# KEEYASK TRANSMISSION PROJECT MAMMALS TECHNICAL REPORT

Prepared for Manitoba Hydro and Stantec Inc.

by Wildlife Resource Consulting Services MB Inc.

September 2012



# PREFACE

The following is one of several technical reports for Manitoba Hydro's application for environmental licensing of the Keeyask Transmission Project. This technical report has been prepared by an independent technical discipline specialist who is a member of the Environmental Assessment Study Team retained to assist in the environmental assessment of the Project. This report provides detailed information and analyses on the related area of study. The key findings outlined in this technical report are integrated into the Keeyask Transmission Environmental Assessment Report.

Each technical report focuses on a particular biophysical or socio-economic subject area and does not attempt to incorporate information or perspectives from other subject areas with the exception of Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge (ATK). Applicable ATK is incorporated where available at time of submission. Most potentially significant issues identified in the various technical reports are generally avoided through the Site Selection and Environmental Assessment (SSEA) process. Any potentially significant effects not avoided in this process are identified in the Environmental Assessment Report along with various mitigation options that would address those potential effects.

While the format of the technical reports varies between each discipline, the reports generally contain the following:

- Methods and procedures.
- Study Area characterization.
- Description and evaluation of alternative routes and infrastructure sites.
- Review of potential effects associated with the preferred transmission routes and station sites.

Following receipt of the required environmental approvals, an Environmental Protection Plan (EnvPP) will be completed and will outline specific mitigation measures to be applied during construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed Keeyask Transmission Project. An EnvPP is typically developed from a balance of each specialist's recommendations and external input.

Each of the technical reports is based on fieldwork and analysis undertaken throughout the various stages of the SSEA process for the Project. The technical reports are as follows:

- Technical Report 1: Aquatics Environment
- Technical Report 2: Terrestrial Habitat, Ecosystems and Plants
- Technical Report 3: Amphibians
- Technical Report 4: Avian

- Technical Report 5: Mammals
- Technical Report 6: Forestry
- Technical Report 7: Socio-economic Environment
- Technical Report 8: Heritage Resources
- Technical Report 9: Tataskweyak Cree Nation Report on Keeyask Transmission Project

The technical reports contain more detail on individual subject areas than is provided in the Environmental Assessment Report. The technical reports have been reviewed by Manitoba Hydro, but the content reflects the opinions of the author. They have not been edited for consistency in format, style, and wording with either the Environmental Assessment Report or other technical reports.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Chief(s) and Council(s) of the Tataskweyak Cree First Nation (TCN), Fox Lake Cree Nation (FLCN), War Lake First Nation (WLFN) and York Factory First Nation (YFFN) are gratefully acknowledged for their support of this program. We would also like to thank Victor Spence and Douglas Kitchekeesik of TCN, Ray Mayham of FLCN, Phillip Morris of WLFN and Evelyn Beardy of YFFN for arranging logistic support for field studies associated with the project.

We would like to thank Mr. James Matthewson, Ms. Fiona Scurrah, and Mr. David Block (Manitoba Hydro) for providing their guidance and for the opportunity and resources to conduct this assessment. Also thanked are Mr. George Rempel and Mr. Blair McMahon (Stantec Inc.) who provided technical support and direction during the course of this study and North/South Consultants Inc., who provided logistical support that made this study feasible. Special thanks are also extended to Dr. James Ehnes (ECOSTEM Ltd.) and staff, who are gratefully acknowledged for providing technical support throughout the study.

# **STUDY TEAM**

The study team consisted of personnel from Wildlife Resource Consulting Services MB Inc (WRCS) as well as field assistants from the Tataskweyak Cree First Nation (TCN), Fox Lake Cree Nation (FLCN), War Lake First Nation (WLCN), and York Factory First Nation (YFCN). WRCS personnel who have worked on this field project include Brian Kiss, Jonathan Hopkins, Justin Paillé, Peter Hettinga, Scott Johnstone, and Timothy Kroeker. Technical and research support staff included Jason Kelly and Andrea Ambrose, who are gratefully acknowledged for their support.

