate (alum) dosing ratios. They will be also be involved in the commissioning of the phosphorus removal system and initially overseeing the lowering of the phosphorus levels in the effluent to below 1 mg/L.

 The most practical alternative for the R.M. of Taché is to landfill their alum sludge at this point. In the future, the RM has the option to investigate economical uses of the alum sludge.

Environmental Approvals – Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship

Attached is a completed wastewater treatment facility classification form for the Lorette WWTL facility.

Notice of Alteration No. 2

As previously discussed, the phosphorus removal system will be relocated approximately 125 metres south of the previous location. We will submit an engineering drawing when available. From our discussions, we understand that no fee is applicable for this minor alteration since the Environment Act Proposal is under review. If you have any questions regarding this TAC response or alteration notification, please contact the undersigned. We look forward to your response.

Kind regards,

WSP Canada Inc.

Jason Bunn, P.Eng. Environmental Engineer

enclosure

cc: Ms. Christine Hutlet, CAO - R.M. of Taché



also available online at http://www.manitoba.ca/certification

Please print clearly or type and follow the instructions on the application form. NOTE: If using Adobe Reader text can be inserted into form and tab between fields.

This application is pursuant to the Water and Wastewater Facility Operators Regulation issued under The Environment Act.

Name of Facility:

R.M. of Tache - Community of Lorette - Wastewater Treatment Lagoon

			_
Name of Facility Owner: (Municipality/Commission/ Company/Individual/etc)	R.M. of Tache		
Civic Address of Facility:	River Lot 8, Parish of L	orette	
Mailing Address of Owner:	Box 100, 1294 Dawson	n Road	
Postal Code: R0A 0Y0		Telep	hone: (204) 878-3321
Contact Person: Christine Hut	tlet	Positio	Dn: Chief Administrative Officer
Cell or Pager:	Fax: (204) 878-9	977	Email: christine@rmtache.ca
Is this a REAPPLICATION	? O Yes No		
treatment facility under the	Water and Wastewa s must be supplied, I	ater Fa	ded will be used to classify the wastewater cility Operators Regulation. In some cases nost cases it will only be necessary to
Forward the completed form	to:		Please direct questions to:
Director Environmental Assessment & Licensing Branch Manitoba Conservation 160 – 123 Main Street Winnipeg MB R3C 1A5	\$		Certification Program Coordinator Phone: (204) 945-7065 Fax: (204) 945-5229
FOR MAN	ITOBA CON	SER	VATION USE ONLY
	Operation ID #		
	Stakeholder ID #		
	Approval ID #		
	EO/DWO		

SYST	EM (choose all that apply)		
1.	New or proposed Facility seeking classification		
	Proposed start of operations (month / year)		
	Existing Facility seeking classification (in operation prior to December 31, 2005)	>	
	Facility has been in operation since (approximate month/year) 2000		
2	The facility WILL employ mechanical treatment processes	۲	
2.	The facility WILL NOT employ mechanical treatment processes	0	

SIZE	(refer to Supplemental Information for point designation) (2 point minimum to 20 point maximum)					
1.	Maximum population or part ser	ved, peak day	# 7,500			1-10
2.	Design flow average day (Circle volume option & units) OR Peak month's flow average day	Estimated or Actual O Estimated or Actual O O	1,516.8	 m³/day gal/day m³/day gal/day 		1-10

VARI	VARIATION IN RAW WASTE ¹ (choose all that apply) (0 point minimum to 6 point maximum)			
1.	Variations do not exceed those normally or typically expected	✓	0	
	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100-200% in strength			
2.	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100-200% in flow		2	
	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100-200% in strength and flow			
	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200% in strength			
3.	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200% in flow		4	
	Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200% in strength and flow			
4.	Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharges		6	
5.	Septage or truck-hauled waste discharge is accepted at the facility.		0 - 4	
5.	Estimated number of loads per day in peak haul times		0-4	

PREL	PRELIMINARY TREATMENT (choose all that apply)			
1.	Facility pumping of main flow		3	
2.	Screening or comminution		3	
3.	Grit removal		3	
4.	Equalization		1	

PRIM	PRIMARY TREATMENT (choose all that apply)			
1.	Clarifiers		5	
2.	Anaerobic treatment with biogas flare		10	
3.	Anaerobic treatment with biogas utilization facility		15	

SECO	SECONDARY TREATMENT (choose all that apply)			
1.	Fixed-film reactor		10	
2.	Activated sludge		15	
3.	Stabilization ponds without aeration (ie: sewage lagoon)		5	
4.	Stabilization ponds with aeration	✓	8	

TERT	TERTIARY TREATMENT (choose all that apply)			
1.	Polishing ponds for advanced waste treatment		2	
2.	Chemical / physical advanced waste treatment without secondary treatment		15	
3.	Chemical / physical advanced waste treatment following secondary treatment		10	
4.	Biological or chemical / biological advanced waste treatment		12	
5.	Nitrification by designed extended aeration only		5	
6.	Ion exchange for advanced waste treatment		10	
7.	Reverse osmosis, electrodialysis and other membrane filtration techniques		10	
8.	Advanced waste treatment chemical recovery, carbon regeneration		4	

