

Legislative Assembly of Manitoba

DEBATES and PROCEEDINGS

Speaker

The Honourable Peter Fox



Vol. XIX No. 105 2:30 p.m., Tuesday, June 6th, 1972.

Fourth Session, 29th Legislature.

THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA 2:30 o'clock, Tuesday, June 6, 1972

Opening Prayer by Mr. Speaker.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

MR. SPEAKER: Before we proceed, I should like to direct the attention of the honourable members to the gallery where we have 30 students Grade 10 and 11 standing of the St. Norbert School. These students are under the direction of Mrs. Brookes. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Fort Garry.

We also have 24 students Grade 5 standing of the Ralph Maybank School. These students are under the direction of Mrs. Harrison. This school is located also in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Fort Garry.

We have 23 students Grade 8 standing of the McKenzie School, Thunder Bay, Ontario. These students are under the direction of Mr. Warwick. And we have 6 students of Grade 9 standing of the Sansome Junior High under the direction of Miss Cathy Breckman. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Assiniboia.

33 students of Grade 11 standing of the McGregor College. These students are under the direction of Mr. Froese. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie. And 35 students Grade 9 standing of the Jefferson Junior High School. These students are under the direction of Mr. Fleisher. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for Seven Oaks, the Minister of Colleges and Universities. And also 54 students of Grade 6 standing of the Arborg Elementary School. These students are under the direction of Mr. Price. This school is located in the constituency of the Honourable Member for St. George. On behalf of all the honourable members, I welcome you here today.

Presenting Petitions; Reading and Receiving Petitions; The Honourable Member for Wellington.

PRESENTING PETITIONS

MR. PHILIP M. PETURSSON (Wellington): I beg to present the petition of Brian Jacobson and others praying for the passing of an Act to incorporate the Icelandic Festival of Manitoba or Islendingadagurinn in Manitoba.

MR. SPEAKER: Reading and Receiving Petitions; Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees. The Honourable Member for Radisson.

STANDING COMMITTEE ON STATUTORY REGULATIONS

MR. HARRY SHAFRANSKY (Radisson): Mr. Speaker, I beg to present the second report of the Standing Committee on Statutory regulations and orders. Mr. Speaker. . . .

MR. CLERK: Your Standing Committee on Statutory regulations and Orders . . .

MR. SPEAKER: Dispense. We'll have it printed in Votes and Proceedings. The Honourable Member for Rhineland object? Order please. I wish to get an indication from the House.

MR, JACOB M, FROESE (Rhineland): I think it should be read.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour,

MR. RUSSELL PAULLEY (Minister of Labour) (Transcona): Mr. Speaker, if I may, it can either be read in or on an undertaking that it will be printed in the records; if that is acceptable to my friend the Member for Rhineland it will be recorded. Is that agreeable? Okay, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Do we have unanimity? (Agreed)

MR. CLERK: Your Standing Committee on Statutory regulations and Orders beg leave to present the following as their second report: Your Committee met on Tuesday, May 30, 1972, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 254, Legislative Building:

The Hon. Mr. Mackling, Attorney-General, stated that it had not been found possible to lay before the Committee at this time, in printed form, the remainder of the Revised Regulations not yet approved by the Committee. He stated further that the Revising Officer had prepared a memorandum setting out, in respect of twenty-four of these remaining regulations, the recommendations, as to changes, therein that he was now making. As to the remainder, the Revising Officer had advised him that the only changes made were of the routine or formal character which the Committee had formerly approved. The Attorney-General therefore

(MR. CLERK cont'd.).... requested that the Committee deal now with these twenty-four regulations, and consider the changes recommended by the Revising Officer; and that the balance of the regulations be approved. The Committee approved the request and proceeded to consider the recommendations respecting the twenty-four regulations above mentioned.

The recommendations hereinafter mentioned are based on the reasons stated by the Revising Officer in his memorandum to the Committee

1. The Consumer Protection Act. Revised Regulation C200-R1.

It is recommended that the substance of section 5 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that has been done; section 5 be deleted from the regulation.

2. The Co-operative Associations Loans and Guarantee Act. Revised Regulation C220-R1.

It is recommended that a definition of "productive purposes" be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that has been done, subsection (2) of section 1 of the regulation be deleted.

3. The Mines Act. Revised Regulation M160-R1(M).

It is recommended that the Act be amended by enactment therein of the following provisions of the regulation, that is, sections 54 and 58, subsection (2) of section 86, subsection (12) of section 99, subsection (1) of section 108; subsection (4) of section 115; subsections (4) and (5) of section 133; and that, when this has been done, the provisions above mentioned be deleted from the regulation.

It is further recommended that the substance of sections 164 and 198 (which say the same thing) be enacted by amendment to the Act, and that, when this has been done, sections 164 and 198 be deleted from the regulation.

- 4. The Mines Act. Revised Regulation M160-R1(P).
 - (a) The Revising Officer advised that,
 - (i) for the reasons given in his memorandum to the Committee in revising clause
 (v) of subsection (1) of section 1 of the regulation he had deleted the words
 "any other substance which, from time to time, may be so designated by the director" which appear in the original regulation;
 - (ii) in section 32 of the regulation, he had deleted the words "Notwithstanding anything in the Act or in any regulation made under the Act", which appear in section 31A of the original regulation;
 - (iii) in section 67 of the original regulation (which is section 70 of the revised regulation) he had changed the words "of the application" to read "from the granting of the application";
 - (iv) in sections 67 and 72 of the original regulation (which are sections 70 and 75 of the revised regulation) he had changed the words "after the first day of October" to read "after the thirtieth day of September"; and had changed "before the thirty-first day of March" to read "before the first day of April";
 - (v) section 199 of the original regulation has been deleted, since the subject matter is covered by the Act;
 - (vi) section 242A of the original regulation has been deleted because the effect thereof has long since expired; and
 - (vii) in section 259 of the revised regulation the words stating that certain expenditures "may not" be deemed allowable, have been changed to "shall not be deemed allowable".

The Committee approved all these changes.

- (b) The Revising Officer recommended that subsection (3) of the revised regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that has been done, it be deleted from the revised regulation.
- (c) The Revising Officer reported that sections 39 and 40 of the revised regulation largely duplicate powers given in section 66 of the Act, and recommended that, to the extent that they are not already covered by section 66 of the Act, they be enacted by amendment to the Act, and the sections 39 and 40 be then deleted.
- (d) The Revising Officer recommended that the substance of sections 209 and 210 of the revised regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act, and that, when that has been done, the sections be deleted from the revised regulation.
- (e) The Revising Officer recommended that the substance of section 212 of the revised regulation, except clause (c) thereof, be enacted by amendment to the Act; and, when that has

(MR. CLERK cont'd.)... been done, section 212 be deleted from the revised regulation. Clause (c) is unnecessary, being covered by The Interpretation Act.

- (f) The Revising Officer recommended that the substance of sections 213 and 214 of the revised regulation, insofar as not already covered by section 62 of the Act, be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that has been done, sections 213 and 214 be deleted from the revised regulation.
- The Reciprocal Enforcement of Maintenance Orders Act. Revised Regulation M20-R2.
 It is recommended that
 - (a) clause (d) of section 1 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and
- (b) subsection (1) of section 3 of the Act be amended by adding, at the end thereof, the words "in the manner prescribed in regulations made under this Act"; and that when these amendments to the Act have been made
 - (c) clause (d) of section 1 of the regulation be deleted; and
- (d) the words "The words 'shall be registered' in section 3 of the Act, mean that registration in Manitoba, under that section 3" in subsection (1) of section 3 of the regulation, be deleted and that there be substituted therefor the words "Registration under subsection (1) of section 3 of the Act".
- 6. The Natural Products Marketing Act.
 - (1) Revised Regulation N20-R4.