The following members of TCN, FLCN, WLFN, and YFFN are thanked for their local expertise and assistance in conducting winter and summer mammal field studies during 2009: Clayton Flett, Darren Chapman, Darryl Beardy, Eldon Spence, Elijah Coloumb, Gilbert Spence, Howard Beardy, James Beardy, Kelvin Kitchekeesik, Kenneth Ouskin, Leo Kirkness, Peter Massan, Stanley Beardy, and Terry Kitchekeesik.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTR	NTRODUCTION		
	1.1	PROJI	ECT OVERVIEW	
		1.1.1	Construction Power Transmission Line and Station	
		1.1.2	Unit Transmission Lines3	
		1.1.3	Keeyask Switching Station4	
		1.1.4	Generation Outlet Transmission Lines4	
		1.1.5	Radisson Converter Station Upgrades4	
	1.2	PROJI	ECT STUDY AREA5	
2.0	MET	HODS	AND PROCEDURES	
		2.1.1	Overview of Information Sources and Data7	
			2.1.1.1 Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Local Knowledge9	
			2.1.1.2 Mammal Surveys9	
			2.1.1.3 Mammal Habitat Models12	
	2.2	VALU	ED ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT SELECTION	
	2.3	EVALU INFRA	JATION OF ALTERNATIVE ROUTES AND STRUCTURE	
	2.4	PREF	ERRED ROUTE ASSESSMENT16	
	2.5	EFFEC	TS ASSESSMENT16	
3.0	STU	DY AR	EA CHARACTERIZATION17	
	3.1	STUD	Y AREA OVERVIEW 17	
	3.2	ENVIR	ONMENTAL SETTING 20	
		3.2.1	Small Mammals 20	
		3.2.2	Furbearers 21	
			3.2.2.1 Aquatic Furbearers21	
			3.2.2.2 Terrestrial Furbearers	
		3.2.3	Large Carnivores25	
		3.2.4	Ungulates25	

		3.2.5	Valued	Environmental Components	. 26
			3.2.5.1	Moose	26
			3.2.5.2	Caribou	28
4.0	EVA	LUATIO	ON OF	ALTERNATIVE ROUTES AND OTHER	
	INFF	RASTRI	JCTUR	Ε	. 32
	4.1	ALTER	NATIVE	ROUTE EVALUATION	. 32
		4.1.1	Constr	uction Power Transmission Line	. 33
			4.1.1.1	Small Mammals	33
			4.1.1.2	Aquatic Furbearers	34
			4.1.1.3	Terrestrial Furbearers	34
			4.1.1.4	Large Carnivores	34
			4.1.1.5	Ungulates	34
			4.1.1.6	Valued Environmental Components	34
			4.1.1.7	Construction Power Transmission Line Preference for Mammals	35
		4.1.2	Keeyas	sk Construction Power Station	. 36
		4.1.3	Unit Tr	ansmission Lines	. 36
		4.1.4	Keeyas	sk Switching Station	. 36
		4.1.5	Genera	ation Outlet Transmission Lines	. 37
			4.1.5.1	Small Mammals	37
			4.1.5.2	Aquatic Furbearers	38
			4.1.5.3	Terrestrial Furbearers	38
			4.1.5.4	Large Carnivores	38
			4.1.5.5	Valued Environmental Components	38
			4.1.5.6	Generation Outlet Transmission Lines Preference for Mammals	40
		4.1.6	Radiss	on Converter Station Upgrades	. 40
		4.1.7	Summa	ary of Inputs	. 41
5.0	EFFI			TIGATION	.42
	5.1	OVER	/IEW		. 42
	5.2	VALUE		RONMENTAL COMPONENTS	44
	<b>V</b> 1 <b>E</b>	5.2 1	Moose		44
		VI211	5.2.1.1	Construction	44
			V.4.1.1		