9.	Media filtration CI	oth Disk Filter	~	5
ADD	TIONAL TREATMENT PROCESSES (choos	e all that apply)		
1.	Chemical addition: (Please list chemicals us One of Aluminum Sulfate or Ferric Chloric		~	0 - 6
2.	Dissolved air floatation (other than for sludge	e thickening)		8
3.	Intermittent sand filter			2
4.	Recirculating intermittent sand filter			3
5.	Microscreens			5
6.	Generation of oxygen			5
SOLI	DS HANDLING (choose all that apply)			
1.	Storage (other than for stabilization)			2
2.	Stabilization by storage (including any storage	ge afterwards)	~	4
3.	Gravity thickening			2
4.	Mechanical dewatering			8
5.	Anaerobic digestion of solids			10
6.	Utilization of digester gas for heating or coge	eneration		5
7.	Aerobic digestion of solids			6
8.	Air-drying of sludge	from Cloth Disk Filter	~	2
9.	Solids reduction (including incineration and v	wet oxidation)		12
10.	Disposal in landfill	from Cloth Disk Filter	~	2
11.	Solids composting			10
12.	Land application of biosolids by contractor			2
13.	Land application of biosolids by facility perso	pnnel		10

DISIN	FECTION (choose all that apply) (0 point minimum to 10 point maximum)	
4	Chlorination	F
1.	Ultraviolet irradiation	5
2.	Ozonization	10

EFFL	EFFLUENT DISCHARGE (choose all that apply) (0 point minimum to 10 point maximum)			
1.	Discharge to surface water (ditch or lake or)	v	0	
2.	Mechanical post-aeration		2	
3.	Direct recycling and reuse		6	
4.	Land treatment and surface or subsurface disposal		4	

INST	INSTRUMENTATION (choose one) (0 point minimum to 6 point maximum)			
1.	SCADA or similar instrumentation systems are used to provide:			
	Data with no process operation	\bullet	0	
	Data with limited process operation	0	2	
	Data with moderate process operation	0	4	
	Data with extensive or total process operation	0	6	

LABORATORY CONTROL ² (choose all that apply) (0 point minimum to 15 point maximum)				
1.	Bacteriological / Biological (0 point minimum to 5 point maximum)			
	Lab work done outside the facility	>	0	
	Membrane filter procedures		3	
	 Use of fermentation tubes or any dilution method of fecal coliform determination 		5	
2.	Chemical / Physical (0 point minimum to 10 point maximum)			
	Lab work done outside the facility	~	0	

• (List tests)	Push button or visual methods for simple tests such as pH or settleable solids	3
• (List tests)	Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, gas analysis, titration, solids content or volatile content	5
• (List tests)	More advanced determinations such as specific constituents, nutrients, total oils or phenols	7
• (List tests)	Highly sophisticated instrumentation such as atomic absorption or gas chromatograph	10

APPLICANT VERIFICATION

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT ALL INFORMATION IN THIS APPLICATION IS TRUE.					
Name of Applicant ³ : (Print) Jason Bunn, P.Eng					
Title: Environmental Engineer					
Telephone: (204) 477-6650	Fax: (204) 474-2864				
Email: jason.bunn@wspgroup.com					
Signature of Authorized Representative:	Date: 07/16/2015				

Print Application Form

¹The key concepts are frequency or intensity of deviation, or excessive variation from normal or typical fluctuations. The deviations in strength, toxicity, ratio of infiltration to inflow, or shock loads.

² The key concept is to credit laboratory analyses done on-site by facility personnel under the direction of an operator-in-charge with points from 0-15.

³ Applicant must be an authorized representative of the owner/operating authority (i.e. manager, P. Eng., or overall responsible operator).



Wastewater Treatment Form Supplemental Information

This is supplemental information for completing the Application for Wastewater Treatment Facility Classification Form only.

For exact definitions and text refer to Manitoba Regulation 77/2003, Water and Wastewater Facility Operators Regulation and amendment M.R. 162/2005, under The Environment Act (C.C.S.M. c E125).

A copy of the regulation is available by following the link for Manitoba Regulations at: http://www.gov.mb.ca/conservation/envapprovals/publs/index.html

Facilities are classified as follows:

Small system class

A wastewater treatment facility that otherwise meets the criteria of a class 1 wastewater treatment facility shall be classified in the small system class if

- a) it treats wastewater from a population of no more than 500; and
- b) no mechanical treatment processes are employed at the facility.

Classes 1 to 4

Wastewater treatment facilities shall be classified in classes 1 to 4 in accordance with the following table, on the basis of the number of classification points assessed under the classification point system set out in the Water and Wastewater Facility Operators Regulation.

Range of Classification Points	Classification
0 to 30	Class 1
31 to 55	Class 2
56 to 75	Class 3
76 or more	Class 4

Size

Points for size: (2 point minimum to 20 point maximum)

Maximum population or part served, peak day (1 point minimum to 10 point maximum). Points are assigned at 1 point per 10,000 population or part.

Design flow average day or peak month's flow average day, whichever is larger (1 point minimum to 10 point maximum). Points are assigned at 1 point per 4.5 megalitres per day or part.

Authorized Representative

Signatures for the Applicant Verification section must be an individual recognized by the Owner of the facility as able to sign official documentation (i.e. P.Eng., Manager, CAO, etc).