It is recommended that the substance of subsections (1) and (2) of section 18 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that amendment has been made, those subsections (1) and (2) of section 18 of the regulation be deleted.

(2) Revised Regulation N20-R8.

The Revising Officer raised the question as to whether clause (d) of subsection (6) of section 6 of the regulation is necessary, in view of the facts that

- (a) a producer board is a corporation; and
- (b) section 160 of The Companies Act confers certain powers on corporation.

It is recommended that, if it is decided that clause (d) of subsection (6) of section 6 of the regulation is a necessary provision, the substance thereof be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that amendment has been made, clause (d) aforesaid be deleted from the regulation. It is further recommended that if, in view of section 160 of The Companies Act, it is decided that clause (d) aforesaid is unnecessary, it be deleted from the regulation.

(3) Revised Regulation N20-R9.

It is recommended that subsections (1) and (2) of section 18 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act. This, presumably, would be covered by the same amendment as that recommended in connection with Revised Regulation N20-R4. When that amendment has been made subsections (1) and (2) of section 18 should be deleted from the regulation.

7. The Operating Engineers and Firemen Act. Revised Regulation 050-R1.

The Revising Officer reported that he had been advised by the Director of the Mechanical and Engineering Division of The Department of Labour that the words, "not less than 100 horse-power", in clause (a) of subsection (5) of section 19 of Manitoba Regulation 102/64 (being the original regulation on which Revised Regulation 050-R1 is based), are a clerical or typographical error, and in the revised regulation should read "of less than 100 horsepower". This is an exactly opposite meaning. However, the Revising Officer reported that, in view of the request of the director aforesaid, he had made the change, and requested the approval of the Committee. The Committee approved the change.

8. The Personal Investigations Act. Revised Regulation P33-R1.

It is recommended that the substance of section 6 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that amendment has been made, section 6 be deleted from the regulation.

9. The Pesticides Control Act. Revised Regulation P40-R1.

It is recommended that the substance of section 5 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that amendment has been made, section 5 of the regulation be deleted therefrom.

It is also recommended that clause (j) of section 8 of the Act (on which section 5 of the regulation is based) be repealed.

10. The Plant Pests and Diseases Act. Revised Regulation P90-R3.

It is recommended that

(MR. CLERK cont'd.) . . .

- (a) sections 3 and 4 of the revised regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act, by way of adding two schedules thereto, in the first of which (Schedule A) the substance of section 3, would be set out, and in the second of which (Schedule B) the substance of section 4 would be set out;
- (b) clause (a) of section 2 of the Act be amended by striking out the words "the regulations" and substituting the word and letter "Schedule A";
- (c) clause (g) of section 2 of the Act be amended by striking out the words "the regulations" and substituting the word and letter "Schedule B"; and
- (d) clauses (a) and (b) of section 16 of the Act be repealed; and that when the amendments recommended in clauses (a) to (d) above have been made, sections 3 and 4 of the revised regulation be deleted; and that, as section 1 of the regulation would then be no longer needed, it also be repealed.
- 11. The Predator Control Act. Revised Regulation P110-R2.

It is recommended that

- (a) the substance of section 1 of the regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act, by way of adding thereto a Schedule A containing the list of predators;
- (b) section 7 of the Act be amended to authorize more specifically the substance of section 2 of the regulation;
- (c) clause (d) of section 2 of the Act be amended by striking out the word "regulations", and substituting therefor the word and letter "Schedule A"; and
- (d) clause (c) of section 13 of the Act be repealed; and that, when the amendments recommended in clauses (a) to (d) above have been made, section 1 of the revised regulation be deleted therefrom.
- 12. The Public Works Act. Revised Regulation P300-R3.

It is recommended that the substance of section 10 of the revised regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act, and that, when that amendment has been made, section 10 of the revised regulation be deleted.

The Revising Officer reported that he had deleted from the revised regulation the section that was section 26 in Manitoba Regulation 35/68 on which the revised regulation is based. This was done because the section created an offence and prescribed a penalty, and this matter is dealt with by section 22 of the Act; so the section is unnecessary and deals with a matter with which only the Legislature should deal.

The Committee approved the deletion.

13. The Public Schools Act. Revised Regulation P250-R2.

It is recommended that that part of the revised regulation that is based on subsection (1) of section 1 of Manitoba Regulation 101/57 be enacted by amendment to the Act; and that, when that amendment has been made, that part of the revised regulation based on subsection (1) aforesaid, be deleted from the revised regulation.

- 14. The Revenue Act 1964. Revised Regulation R140-R1.
- (1) Having considered the recommendations of the Revising Officer, the Committee recommends
- (a) that the substance of clauses (a), (b), and (c) of subsection (2) of section 1 of the regulation be enacted by an amendment to the Act;
- (b) that after the amendment mentioned in clause (a) above has been enacted, clauses (a), (b), and (c) of subsection (2) of section 1 of the regulation be deleted; and
- (c) that subclause (iii) of clause (h) of section 2 of the Act, be amended by striking out the words "under the regulations" and substituting the words "as provided herein".

The Hon. Mr. Cherniack, Minister of Finance, concurred in these recommendations.

(2) The Revising Officer also recommended that clauses (e) and (f) of subsection (1) of section 17 of the Act be deleted. The Hon. Mr. Cherniack stated that he did not concur in this recommendation at present; but that this matter would be given further study by himself and officers of The Department of Finance.

The Committee, therefore, did not concur in the recommendation of the Revising Officer; but recommends that, as suggested by the Minister of Finance, the matter of deleting clauses (e) and (f) of subsection (1) of section 17 of the Act, be further considered by him and officers of The Department of Finance.

(3) It is further recommended that

(MR. CLERK cont'd.) . . .

(a) the substance of subsections (1) and (2) of section 3 of the regulation, insofar as not already covered by the Act, be enacted by an amendment to the Act, and

(b) that after the amendment mentioned in clause (a) above has been enacted, subsections (1) and (2) of section 3 of the regulation be deleted.

The Hon, Mr, Cherniack concurred in this recommendation.

15. The Revenue Tax Act. Revised Regulation R150-R1.

Having considered the recommendations of the Revising Officer, the Committee recommends

- (a) that the substance of the following provisions of the regulation, namely,
 - (i) section 11:
 - (ii) subsection (1) of section 12, eliminating however the references to clauses (x) and (z) of subsection (1) of section 4 of the Act, and leaving only the references to clauses (w) and (y);
 - (iii) subsection (4) of section 16: and
 - (iv) sections 22 and 23;

be enacted by amendments to the Act;

- (b) that after the amendments mentioned in clause (a) above have been enacted, the provisions of the regulation mentioned in sub-clauses (i) to (iv) of clause (a) above, (including the whole of subsection (1) of section 12 as it now reads), be deleted; and that subsection (8) of section 8, and section 19; of the regulation be deleted; and
- (c) that the references to "common carriers permits" and "designated items" in section 8 of the regulation be clarified.

The Hon. Mr. Cherniack concurred in these recommendations.