			5.2.1.2	Operation	48
		5.2.2	Caribo	u	49
			5.2.2.1	Construction	49
			5.2.2.2	Operation	52
	5.3	OTHER	R MAMM	ALS	54
		5.3.1	SMALL	MAMMALS	54
		5.3.2	AQUAT	IC FURBEARERS	54
		5.3.3	TERRE	STRIAL FURBEARERS	55
		5.3.4	LARGE	CARNIVORES	55
		5.3.5	UNGUL	ATES	56
	5.4	RESID	JAL EFI	FECTS	56
	5.5	INTER	ACTION	S WITH OTHER PROJECTS	60
		5.5.1	Moose		60
			5.5.1.1	Effects of Past and Current Projects and Activities	60
			5.5.1.2	Cumulative Effects of the Project with Past and Current Projects and Activities	61
			5.5.1.3	Cumulative Effects of the Project with Future Projects and Activities	61
		5.5.2	Caribo	u	62
			5.5.2.1	Effects of Past and Current Projects and Activities	62
			5.5.2.2	Cumulative Effects of the Project with Past and Current Projects and Activities	62
			5.5.2.3	Cumulative Effects of the Project with Future Projects and Activities	63
	5.6	MONIT	ORING		64
6.0	CON	CLUSI	ON		. 65
7.0	REFE		ES		. 66
8.0	GLO	SSARY	,		77
APPI	ENDIX	A SEI		ON CRITERIA FOR IDENTIFICATION OF	
	VALU	JED EN	VIRO	NMENTAL COMPONENTS	.79
APPI	ENDIX IN TH	( B HIS IE KEE	TORIC YASK	AL OCCURRENCE OF MAMMAL SPECIES REGION	. 90
APPI		СМА	MMAL	FIELD DATA SUMMARIES	. 96

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1:	Regional Study Areas from the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental	6
Table 2-1:	Number of Calving and Rearing Islands Surveyed Along Infrastructure Planned For the Project Infrastructure	.11
Table 3-1:	Mammal Species in the Project Study Area	.17
Table 3-2:	Moose Activity on Calving and Rearing Islands in the Project Study Area, 2010 and 2011	.28
Table 3-3:	Caribou Activity on Calving and Rearing Islands in the Project Study Area, 2010 and 2011	.31
Table 4-1:	Matrix Table of Sensitive Sites and Other Factors Associated With Infrastructure Planned For the Construction Power and Transmission Lines and Associated Structures	.32
Table 5-1:	Moose Habitat (ha) Overlapped by Components of the Keeyask Transmission Project	.45
Table 5-2:	Caribou Winter Habitat (ha) Overlapped by Components of the Keeyask Transmission Project	.50
Table 5-3: Table 5-4:	Residual Effects of Keeyask Transmission Project Components Residual Effects of the Keeyask Transmission Project by Project	.58
	Component	.59
Table 5-5:	Monitoring for Mammals	.64

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table 1-1:	Regional Study Areas from the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental	6
Table 2-1:	Number of Calving and Rearing Islands Surveyed Along Infrastructure Planned For the Project Infrastructure	11
Table 3-1:	Mammal Species in the Project Study Area	17
Table 3-2:	Moose Activity on Calving and Rearing Islands in the Project Study Area,	
	2010 and 2011	28
Table 3-3:	Caribou Activity on Calving and Rearing Islands in the Project Study Area, 2010 and 2011	31
Table 4-1:	Matrix Table of Sensitive Sites and Other Factors Associated With	
	Infrastructure Planned For the Construction Power and Transmission Lines	
	and Associated Structures	32
Table 5-1:	Moose Habitat (ha) Overlapped by Components of the Keeyask	
	Transmission Project	45
Table 5-2:	Caribou Winter Habitat (ha) Overlapped by Components of the Keeyask	
	Transmission Project	50
Table 5-3:	Residual Effects of Keeyask Transmission Project Components	58
Table 5-4:	Residual Effects of the Keeyask Transmission Project by Project	
	Component	59
Table 5-5:	Monitoring for Mammals	64
Table A- 1:	Mammal Ranking Selection Criteria for Species Most Likely to Occur in the	
	Project Study Area	86
Table A- 2:	Mammal Habitat in the Project Study Area	87
Table C- 1:	Mammal Signs Identified During the 2009 And 2010 Summer and Winter	
	Tracking Transects on the Construction Power Transmission Lines	114
Table C- 2:	Mammal Signs Identified During the 2009 and 2010 Summer and Winter	
	Tracking Transects on the Generation Outlet Transmission Line	115
Table C- 3:	Mammals and Mammal Signs Identified During the 2009 and 2010 Aerial	
	Surveys Along the Generation Outlet and Construction Power Lines	115
Table C- 4:	Mammals and Mammal Signs Identified During the 2009 and 2010 Aerial	
	Surveys Along the Generation Outlet and Construction Power Lines	115
Table C- 5:	Species Detected Across 54 Construction Power Transmission Line	
	Ground Tracking Transects During Three Visits in Spring and Summer	
	2009	115
Table C- 6:	Survey Length and Area Covered During the 2009 Spring and Summer	
	Construction Power Transmission Line Ground Tracking Surveys	116

