## Community Engagement Summary Dakota Plains Wahpeton First Nation

## Licensing and Environmental Assessment

Date	Methods of Engagement
February 21, 2008	Letter from Manitoba Hydro requesting an Introductory Bipole III Meeting with leadership
June 25, 2008	Introductory meeting with leadership.
August 15, 2008	Letter from Manitoba Hydro providing information about regional introductory public open
	houses and suggesting that a community open house be planned in the community
November 26, 2008	Round 2 Community Open House
May 26, 2009	Letter from Manitoba Hydro requesting participation in the ATK process
August 24, 2009	Letter from Manitoba Hydro indicating that Manitoba Hydro had selected a series of alternative
	route options and would like to hold a community open house in Dakota Plains First Nation
January 4, 2010	Manitoba Hydro sent a poster and fax for the Community Open House
July 27, 2010	Letter from Manitoba Hydro indicating that Manitoba Hydro had selected a preliminary
	preferred route for Bipole III
October 15, 2010	Round Four Meeting with Dakota Plains First Nation Leadership
October 18, 2010	Letter from Manitoba Hydro discussing ATK workshop process
November 4, 2010	Letter from Dakota Plains regarding the ATK workshop
November 5, 2010	Letter from Manitoba Hydro in response to Dakota Plains letter
November 16, 2010	ATK Group Workshop
March 8, 2011	Letter from Manitoba Hydro including education and employment brochures
January 26, 2015	MH sent a letter to Dakota Plains Wahpeton First Nation requesting to meet with the community
	to review the EPP. The letter was accompanied with a link to the following documents: the
	Transmission Access Management Plan, the Bipole III Environmental Protection Plan, the Bipole

	III Transmission Line Construction Environmental Protection Plan (CEnvPP) for Segments S1 and
	S2, and the Culture and Heritage Resource Protection Plan (CHRPP). The letter included a
	standard Bipole III Cultural and Heritage Resource Protection Notification Protocol for the community to fill-in and a printed copy of the Culture and Heritage Resource Protection Plan. A copy of the Access Management brochure was also sent.
April 7, 2015	Dakota Plains First Nation Community Environmental Protection Program Meeting



Category	Summary of Information	Response and/or Mitigation	EPP Component
Berries	Harvest plants, fruit, berry and	Manitoba Hydro will consider non-chemical	Vegetation
	traditional plant area and	vegetation management in clearly identified	Management Plan
	concerned about a loss of	sensitive sites that contain plants of	
	domestic income and an increase	importance to resource harvesters.	
	in financial burden		
EMFs	Concerns regarding impact of	Based on reviews by national and	
	EMFs on animals, plants, people.	international scientific agencies there are no	
		known adverse health effects associated with	
		EMF from ac or dc transmission lines.	
Sensitive site	Indicated that many sensitive	All construction activities will be monitored	Environmental
	sites have never been identified	by a Manitoba Hydro environmental	Protection Program
		inspector to ensure compliance with the	
		Environment Act licence and the	
		Environmental Protection Plan. Manitoba	
		Hydro has offered to meet with Dakota Plains	
		First Nation, to review the Draft	
		Environmental Protection Program (EPP) for	
		the Bipole III Transmission Project. The intent	
		of the meeting is to review the proposed	
		mitigation and monitoring plans and to	
		discuss the specific mitigation and	
		monitoring activities that relate to the	
		concerns raised by communities.	
Fishing	Concerns about loss of	Surface and groundwater quality will not be	Biophysical Monitoring
	recreational activities for	degraded whether or not they contain fish.	Plan
	community members and	Measures such as buffers and setbacks,	

Category	Summary of Information	Response and/or Mitigation	EPP Component
	potential shoreline damage to	erosion and sedimentation control measures	
	the Assiniboine River due to	and stream crossing measures will be	
	construction activities and	utilized. The final preferred route was	
	operations and maintenance.	selected to minimize stream crossings.	
	Also concerned about increased		
	access by non-community		
	members into area of high		
	cultural value for its fishing		
	resources and loss of fish habitat		
Heritage	Concerned about loss of	Manitoba Hydro acknowledges the need for	Culture and Heritage
resources	potential heritage resources	careful protection and respect for all culture	Resources Protection
		and heritage resources. The EPP includes a	Plan
		Culture and Heritage Resource Protection	
		Plan to safeguard and appropriately handle	
		culture and heritage resources discovered	
		during the construction of the Project.	
		Manitoba Hydro anticipates working with the	
		community to develop a protocol r in the	
		event of a discovery of a previously	
		unrecorded heritage or cultural resource.	
Hunting	Concerned about fragmentation	Manitoba Hydro has identified the following	Access Management
	and loss of hunting areas and	mitigation measures to reduce the effects on	Plan
	alternative food source. Hunt	traditional food:	
	prairie chickens, partridges and	Construction and site decommissioning	
	rabbits.	activities will be carried out during the winter	
		months;	

Category	Summary of Information	Response and/or Mitigation	EPP Component
		Where construction and site	
		decommissioning activities do not occur	
		during winter months, disturbances will be	
		minimized in areas of plants used by	
		Aboriginal people as identified through the	
		ATK process;	
		<ul> <li>Whenever possible, existing trails, roads</li> </ul>	
		and cut lines will be used as access routes;	
		<ul> <li>Hunting and fishing by Project personnel</li> </ul>	
		will be prohibited within Project footprint,	
		and firearms restricted in work camps;	
		<ul> <li>Manitoba Hydro will work with individual</li> </ul>	
		communities that have identified important	
		resource use sites that are in close proximity	
		to the Project Site/Footprint to minimize	
		potential effects	
Trapping	Trapping of beaver, muskrat and	In general, species that are trapped for fur,	Socio-Economic
	mink along river and creeks in	food and income respond similarly to	Monitoring Plan
	identified area along Assiniboine	disturbance as any other species of wildlife.	
	River for several generations.	However, some furbearing animals are	
	Concerns about increased access	generally not affected by winter transmission	
	for others and potential	line construction. Given the short-term	
	fragmentation of trap line areas.	duration of construction activities in any one	
		area, effects on furbearing animals and other	
		wildlife are expected to be minor.	