16. Revised Regulation R150-R2.

The recommendation of the Revising Officer is that this regulation be repealed, and the substance thereof, if required, be enacted by amendment to the Act. The Hon. Mr. Cherniack, Minister of Finance, stated that he could not concur in this recommendation. The Committee, therefore did not concur in this recommendation of the Revising Officer; but suggests to the Minister of Finance that the substance of the recommendation of the Revising Officer be brought to the attention of the Legislative Assembly, during the discussion of this report of this Committee.

- 17. The Securities Act. Revised Regulation S50-R1.
- (1) The Revising Officer reported that section 55 of the original regulation authorized the director to waive certain requirements of "the Act and the regulations". Subsection (2) of section 60 of the Act gives authority to the director to waive requirements of the Act. Accordingly section 55, insofar as it relates to the Act, is unnecessary and is also improper for inclusion in a regulation. The Revising Officer reported that he had deleted from section 55 the words "the Act and".

The Committee approved the deletion.

(2) Sections 56 and 60 of the original regulation referred to section 133 of the Act. This section was repealed in 1970 and replaced by a similar provision enacted as section 121.1 of The Companies Act. The Revising Officer reported that he had changed the references in sections 56 and 60 of the revised regulation to refer to section 121.1 of The Companies Act.

The Committee approved the change.

18. The Snowmobile Act. Revised Regulation S150-R1.

It is recommended

- (a) that the substance of sections 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the revised regulation be enacted by amendment to the Act; it is suggested as sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4, immediately following section 5 of the Act;
- (b) that section 2 of the Act then be amended by adding immediately after the figure "3" in the first line thereof the words and figures "and to sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4":
- (c) that section 5 of the Act be amended by prefixing thereto the words and figures "Subject to sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4";
 - (d) that clause (c) of section 3 of the Act be repealed; and
 - (e) that clauses (f) and (g) of section 50 of the Act be repealed; and that when the amendments set out in clauses (a) to (e) above have been made

and that when the amendments set out in clauses (a) to (e) above have been made; sections 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the revised regulation be deleted therefrom.

2680 June 6, 1972

(MR. CLERK cont'd.)

- 19. The Social Allowances Act. Revised Regulation S160-R1.
 - (1) It is recommended that
- (a) the definition of "special care" in clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 1 of the regulation be enacted by adding it as an additional subsection to section 5 of the Act;
- (b) the substance of subsection (2) of section 1 of the regulation, being a definition of an expression used in section 13 of the Act, be enacted by an amendment to that section of the Act;
- (c) the figures "453" in the fifth line of subsection (1)of section 10 of the Act, be deleted and the figures "443" be substituted;
 - (d) clause (j) of section 19 of the Act be repealed;
- (e) clause (h.1) of section 2 of the Act be amended by deleting the words "the regulations", and substituting therefor the word and figure "subsection (2)";
- (f) section 2 of the Act be amended by adding thereto a subsection (2) in which shall be set out a list of the exemptions from the definition of "financial resources" which it is intended, as clause (h1) of section 2 now stands, should be listed in the regulations; and that, when the amendments mentioned in clauses (a) to (f) above have been made,
 - (g) subsection (2) of section 1 of the regulation be deleted; and
 - (h) clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 1 of the regulation be deleted.
 - (2) The Revising Officer reported that
- (a) he had deleted the definition of "financial resources" which was in clause (d) of section 1 of the original Manitoba Regulation 138/69 on which the revised regulation is based, because the definition had subsequently been added to the Act by amendment;
- (b) he had deleted section 12 of the original Manitoba Regulation 138/69 because Part III of The Child Welfare Act to which section 12 related has been repealed; and
- (c) he had deleted clause (b) of section 13 of the original regulation aforesaid; because its effect has long since expired.

The Committee approved these deletions.

- 20. The Taxicab Act. Revised Regulation T10-R1.
 - (1) It is recommended that
 - (a) clause (f) of section 2 of the Act be amended
 - (i) by adding, thereto immediately after the word "means" in the first line thereof, the words and figure "subject to subsection (2)"; and
 - (ii) by striking out the words "except such motor vehicle or class of motor vehicles as the board may exempt from this definition";
 - (b) by adding to section 2 of the Act, as subsection (2) thereof, the substance of section 15 of the revised regulation;
 - (c) by striking out of subsection (1) of section 11 of the Act the words "exempt vehicles or classes of vehicles from the definition of taxicab, or may";

and that when the amendments mentioned in clauses (a) to (c) above have been made, section 15 of the revised regulation be deleted.

- (2) It is recommended that
- (a) the word "Evidence" in the first line of section 20 of the revised regulation be changed to read "Proof"; and
- (b) the word "immediately" in the second line of section 20 aforesaid be deleted and the words "within hours" be substituted. (The number of hours deemed to be reasonable is to be entered in the blank space between the word "within" and the word "hours").
- 21. The Vital Statistics Act. Revised Regulation V60-R1.

The Revising Officer reported that, for the reasons mentioned in his report to the Committee, (namely, that they had become obsolete) section 17 of the original regulation (Manitoba Regulation 82/68) and Forms 12 and 25 have been omitted.

The Committee approved these omissions.

22. The Wildlife Act. Revised Regulation W140-R13.

The Revising Officer pointed out that section 3 of the original Manitoba Regulation 119/68, on which this revised regulation is based, shifts to a person charged with an offence the onus of proof of his innocence. He pointed out, in his report to the Committee that this provision reverses the normal rule; and that, if required it should be enacted by the Legislature. He reported that he had deleted the provision from the revised regulation.

(MR. CLERK cont'd.)

The Committee approved the deletion, and recommends that, if the provision is deemed essential, it be added to the Act by amendment thereof. The Committee also noted that, some years ago, a number of similar provisions in various Acts were repealed by the Legislature.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Radisson.

MR. SHAFRANSKY: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Flin Flon that the report of the Committee be received.

MR. SPEAKER presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. SPEAKER: Ministerial Statements; Tabling of Reports. Notices of motion; Introduction of Bills. Oral questions. The Honourable Leader of the Opposition.

. . . . continued on next page

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

MR. SIDNEY SPIVAK Q. C. (Leader of the Opposition) River Heights): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the First Minister. I wonder whether he can inform the House whether he'll be meeting shortly with the President of Pan Arctic Oil.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

HON, EDWARD SCHREYER (Premier) (Rossmere): Mr. Speaker, I did indicate yester-day that consideration be given to the suggestion that a meeting of that kind take place and in fact, this will be discussed with the Minister of Industry and Commerce and colleagues. But in the meantime, of course no decision has been made.

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the First Minister would indicate whether it's his intention to meet with the Prime Minister on this matter.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, that would depend entirely on the imminency of the plans for development and construction on the part of Pan Arctic Oil.

MR. SPIVAK: I wonder if the First Minister can indicate whether the government is taking notice of the statement of the President that there are two alternative pipelines that are now being examined.

MR. SCHREYER: Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm also aware of the fact that after communication with the Federal Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources that it's clear that there is certainly no imminence with respect to any approval of any pipeline project; in fact no specific proposals have yet been forthcoming.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Inkster.

MR. SIDNEY GREEN Q.C. (Inkster): Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could have the indulgence of honourable members to make a short personal statement.

MR. SPEAKER: Agreed? (Agreed). Very well.