Table C- 7:	Species Detected Across Construction Power Transmission Line Ground	
	Tracking Transects During One Visit in Winter 201011	17
Table C- 8:	Survey Length and Area Covered During the 2010 Winter Construction	
	Power Transmission Line Ground Tracking Surveys11	8
Table C- 9:	Species Detected on Construction Power Transmission Line Ground	
	Tracking Transects During Three Visits in Spring and Summer 200911	9
Table C- 10:	Number of Muskrat Push-ups and Beaver Lodges Observed During the	
	Construction Power Transmission Line Aerial Survey Fall 2009 and Spring	
	2010	
Table C- 11:	Distribution of Moose Signs in Habitats on Construction Power	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects Over Three Visits 2009	21
Table C- 12:	Distribution of Moose Signs in Habitats on Construction Power	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects by Visit 200912	22
Table C- 13:	Distribution of Caribou Signs in Habitats on Construction Power	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects Over Three Visits 2009	24
Table C- 14:	Distribution of Caribou Signs in Habitats on Construction Power	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects by Visit 200912	26
Table C- 15:	Mammal Signs Identified During the Caribou Calving Island Study Adjacent	
	to the Generation Outlet and Construction Power Transmission Lines12	28
Table C- 16:	Distribution of Caribou Signs in Areas 34, 35, and 37 During the Caribou	
	Calving Island Study, July 200912	28
Table C- 17:	Mammal Signs Identified on the Generation Outlet Transmission Line	
	Ground Tracking Transects 2009 and 201012	29
Table C- 18:	Coverage of Habitat Types During the Generation Outlet Transmission Line	
	Ground Tracking Surveys Summer 200912	29
Table C- 19:	Coverage of Habitat Types During the Generation Outlet Transmission Line	
	Ground Tracking Surveys Winter 201013	30
Table C- 20:	Species Detected Across All Generation Outlet Transmission Line Ground	
	Tracking Transects Over Three Visits Summer 200913	30
Table C- 21:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Ground	
	Tracking Transects by Visit Summer 200913	31
Table C- 22:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option A Ground Tracking Transects During Three Visits Summer	
	200913	32
Table C- 23:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option B Ground Tracking Transects During Three Visits Summer	
	200913	33
Table C- 24:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option C Ground Tracking Transects During Three Visits Summer	
	200913	34