STATEMENT

MR. GREEN: Mr. Speaker, on Friday last, I gave my assessment of the resolution now standing on the Order Paper relative to the use of public monies for the purpose of financing the segregation of our school system on racial and religious grounds, and for the teaching of particular ideological and religious beliefs. I stated then and I repeat now that the resolution was not a back-tracking by the Premier in his determination to achieve this result. I indicated that the passing of this resolution would be a step forward for the provision of aid. I made these views known to the public and you of course are entitled to conclude that I made them known to my colleagues as well. I indicated that by next year discussion of the issue might be foreclosed by the de facto extension of aid by administrative action. I am also of the opinion that the passing of this resolution will psychologically be used by the proponents of aid to create an atmosphere whereby financial assistance will be taken for granted. I am under the impression that some members of the Legislature strongly opposed, strongly opposed to the provision of aid, disagree with my analysis and will do exactly what I predicated on Friday would be done. They appear to be of the impression that the presentation of a bill rather than a resolution - that the presentation of a resolution rather than the presentation of a bill is a victory for their position, their position and mine. Some will be voting for the resolution in an attempt to sweep the matter under the carpet. In my view, they will be thereby facilitating that which they are anxious to avoid; namely, they will be facilitating the payment of tax dollars for private schools. I know that there are many MLAs who disagree with my assessment in this connection and they no doubt sincerely hold their views. I will of course continue to resist any attempt to pass this resolution and will further resist any attempt to legislate by statute.

However, insofar as my personal actions are concerned, I will consider the fight virtually lost by the passing of the resolution now on the Order Paper or by the passing of any resolution which is associated with the provision of school aid. I will regret having failed to succeed in this issue, but I am satisfied that I have now done everything possible and everything which could reasonably be expected of a single person to do in fighting for this cause; the issue having thus developed as far as I am concerned, I am ready to assume such responsibilities in the government as may be requested of me by the Premier. In the event that I am requested to assume such responsibility. I will of course reserve the right to vote to speak against legislation granting public aid to private schools, even though at that stage I would consider the fight to be a futile one. In making this statement I wish to repeat what I said on Friday last,

(MR. GREEN cont'd) namely that I do assume nor do I have any right to assume that I am now wanted as a member of the Cabinet.

MR, SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD (Cont'd)

MR. GORDON E. JOHNSTON (Portage la Prairie): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Honourable the First Minister. Since it's usual for any select or special committee of the Legislature to be appointed while a session is in sitting, is it the intention of the government to call, have this committee called while the Legislature is in session.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: There is an assumption in the honourable member's question with respect to House rules that I just offhand am not sure I can agree with – as to whether or not it is possible for a select committee to sit during a session. I believe that if the wording of the resolution is such that it provides for such a committee, if appointed, to sit during a session or between sessions, then it's perfectly within the rules obviously for it to so do. And in this respect I believe that if the resolution doesn't make it crystal clear, certainly the precedent makes it clear of 1964 when a select committee was appointed and it was authorized to sit during and between sessions.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Well, Mr. Speaker, perhaps I should rephrase part of my question. It's government that decide when committees should be called and my question is: Will the government make the decision to call the committee after they have been appointed by the House during this session.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister. The Honourable House Leader.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, may I suggest that the questions being asked are relatively hypothetical at this particular time. There is on the - my honourable friend from Portage shakes his head. I wonder if he would mind just listening to what I have to say first of all. That we have before us on the Order Paper a resolution which states certain preambles, and ends up by saying in effect that the committee will be established. And I would suggest that the first requirement is the passage of the resolution. If the resolution is passed in the affirmative, that there will be a committee set up, then at that particular stage the composition of the committee I would suggest and also the propriety of it meeting during the session or in between the session will naturally follow. So I respectfully suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, and to the Honourable Member for Portage, let us see what the disposition of the resolution is because it may be that there will be no requirement to set up a committee.

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please. On the point of order, I thank the Honourable House Leader. I concur with his remarks and I was trying to determine where, and it comes under Section (v) of Citation 171, Beauchesne: "Anticipate an Order of the Day or other matters"; also Mays, 242. The Honourable Leader of the Opposition.

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Speaker, my question is to the First Minister, and it relates to his answer, it is not directed in the same way. I wonder if he now would confirm to the House that the resolution standing in his name is a government resolution.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, as I had wanted to indicate last week the procedure is such and there is precedent I am satisfied that it will be dealt with on government time; it is a free vote it will be dealt with on government time; there is precedence, I can cite the precedence.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Thompson.

MR. JOSEPH P. BOROWSKI (Thompson): Mr. Speaker, I have a similar question to the First Minister. Could he indicate what yardstick will be used as criteria in selecting members for this committee.

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please. I just indicated that the question is out of order. It's anticipating the debate. The Honourable Member for Thompson.

MR. BOROWSKI: A question for the Attorney-General, Mr. Speaker. Could he indicate how the investigation is coming along in Nelson House, and whether the police have located a Manitoba Indian Brotherhood member who apparently is left with some funds.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. A. H. MACKLING Q.C. (Attorney-General) (St. James): Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know about the assumption contained in the question by the honourable member. I did get some preliminary report from my staff to the effect that the investigations apparently were

(MR. MACKLING cont'd) revealing, that there was some failure in proper accounting and administrative technique rather than any serious charges or any serious misdeeds on the part of anyone. However, I haven't had a final report.

MR. BOROWSKI: Mr. Speaker, I have a different question to the same Minister. Could he indicate how much money was given to the Manitoba section of the Women's Fib - I meanthe Women's Lib - and on what grounds.

MR. MACKLING: Well, Mr. Chairman, there was no monies granted by the Human Rights Commission. I'm sure that's the story that the Honourable Member from Thompson is referring to. It was a story, Mr. Speaker, in the Winnipeg Tribune edition of June 5th and corrections were – or at least the Human Rights Department telephoned both newspapers to confirm to them the mistake in the report. Monies were not advanced by the Human Rights Commission to the Women's Liberation Movement or any section of it. However, there are certain programs that the Human Rights Commission have arranged for by way of summer student research projects. There's one dealing with native people and employment in Winnipeg; another one dealing with textbook analysis which is a continuation of a project started last year; another one dealing with the history of women and that is the one, apparently, that the Press have referred to and the grant is not to a Women's Liberation group at all, it's to a number of students who are involved in research.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie. The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. MACKLING: Mr. Speaker, if these particular students have an interest in any other organization that is ancillary, it has nothing to do with the research project itself.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Honourable Minister of Health and Social Development. Are there any policies with respect to a requirement for clean-liness and neatness of employable welfare recipients so that they may be encouraged to take work.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Health and Social Development.

HON. RENE E. TOUPIN (Minister of Health and Social Development) (Springfield): Mr. Speaker, the regulations under the Public Health Act are applicable to all citizens of this Province, no matter if they're welfare recipients or not. While I'm on my feet, Mr. Speaker, I would like to table an Order for Return from the Honourable Member for Minnedosa, No. 3 and another one from the Honourable Member for Fort Rouge, No. 34.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Would the government not give some consideration to the encouragement to a degree of cleanliness and neatness amongst people on welfare who are employable?

MR. TOUPIN: Mr. Speaker, there are, as the honourable member is quite aware, different procedures that are followed either through the Public Health offices in the Province of Manitoba pertaining to cleanliness. There are different procedures and programs within our Public School system to encourage cleanliness, and those are available to all whether they be employed or employables.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Riel.