120

Table C- 25:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Ground	
	Tracking Transects Winter 201013	5
Table C- 26:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option A Ground Tracking Transects During One Visit Winter 201013	6
Table C- 27:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option B Ground Tracking Transects During One Visit Winter 201013	7
Table C- 28:	Species Detected on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Alternative	
	Route Option B Ground Tracking Transects During One Visit Winter 201013	8
Table C- 29:	Number of Muskrat Push-ups and Beaver Lodges Observed During the	
	Generation Outlet Transmission Line Aerial Survey Fall 2009 and Spring	
	201013	8
Table C- 30:	Distribution of Moose Signs in Habitats on Generation Outlet Transmission	
	Line Ground Tracking Transects Summer 200913	9
Table C- 31:	Distribution of Moose Signs in Habitats on Generation Outlet Transmission	
	Line Ground Tracking Transects Summer 200914	0
Table C- 32:	Distribution of Caribou Signs in Habitats on Generation Outlet	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects Over Three Visits Summer	
	200914	2
Table C- 33:	Distribution of Caribou Signs in Habitats on Generation Outlet	
	Transmission Line Ground Tracking Transects by Visit Summer 200914	2
Table C- 34:	Distribution of Caribou Photos and Tracking Data on Caribou Calving and	
	Rearing Islands in Lakes and Peatland Complexes from the Keeyask	
	Generating Station and Keeyask Infrastructure Project Monitoring	
	Programs in the Project Study Area14	5
Table C- 35:	Distribution of Moose Photos and Tracking Data on Caribou Calving and	
	Rearing Islands in Lakes and Peatland Complexes from the Keeyask	
	Generating Station and Keeyask Infrastructure Project Monitoring	
	Programs in the Project Study Area14	5
Table C- 36:	Moose Browse Observed in Habitats on Construction Power Transmission	
	Line Routes 1 and 214	7
Table C- 37:	Moose Browse Observed in Habitats on Generation Outlet Transmission	
	Line Route Alternative Options A, B, and C14	8

# LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Figure C- 1:	Moose Browse in Habitats in the Project Study Area	110
Figure C- 2:	Number of Mammal Signs on Construction Power Transmission Line	
	Routes 1 and 2	111
Figure C- 3:	Number of Mammal Signs on Generation Outlet Transmission Line Route	
-	Alternative Options A, B, and C	112

# LIST OF MAPS

- Map 1–1: Project Study Area in Northern Manitoba
- Map 1–2: Keeyask Generation Project Study Areas
- Map 2–1: Location of Summer Mammal Transects
- Map 2–2: Location of Winter Mammal Transects
- Map 2–3: Location of Aquatic Furbearer Aerial Surveys
- Map 3–1: Caribou Ranges
- Map 3–2: Beaver Lodges and Muskrat Push-ups in the Project Study Area
- Map 3–3: Moose Density in the Moose Regional Study Area
- Map 3–4: Caribou Calving and Rearing Habitat in the Project Study Area
- Map 5–1: Moose Habitat Quality
- Map 5–2: Caribou Habitat Quality
- Map 5–3: Intact Caribou Habitat

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Manitoba Hydro is proposing to develop the Keeyask Transmission Project, hereafter known as 'the Project'. The Project would consist of a new 138 kV Construction Power transmission line and Keeyask Construction Power Station for construction of the Keeyask Generating Station and Generation Outlet Transmission lines and the Keeyask Switching Station, including a 138 kV Unit Transmission line from Radisson Converter Station to the construction power transformer station to be used as a back-up power during construction. Once the Keeyask Generating Station is complete, the Generation Outlet Transmission lines will be partially salvaged and used to tie the Keeyask Generating Station into the Manitoba Hydro Northern Collector System via the proposed Keeyask Switching Station and Radisson Converter Station.

The proposed Project will be located in the Split Lake Resource Management Area, about 300 kilometres northeast of Thompson, in northern Manitoba. The Keeyask Transmission Project Study Area, known as the Project Study Area, extends from the Radisson Converter Station (about six kilometres northeast of the town of Gillam), along the south shore of Stephens Lake to the proposed Keeyask Generating Station, and includes the town of Gillam.

Manitoba Hydro's Site Selection and Environmental Assessment process was used to evaluate the suitability of locations for Project routes and infrastructure. Potential constraints and opportunities for the proposed Construction Power transmission line and Generation Outlet Transmission lines route alternative options were identified by using scientific literature, existing data, and professional judgement. Other Project infrastructure that was evaluated included the Keeyask Construction Power Station, Keeyask Switching Station, and Unit Transmission lines. An initial review of available data including hunting and trapping data, local knowledge, and Aboriginal traditional knowledge was conducted to develop a base of knowledge for mammals in the region, and field studies were conducted to collect additional mammal data.