MR. DONALD W. CRAIK (Riel): Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question to the Acting Minister of Mines and Resources. Can he indicate if the transcripts from the Water Commission meetings are yet available?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Industry and Commerce.

HON, LEONARD S. EVANS (Minister of Industry and Commerce) (Brandon East): Mr. Speaker, I can inform the honourable member that I have not yet received the transcripts of the meetings of the Manitoba Water Commission but that I have asked the staff of that Commission to have them printed and made available to the members of the public as soon as possible.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Churchill.

MR. GORDON W. BEARD (Churchill): I would like to direct a further question to the Minister of Social Development. I wonder - is there a special place where handicapped people who are on total welfare can apply for work?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Health and Social Development.

MR. TOUPIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker, there are places where unemployed employables can apply for work. There is Manpower itself; there are the different regions of the Department of Health and Social Development; many municipalities will accept - you know - names of individuals

(MR. TOUPIN cont'd) who are unemployed employables and if they are directed to any of our regional offices they would be referred directly to the appropriate section within the Department of Health and Social Development pertaining to Skills Unlimited and others.

MR. BEARD: As a subsequent question then, as far as handicapped people are concerned - - Will that still follow?

MR. TOUPIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker, there are Sheltered Workshops; there is Skills Unlimited; there are other shops that are being encouraged by different departments of government; and there is as the honourable member is quite aware, Mr. Speaker, of permits being issued to the Department of Labour of the Province of Manitoba.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Rhineland.

MR. JACOB M. FROESE (Rhineland): Mr. Speaker, I would like to address a question to the Honourable the Minister of Labour. Does his department or does the government subscribe to the principles and objectives and probably the provisions of Bill C 183 of the Federal Government dealing with the Labour Code?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour.

MR. PAULLEY: My answer to my honourable friend, Mr. Speaker, is that as he well knows - that there is under way propositions for the consideration of this House for a Labour Code for the Province of Manitoba. I do want to say to him, Mr. Speaker, that in considering the contents of the Labour Code for the Province of Manitoba we have journeyed far and read well, and it could conceivably be that many of the propositions in the Bill referred to my honourable friend will be contained in the Labour Code when it is before this House.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. MACKLING: Mr. Speaker, yesterday the Honourable Member for Morris asked me about a suggested change in policy in respect to the Liquor Control Commission in its direction to licensees where they purchase beer particularly for sale at events. Mr. Speaker, I have a number of observations. Certainly the principle of the Act as enshrined in various sections of the Act – makes it quite clear that the Liquor Control Commission has a responsibility to make sure that there is available and freely offered for sale at least one brand of the beer produced by every licensed brewer who manufactures beer in the province. And the policy of the Commission has been to make sure that those wishing to purchase beer have a real opportunity to purchase the beer of their choice.

I think it's all the more important when licenses are granted to special events, such as The Pas Festival, the Morris Stampede, and so on; that people who have paid an admission price have a right to expect that they will have a reasonable opportunity to buy the beer of their choice if a license is granted to that organization as it has been in the past. Therefore the Commission has taken steps to make sure that there is a reasonable range of beer available of the various brewers in the province. The particular direction is that when beer in excess of 100 dozen is requested on an application for an occasional permit for a community event where the public is to be served, at least one brand of beer sold by each of the five Manitoba brewers must be included and no one brewer shall have more than 35 percent of the total quantity of beer purchased. I am advised that there is - for example, the organizers of one community event last year purchased 3000 cases --

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please, the honourable gentleman is debating the question now. Order, please. I agree that the honourable gentleman was giving information but he is going into too much detail. The Honourable Member for Morris.

MR. WARNER H. JORGENSON (Morris): Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Attorney-General would be able to advise the House how far he intends to allow the Liquor Commission to interfere into the personal lives of people and their habits?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. MACKLING: Mr. Speaker, I would commend to the Honourable Member from Morris a reading of the Bracken Inquiry Report, and then a reading of the Manitoba Liquor Control Act, for which he is responsible as well as every other member of this House.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, I wish to lay on the table of the House copies of the Return to an Address relating to the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources and the Government of Manitoba with respect to pipeline construction planning.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Assiniboia.

MR. STEVE PATRICK (Assiniboia): I have a question for the Honourable Minister of Agriculture; I see he's not here, perhaps the Acting Minister will take the question. Can he tell me how many thousands of bushels of potatoes were either destroyed or dumped last year by the Manitoba Vegetable Marketing Commission?

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please. I would suggest that questions that ask for statistical information can be placed on the Order Paper as written questions and they can be duly reported later on. The Honourable First Minister.

MR. SCHREYER: Well, Mr. Speaker, the question can be taken as notice.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Assiniboia.

MR. PATRICK: The Minister agreed to take the question as notice; can he also take as notice - were the farmers paid for these potatoes and what was the purpose of dumping this amount of potatoes.

MR. SPEAKER: Orders of the Day. The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Mr. Speaker, a follow-up question related to the questions by the Member for Morris. It is directed to the Attorney-General and it has to do with the administration of the Intoxicated Persons Detention Act. Has the Government any new policy to reimburse communities who have jails which are supported by the community - but sizeable costs of four to five hundred dollars per month are incurred by taking residents from outside those communities for the night when they are intoxicated?

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Attorney-General.

MR. MACKLING: Mr. Speaker, this question has been asked in the House before and on those occasions I have pointed out that the communities in question are reasonably eager to accept the business and trade of those people who come into their community and purchase alcoholic beverage and other commodities – and the fact that some, a minority of those who come into the communities, do over-indulge, is a social fact for which the community I hope will assume responsibility graciously.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie.

MR. G. JOHNSTON: Mr. Speaker, another question on the same subject. Is the Attorney-General now disclaiming all the profits the Manitoba Liquor Commission make that go to the government should not - some of that money - should not be sent back to some of those communities?

MR. SPEAKER: Order, please. Question is argumentative. The Honourable -- Orders of the Day. -- (Interjection) -- Correct. Proposed Order for Return. The Honourable Member for Rock Lake.

ORDERS FOR RETURN

MR. HENRY J. EINARSON (Rock Lake): Mr. Speaker, I beg to move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Morris:

THAT an Order of the House do issue for a Return showing the following information:

- 1. What was the purpose of the Seminar and Reception hosted by the Department of Health and Social Development on board the Lord Selkirk from September 19, 1971 to September 21, 1971?
- 2. The number of people on board (a) civil servants; (b) others.
- 3. What was the cost of (a) the liquor (b) the wines (c) the champagne (d) the liquours?
- 4. What was the cost of the meals?
- 5. What was the rental fee for the Lord Selkirk?
- 6. Are scheduled seminars on this scale the routine practice for the Department of Health and Social Development.
- 7. What is the total departmental annual cost of entertaining in Manitoba?
- 8. Did the Minister or any of his departmental officers request or order the Lord Selkirk to permit minimal or no publicity in the media of the event?
- 9. Number of bookings which have been reserved for the above department for this year.

MR. SPEAKER presented the motion.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Health and Social Development.

MR. TOUPIN: Mr. Speaker, the government is willing to answer the questions that we have answers for - where applicable answers will be forthcoming. I would like to indicate that most of the questions posed here were answered in a form of a Press Release on the 22nd

(MR. TOUPIN cont¹d) of September 1971 to the people of the Province of Manitoba. MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour.

MINISTERIAL STATEMENT

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I may just make an announcement before asking the Honourable the Minister of Finance to come into Committee of Supply. The announcement, Mr. Speaker, is that it is the intention to call the Committee on Private Members' Bills for Tuesday next in order that some of those Bills may be extradited. It is also the government's intention tomorrow to call for consideration of bills rather than estimates in an endeavour to have some of the bills processed with the possibility of them being referred to Committee. I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I cannot at this time indicate the actual order in which the bills will be called. I give that information for the benefit of members and in doing so, Mr. Speaker, I want to extend a welcome back to this Chamber of the Honourable Member for Lakeside, who I understand has been in other climes for some considerable period of time. I would now -- (Interjection) -- I would now ask the Minister of Finance to propose the motion to go into Supply.

MR. MORRIS McGREGOR (Virden): Mr. Speaker, I didn't think the House Leader announced the time Tuesday morning. Would he clear it for people coming in from quite some distance.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Labour.

MR. PAULLEY: I regret my omission. The normal time, 10 o'clock on Tuesday morning.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Minister of Finance.

HON. SAUL CHERNIACK (Minister of Finance) (St. Johns): Mr. Speaker, I beg to move seconded by the Honourable the Minister of Education that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair and the House resolve itself into a Committee to consider of the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty.

MR. SPEAKER presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried, and the House resolved itself into a Committee of Supply with the Honourable Member for Logan in the Chair.

COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY

MR. CHAIRMAN: Resolution 55 (a) passed - - The Honourable Member for Riel.
MR. CRAIK: Mr. Chairman, I asked the Minister a number of questions yesterday regarding the - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order, please. The Honourable Member for Riel.

MR. CRAIK: Mr. Speaker, I asked a number of questions yesterday regarding the financial support under the Shared Services Program, and the Minister's reply was that the value of the Shared Services Program was \$97,000. The Minister is reported in the paper today as saying that the \$97,000 just covered the Shared Services Agreements, and there are no grants over and above that. Now if that's the case, that's fine; but I'm sure that the Minister does not wish this statement to be recorded officially as such if that is not the case. The question that was asked and the information that is sought is, what is the total expenditure budgeted for in these Estimates. I would gather from his qualifications put on his remarks yesterday that the \$97,000 does not include some other category of the grants that he has in another category. Now what we were asking for here is the total amount that is expended, because he did qualify it in a further statement that would give rise to the belief that there are other methods or means of support that are coming out. And I asked this, Mr. Chairman, because when this Resolution comes up that is being discussed here the last two or three days, we will have no opportunity to elicit information of this sort under a Resolution, and the time to do it of course is under the Minister's Estimates.

Therefore, I would ask him again to state in clear terms, not evasive terms and round-about terms, but state in clear terms the total amount of public funds that are going to the Private and Parochial School System, those schools that are not now under the Public School System. And when I say by being under the Public School System I refer to such schools as being in the Public School System such as the ones at Brandon and The Pas who come very definitely under the administration of a school division. What the question is, is how much financial support is going to those schools that may be financed through the Public School System but are not part of it. Which then means - I think in broad terms that all of this comes

2688 June 6, 1972

(MR. CRAIK cont'd) under the Shared Services Agreements; if I'm wrong he can correct me. What I'm after is not \$97,000 which I'm sure is not the grant total . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: I wonder if the Members could just quieten it down a bit - the Chair is having difficulty hearing what's going on. The Honourable Member for Riel.

MR. CRAIK: Now I think that the - again, Mr. Chairman, I think that the Minister is evading the point, because this question has been asked a couple of times now and what we want is the total amount of public money going to those schools now outside the Public School System; and if it's not all included in what he calls Shared Services, could he tell us what is not included in his \$97,000 figure?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Minister of Education.

HON. BEN HANUSCHAK (Minister of Education) (Burrows): Yes, Mr. Chairman. I fully appreciate the question posed by the Honourable Member for Riel - and I believe that in replying to his question yesterday, in commenting on the figure of \$97,000 I did also at the same time offer some further comment. Well let me commence with the \$97,000. The \$97,000 is simply the assistance offered by way of grant to those public schools offering Shared Services - the most common type shops, Home Economics and so forth where students from a private or parochial school attend at a public school for the purpose of attaining instruction in those courses. There may be some other subjects that are included in this which do not come to my mind at the present time, but I'm sure that the honourable member appreciates the type of service that is covered by the \$97,000. And the breakdown of it is as follows: For the fall of 71, the spring of 72, in Winnipeg it was \$26,000; St. Boniface College, it is a public school; St. Vital, St. Emile, \$1,000; Holy Cross Elementary 30 and secondary 38; St. Joseph the Worker, Transcona-Springfield, \$2,000 spring and fall of 1972. Now, insofar as the . . .

MR. CRAIK: It is almost impossible to hear the answers coming from the Minister from the combined combination of either himself and the noise in the Chamber, and I would appreciate it if we could get these answers while we're at it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order, please. I'm not going to tell the members again. If you want to hold caucus meetings go outside into your caucus rooms, the halls, anywhere - but go out of here.

MR. HANUSCHAK: Now, insofar as schools such as Brandon or The Pas or those schools which have come under the ambit of the Public School System, those are regarded as one of the schools within the Public School System and the grants are calculated on the basis of enrolment and all the other factors that are taken into account. In other words, in much the same way as the grants that are paid to St. Vital or any other school division; they're not earmarked on a per school basis. I suppose one could make a rough estimate by taking the total budget or the total expenditures of a school division, dividing it by the enrolment and thus arriving at the per pupil cost and then multiplying that figure by the enrolment in a school such as the honourable member refers to – and in that way one could determine the cost of providing the education program in such schools.

But I repeat again that we do not pay any grants to cover education costs in such schools as separate and apart from the overall grants that are paid to the school divisions. There are no grants earmarked specifically for such schools. If they are within the Public School System, then they are within the Public School System and grants are paid, those schools are treated no differently and are looked upon no differently than any other school in that school division. I'm not suggesting to the honourable member that those figures could not be obtained, of course they could be obtained, but we do not have those figures in our records. We'll have to do exactly the same thing as the honourable member would have to do by looking at the school budget and dividing it by the enrolment and multiplying it by the number of students in that particular school to obtain a rough estimate.

So there was no attempt on my part, Mr. Chairman, to evade answering a question. I'm simply providing information in explanation of the Estimates before us; and the Estimates before us do not show the cost of the operation of such schools as such, as separate and apart from other expense litems. So hence I regret to say, Mr. Chairman, at this particular point in time in dealing with the Estimates of this department, it is not possible to present such information in any meaningful form – not unless, as I've said, not unless I were to do exactly the same thing as the honourable member posing the question, the Honourable Member for Riel or anyone else could do. And we would have to do exactly the same thing.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for Riel.

MR. CRAIK: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I missed some of the figures earlier on, the breakdown of the \$97,000. I wonder if I could get those from the Minister. And also would he also just indicate what items are included in this. Does it include transportation, does it include books, does it include shared building facilities, does it include any salaries?

MR. CHAIRMAN. The Honourable Minister of Education.

MR. HANUSCHAK: Merely the cost of instruction, not transportation and such. The cost of instruction is the only item.