Wildlife use of existing habitats and specific habitat features was measured using techniques conforming to accepted professional standards and practices. A variety of methods, including summer and winter ground tracking surveys, trail camera surveys of potential caribou and moose calving habitat, and aerial surveys for beaver and muskrat were used to improve the characterization of mammal populations and habitat in the Project Study Area. Data and results from other Manitoba Hydro projects including the proposed Keeyask Generation Project and Bipole III Project and literature were used to support the analyses.

Up to 38 mammal species could currently range into the Project Study Area, 15 of which were recorded during field studies. In order to evaluate effects of the Project on mammals, valued environmental components (VECs) were selected from the mammal species in the Project Study Area. Two species, caribou and moose, were selected as mammal VECs. All other mammal species were grouped according to general characteristics. Mammal groups included

small mammals, aquatic furbearers, terrestrial furbearers, large carnivores, and ungulates. Species listed by the federal *Species at Risk Act, The Endangered Species Act* of Manitoba, or the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada included little brown myotis (small mammals) and wolverine (terrestrial furbearers).

Two alternative routes for the proposed Construction Power transmission line and four route alternative options for the proposed Generation Outlet Transmission lines were assessed to determine which of the options would have the fewest potential effects on and the greatest benefit to mammals and habitats in the Project Study Area. The sites of the Unit Transmission lines, Keeyask Construction Power Station, Keeyask Switching Station, and the Radisson Converter Station upgrades were also screened for potential sensitivities. Potential caribou calving and rearing habitat and streams were identified as habitat criteria to be avoided where possible. Differences in habitat loss or alteration as measured by line length, potential caribou migration corridors and proximity to existing and potential future Project linear features were also considered.

The preferred routes for the Construction Power transmission line and the Generation Outlet Transmission lines were determined based on field studies, mapping, literature, and professional judgement. From a mammal's perspective, CP Route 1 is the recommended route for the Construction Power transmission line and GOT Route Alternative Option B or C is the preferred route for the Generation Outlet Transmission lines. After considering the preferred route recommendations from all of the biophysical, social, and technical perspectives, Manitoba Hydro selected an overall preferred routes for the Construction Power and Generation Outlet transmission lines. CP Route 1 was selected for the Keeyask Transmission Project. The preferred route for the Generation Outlet Transmission line followed GOT Route Alternative Option B for most of the approximately 14 km of line extending eastward from the Keeyask Switching Station; the remainder of the line extending to the Radisson Converter Station followed GOT Route Alternative Option C.

Effects of clearing, construction, operation, and maintenance of the Construction Power transmission line, Keeyask Construction Power Station, Unit Transmission lines, Keeyask Switching Station, Generation Outlet Transmission lines, and Radisson Converter Station upgrades on mammals could include habitat loss, alteration, and fragmentation; sensory disturbances; and mortality. Habitat alteration and loss is expected to be small compared to the local and regional availability of mammal habitat. Fragmentation effects are expected to be manageable with other developments proposed in the region. Based on the selected locations for the transmission line rights-of-way and the station sites, the Project is not expected to substantially affect mammals or mammal habitat. Adverse effects will be minimized with mitigation measures, and no significant residual effects are anticipated. In order to assess long-term effects of the Project on caribou and moose, and the effectiveness of mitigation measures, some monitoring will be required.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

The primary function of the Keeyask Transmission Project (the Project) is to provide construction power and generation outlet transmission capacity for the Keeyask Generating Station. The Project will consist of a Construction Power transmission line, Keeyask Construction Power Station, and four Unit Transmission lines originating at the Keeyask Generating Station and terminating at the Keeyask Switching Station. Three Generation Outlet Transmission lines will link the Keeyask Switching Station to the northern collector system, terminating at the Radisson Converter Station. Project components are described below.