MR. CRAIK: . . . the Minister said that there was no breakdown from the divisions, of what went from the divisions to the separate schools in their area - but I would now gather from his remarks that if a division supplies a teacher, that shows up in this \$97,000; or a division supplies 7-1/2 teachers or 15 half-time teachers or whatever it might be, that that all ends up reduced down and shows up in this figure.

MR. HANUSCHAK: Mr. Chairman, it shows up in the Divisions' budget, but it does not show up in here. Now if this may assist the honourable member to any extent - and I'll repeat again, Mr. Chairman - the Winnipeg School Division received \$26,000, and if the honourable member relates that to a budget of \$42.9 million - this is the 72 budget - with an average enrolment of close to 43,000, in St. Vital, St. Emile school received \$1,000 - the St. Vital budget is \$6.2 million for this fiscal year with an average enrolment of 7,500; Norwood, a total of 68,000, the Holy Cross School - and Norwood, its budget is 2.6 million with an enrolment of 2,900 period. I'm giving these in round numbers - St. Joseph the Worker in Transcona-Springfield; oh Transcona-Springfield received \$2,000 to cover the Share Services Agreement with St. Joseph the Worker, and Transcona-Springfield has a budget of close to \$6.5 million, less about 30-odd thousand, and Transcona-Springfield has an enrolment of 8,400 students. So I hope that that would assist the honourable member in making some comparative analysis, or whatever comparative analysis he wishes to make.

MR. CRAIK: . . . Minister said that Norwood was \$68,000. Is that correct?

MR. HANUSCHAK: That is the figure I have before me.

MR. CRAIK: Now is that \$68,000 - when you say instruction, does it include amounts for teacher salaries when you say instruction purposes, because you have other divisions there that I believe do fall under the grant system. For instance in St. Vital, there is one or two schools now receiving aid under this program that would probably be in the order of thirty to fifty thousand dollars and you show it as \$1,000.

MR. HANUSCHAK: I explained to the honourable member, and I'll repeat again, that if the school has become in a sense part of the Public School System then it doesn't show up in these figures. This is exactly the point that I have tried to make for the fourth time to the honourable member.

MR. CRAIK: . . . then if that's the question let me ask you specifically, are you telling me that Christ the King School in St. Vital is part of the Public School System?

MR. HANUSCHAK: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, but I have not memorized the names of all the schools that probably . . .

MR. CRAIK: Mr. Chairman, I'm simply answering the Minister's question here. He says if it's not a part of the Public School System it would show up here, and to my knowledge the schools that I'm asking about and a number of the ones I'm asking about are not part of the Public School System, and therefore the question. Now he shows a figure of \$68,000 for Norwood and I would ask then does this imply that the schools that are receiving support in Norwood are different from those receiving the support in other neighbouring school divisions?

MR. HANUSCHAK: I'm afraid, Mr. Chairman, that to answer the honourable member's question one would have to sit down and examine each agreement. There are no two agreements that are alike, and that is the reason why some do show up here and others which may have worked out a different arrangement with the school division may not show up here - - where the operating expenses of some perhaps are incorporated into the overall operating expenses of the school division.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for Rhineland.

MR. FROESE: Mr. Chairman, I have a few questions still left in the short time that's available to us. I still haven't got a clear answer as to how the technical vocational schools are going to be supported. The Minister mentioned that the same formula applies as to other division schools. In addition to that we have a per pupil grant – and then he mentioned some residual costs. Does that mean that we will still have a mill rate, some portion fall on the

2690 June 6, 1972

(MR. FROESE cont'd) real property in the home division or in the surrounding divisions. This is what I wanted to know - just how the monies are going to be made up to operate these particular schools. I would also like to know the budgets - or the amounts set aside for each of the technical or vocational schools in the province. I know in the Public Accounts of 1971, it was separated at that time for the vocational schools to have 279,000. I'm sure this must be much more by now, and I would appreciate getting that information.

Now a further question is on the \$9 million set aside for property tax credit: How much of this 9 million will go toward paying for the 50-dollar rebate and how much for the 140 dollar tax credit, because no doubt these are two different items and there's only one allocation made in the budget for this although the tax credit will be paid by cheque and the other one is a deduction. I don't know just - - maybe the Minister could reply to that. But before he does so I have one further question and that has to do with . . .

There was an address given by one W. C. Lorimer, March 13, 1971 to the Manitoba Teachers' Society Conference, and the title of his address was "What is Money For?" And on page 10 of that address -- (Interjection) -- No, there's no stabbing here, none whatever. I'd like to read one sentence or part of one paragraph. He says: "One factor which is tending perhaps more than any others to push up the costs of education is the increase in the labour intensity of the process; that is the reduction in the pupil-teacher ratio plus the employment of more para professionals because all wages in total are not less than 75 percent of the educational budget." And then it goes on to other matters. I would like to know from the Minister what is the government doing in connection with para professionals and to what extent is our budget lowered because of the use of these people, if any?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for Lakeside.

MR. HARRY ENNS (Lakeside): Well, Mr. Chairman, perhaps while the Minister is coordinating his answers to the questions just asked, I could ask just one specific question. I
recognize, Mr. Chairman, that we're not dealing with the Minister's Salary so I have to confine myself to this specific resolution before us, namely 3, Financial Support to Public Schools.
I ask him specifically, Mr. Chairman, about a school that I have some interest in, a school
that he is aware of that has been talked about of being in the process of planning and research
and building perhaps or hopefully building pretty soon in the Lundar community. Mr. Chairman,
I have applauded the Minister's statements on other occasions particularly a statement that
he made in Brandon which reiterated his conviction of accepting the guidance where it is given
clearly in no mistaken terms by the local school division. This is something at the time the
greater school divisions were brought in - a fear did exist that their local autonomy would to
some extent be threatened by big government. And I was pleased to hear the Minister indicate
very forcibly at least on this occasion in Brandon, speaking to some seminar group at that
time, that this was certainly not his views of the carrying on the planning of where schools
ought to be built. So I ask him . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order, please.

MR. ENNS: . . . I think I'm coming right to the point now, Mr. Chairman, and I recognize I was straying somewhat.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, that is not the point. The point is that the time allotted for this department has expired.

. continued on next page.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would refer members to pages 38 and 39, the Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Resolution 107 (a) (1). The Honourable Minister of Tourism and Recreation.

HON, LAURENT L. DESJARDINS (Minister of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs) (St. Boniface): Mr. Chairman, a few weeks ago I was attending a meeting of the travel industry in Victoria and one of the guest speakers was the Honourable Jean-Luc Pepin, Federal Minister of Industry and also the person responsible for tourism. He referred to the different provincial departments of tourism and when he came to Manitoba he made this comment. "The Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs; this is not a title but a program." I agree with him, Mr. Chairman, I can't say that I like the title of this department. It seems like a catch-all, a conglomeration of different branches that other departments might not have wanted. This department was created in 1966, I believe, with the transfer of the Tourist Branch from Industry and Commerce; the Parks Branch from Mines and Natural Resources; the Recreation Branch from Welfare; and in early 1971 the Cultural Branch became part of this department; and finally late last year the Secretariat on Dominion-Provincial Cultural Relations was transferred from the Executive Council.

I would like to enlist the help of the members of this House in trying to find a better name for the department. I have been referred to as the Minister of Tourism, Minister of Recreation, Minister of Cultural Affairs, of Censorship, the Czar of Boxing, the Minister in Charge of Ethnic Groups, the Minister of Parks, etc. -- (Interjection) -- Yes. We'll come to that in the next resolution. I do not consider this to be conducive to a united department, but rather tends to divide in different competing branches.