## 1.1.1 Construction Power Transmission Line and Station

A new Construction Power transmission line (138 kilovolts (kV) and approximately 22 kilometres (km) long) from the existing 138 kV KN 36 transmission line to a new 138 kV to 12.47 kV construction power station to be located north of the proposed Keeyask Generating Station will be needed for construction power. The new wood-pole/steel transformer station will be built on a 2.25 hectare (ha) site that will be developed to accommodate three transformer banks T1-3 and will supply the necessary power (22 megavolt amperes (MVA)) for the construction of the generating station.

The purpose of the Construction Power transmission line and Keeyask Construction Power Station is to provide power for the construction activities of the generating station. After operation, the Construction Power transmission line will be left in place, as will a portion of the construction power station, to provide a contingency function for a "black start" emergency backup to diesel generation units at the generating station. Two alternative Construction Power transmission line routes (CP Route 1 and CP Route 2) were assessed, which begin on the north side of the Nelson River at Gull Rapids (at the Keeyask Generating Station site) and run south to an existing Manitoba Hydro transmission line (KN 36), situated between the Butnau and Kettle rivers.

## 1.1.2 Unit Transmission Lines

Four 138 kV alternating current (ac) Unit Transmission lines (KE1 to 4) will transmit power from the seven generators located at the Keeyask Generating Station to the new Keeyask Switching Station. Three lines will be double circuit and one line single circuit to accept power from the seven generating station turbines. The four lines, each approximately 4 km long, will be located in a single corridor.

## 1.1.3 Keeyask Switching Station

A new Keeyask Switching Station will accept power from the generating station via four Unit Transmission lines from the generating station transformers and switch that power to three Generation Outlet Transmission lines. The switching station will be located on the south side of the Nelson River. The purpose of the switching station is to provide the terminal facilities for the electrical connection to the generating station, and to provide flexibility for accommodating power transmission from the generating station to the Radisson Converter Station. The proposed Keeyask Switching Station will require 13 ha of land for Project development and another 22 ha will be acquired for possible future expansion for a total site area of 35 ha.

Six alternative sites were identified for the switching station (SS Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7), however all sites on the north side of the river were ruled out due to the increased transmission line distance, one site was within the flood area of the Keeyask Generating Station, and one site was within a rock quarry. Due to these considerations Site 3 is the preferred switching station site and was the site assessed within this report.

## 1.1.4 Generation Outlet Transmission Lines

Three 138 kV AC Generation Outlet Transmission lines will transmit power from the Keeyask Switching Station to the existing Radisson Converter Station 138 kV AC switchyard. The three lines, each approximately 38 km long, will be located in a single 200 m-wide corridor. Manitoba Hydro plans to build one of these Generation Outlet Transmission lines to serve as a backup construction power line during construction and will be partially salvaged back to the Keeyask Switching Station and utilized as a generation outlet transmission line.

Four GOT Route Alternative Options (A, B, C, and D) were assessed, which begin on the north side of the Nelson River at Gull Rapids and run parallel to the south shore of Stephens Lake to the Radisson Converter Station (about 6 km northeast of the town of Gillam).

## 1.1.5 Radisson Converter Station Upgrades

The existing Radisson Converter Station will be upgraded in two stages, as follows:

- Stage I: Radisson Converter Station will require the addition of a 138 kV breaker to accommodate the initial new 138 kV transmission line KR1 from Keeyask Switching Station.
- Stage II: Station equipment will include the addition of a 138 kV bay (Bay 1) complete with four 138 kV breakers and associated equipment for the termination of two additional lines (KR2 and KR3) from Keeyask Switching Station. KR2 and KR3 will enter the west side of the station utilizing dead-ended steel structure with line switches. KR2 and KR3 lines will proceed to underground around the station and finally terminate to Bay 1. This is done to

avoid complex line crossings into the station. Thirty-one 138 kV AC breakers will also need to be replaced due to fault levels exceeding existing breaker ratings.

## 1.2 PROJECT STUDY AREA

The Keeyask Transmission Project Study Area, referred to as the Project Study Area, is approximately 600 square kilometres (km<sup>2</sup>) in size, and is located in northern Manitoba. It falls entirely within the Split Lake Resource Management Area and includes the town of Gillam (Map 1-1). Project footprints that are in the Project Study Area are described in Section 1.1. For the alternative route selection process (see Section 4.0), a 1,150 m buffer of the rights-of-way (ROWs) was selected for all six proposed alternative routes (see Terrestrial Habitat Ecosystem and Plants Technical Report). For the effects assessment (see Section 5.0) of the Construction Power and Generation Outlet transmission line ROWs a buffer of 400 m was used to determine the direct and indirect effects on mammals. For the caribou habitat fragmentation analysis, a buffer of 500 m was selected. The nested design of these features allowed for the assessment of the direct and indirect Project effects on mammal populations and their **habitats**, and as may be compared to a larger regional study area.

Five Regional Study Areas were selected for mammals from the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Assessment (Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership 2012) (Table 1-1 and Map 1-2). The need for multiple zones that describe the size of a study area is based, in part, on the relative size required to maintain a minimum resident mammal species population in the order of 100 to 500 individuals or more. Home ranges large enough to maintain mammal populations in a community were considered in the development and selection of study areas. When the general area of the Keeyask Generation Project is referred to rather than a specific study area or zone, the term "Keeyask region" is used.

## Table 1-1: Regional Study Areas from the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement Statement

Mammal Group or VEC	Regional Study Area Zone	
Small mammals	3	
Furbearers (aquatic and terrestrial)	4	
Large carnivores	6	
Caribou	5 or 6 <sup>1</sup>	
Moose	5	
1. Summer resident caribou habitat and fragmentation effects are considered in Zone 5; effects on all caribou,		

including barren-ground and coastal caribou, are considered in Zone 6.

The Regional Study Area is in the Boreal Shield Ecozone, which stretches across most of northcentral and eastern Manitoba, and is dominated by the metamorphic gneiss bedrock of the Canadian Shield, broad expanses of coniferous dominated **boreal forest**, and numerous lakes. The Project Study Area is located in the Hayes River Upland Ecoregion of the Boreal Shield Ecozone. Surficial materials in the Gillam area consist of organic deposits and lacustrine mineral deposits. Peatlands dominate the area, and veneer bogs and blanket bogs are the most common peatland types. Surface permafrost is widespread and discontinuous. Climate parameters vary, with mean annual temperatures ranging from about -2.4 to -4.9 degrees Celsius, with growing seasons ranging from 124 days to 149 days. Total annual precipitation averages about 499.4 millimetres. Refer to Terrestrial Habitat Ecosystem and Plants Technical Report for additional detail concerning the Project Study Area.

Land cover is dominated by sparsely to densely treed needleleaf vegetation on thin or shallow peatlands. Black spruce (*Picea mariana*) on thin peatlands and black spruce on shallow peatlands are the two most abundant coarse habitat types. The other needleleaf coarse habitat types are jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) and tamarack (*Larix laricina*) types. Less common broadleaf treed and mixedwood coarse habitat types include trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*), and white birch (*Betula papyrifera*). Large fires occur frequently in the Keeyask region, and approximately one-quarter of inland **terrestrial** habitat is less than 50 years old. Shoreline wetland coarse habitat types comprise only a small fraction of land area. Refer to the Terrestrial Habitat Ecosystem and Plants Technical Report for additional detail concerning the Project Study Area.

Common mammals expected in the Hayes River Upland Ecoregion include moose (*Alces alces*), black bear (*Ursus americanus*), caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*), lynx (*Lynx lynx*), gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), beaver (*Castor canadensis*), muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), and snowshoe hare (*Lepus americanus*) (Smith *et al.* 1998; Environment Canada 2000).









## Keeyask Transmission Project



1:250,000