I am thinking seriously of recommending to the First Minister and the Cabinet a change in name to the Department of Travel and Leisure or simply to the Department of Leisure. The smile on some of the faces would indicate that the word "leisure" gives the wrong impression. But what is leisure? Leisure is the time spent away from employment and sleeping. Not too long ago one was expected to work constantly but this is wrong and I certainly am not afraid to see us as pioneers in helping us help the people with their leisure time, enjoy their leisure time. This is what people are looking for, this is what we're talking about when we talk about the good life and there is no doubt that we'll have more and more leisure time. People will retire at an earlier age, probably soon will be 60 and 55. Annual vacations will increase and should range from five to six weeks in the not too distant future and shortened working hours are also probable, probably around five to six hours a day. If the Estimates are anywhere near accurate, the amount of leisure time an individual will have available will increase sharply and the number of ways in which that leisure time could be spent would also increase.

These changes in leisure time will bring with them a complex of new problems that will require careful planning and the setting of new priorities. The signs of change are apparent. We can prepare now for the future or we can continue to meet the problems after they have arisen. But why should government be concerned with leisure? Well if government is concerned about the welfare of people, it must be concerned with leisure. It will be of little credit to government if through its economics, education and health programs it ensures that people have the means and physical and mental abilities to participate in the personal enrichment possible through leisure and then say that leisure is not a matter for government action -- (Interjection) -- Yes, I am.

In the not too distant future, leisure will be a major concern at the council tables of government as economics, education and health are today. We have seen the dire result of inadequate planning in the past in these fields and we can avoid this type of result in leisure if we act now. The City of the future may be a place where people come to work for three or four days a week. Their families will live in leisure communities away from the City where the worker will join them for the half week when he is not working. Life will be oriented to leisure rather than to work.

I consider this department to be one of the most important departments of government. I know that most politicians pay lip service to these theories but that it doesn't come too high on their list of priorities. They are ready and willing to spend all kinds of money on education, on agriculture, on housing but very little on recreation and culture. They treat these as luxuries or something to be looked after when everything else has been accomplished. I could not disagree more, Mr. Chairman.

I would like to propose here at this time eight policy goals that I have and given these

2692

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) goals as direction we could move towards solving the problems faced by leisure time. These are the goals:

- 1. Every child should have the opportunity for a summer camp experience, (a) as part of individual family trips; (b) as part of group of families; (c) as part of group of peers.
- 2. Every family or adult individual should have the opportunity for a vacation trip within the province.
- 3. Every individual should have the opportunity to acquire the skills and to play at least one team game in summer and winter.
- 4. Every individual should have the opportunity to acquire the skills and to play at least one individual game in summer and winter.
- 5. Every individual should have the opportunity to acquire skills and to utilize these skills in arts and crafts.
- 6. Every individual should have the opportunity to be exposed to the capital cultural works of the world.
- 7. Every individual should have the opportunity to acquire skills and to utilize these skills in the cultural heritage of his forbearers.
- 8. Every individual should have the opportunity to achieve and maintain a state of physical and mental fitness.

You will notice that in each of the goals I have stressed the word "opportunity". Mr. Chairman, it is a key word. We can provide the means to recreation and culture but we cannot, we should not force participation. Now why do I see these as policy goals? As George Bernard Shaw said, "Mankind has admirably organized one part of its life's work but has forgotten to organize the other part, leisure." And since the time of Shaw the results of forgetting to organize leisure have become increasingly more obvious. There has been enough by the honourable members in this House already this session to indicate to me at least that the general nature of the problem is recognized.

As western society moves into the post-industrial age -- I'm having a little bit of trouble. I can see that everybody is enjoying their leisure time, they consider this to be leisure time. Mr. Chairman, as western society moves into the post-industrial age, how man uses leisure time will become as increasingly important to the individual and to the state. The full potential of people's culture will develop through the constructive use of leisure time, and while the state should not organize the leisure time of its citizens, it can provide the stimulus, the opportunity for people to arrange their own leisure. The State can also ensure that those who cannot enjoy on their own the advantages of the leisure age because of some social or economic disability, can have the same access to opportunities as can all other citizens.

When one retires it is important that he should be free of financial worry. But that is not all. It is equally important that one should know how to occupy his leisure time and have the opportunity. Our society more than ever is oriented toward our young people but a nation will be judged by the respect with which it treats its elderly citizens. Too often a person who reaches retirement age is given a reception and sometimes a watch, a pat on the back and then is forgotten. Forgotten to die of loneliness in some drab little room. Mr. Chairman, we must change this by making people realize that there is nothing sinful about enjoying one's leisure time and by giving them the opportunity to do so. I mentioned . . .

MR, CHAIRMAN: Order, please.

MR. DESJARDINS: If you're listening you'll find out how we'll provide . . .

I mentioned that I do not like the title of the department but I would not want to leave you with a false impression. I don't know if all the different branches were brought together by design or by accident but I do think that they all fit quite well in one department because parks, recreation, culture, spectator and participating sports, libraries, movies, archives, boxing, wrestling, museums, historic sites, enjoyment and participation of all these is done in leisure time. The Branch of Tourism is also a natural for this department. The tourist travels during his leisure time, during his vacation and he'll be attracted here if he can spend his leisure time enjoying nature in our parks, attending our sporting and cultural events, meeting our friendly Manitobans in all their different — it says fishing — and our friendly people in our different ethnic festivals, visiting our different historical sites and museums, fishing in our many beautiful lakes. Those are the lakes you discovered, 100,000 of them. Manitoba has a lot to offer and we should capitalize on this by giving Manitobans the opportunity and by attracting visitors to our province.

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd)

There is no doubt that the tourist industry is the most important industry which will bring revenue to our province and employment to our people, and it is the intention of our department to concentrate on placing more emphasis on the development in marketing of our tourist industry. But we are also interested in having Manitobans vacation in Manitoba and our citizens should know their province better. The second year we will advertise and promote in-province travel and vacation.

Perhaps it would be proper at this time to state what we mean by recreation, Mr. Chairman. Recreation is all those socially acceptable things that people voluntarily choose to do in their leisure time. -- (Interjection) -- I said, socially acceptable. And if we accept this definition of recreation, we can see the value advantage of having cultural affairs, the provincial parks, the tourist and community recreation branches brought together in one department.

At this time I should mention that I'm very fortunate in having the personnel that I have in my department. I have received nothing but full co-operation from my Deputy Minister, Mr. Eagleton; my two Assistant Deputy Ministers, Mr. Danyluk and Miss Bayer; the directors of all branches. In fact I've met with supervisors and field men and I find them all to be very loyal and dedicated people. -- (Interjection) -- They are all in the Minister of Health's Department.

While introducing the Estimates, I would like to say a few words about the Administration Branch and Research and Planning Branch. Mr. Joe McCarroll is the Director of the very efficient Administration Branch. The Administration Branch of the department continues to provide the operating branches with a centralized financial accounting, programing and control system with the preparation of long and short term cash flow statements. The services of this branch also include the co-ordination of revenue estimates, payroll and personnel function and administration of summer homes and commercial permits and leases covering Crown land properties within the provincial park system. Major assistance was provided by our administration branch in a complete review of the present services and functions of all the department's branches and organizational structure.

Mr. Gordon Taylor heads our active Research and Planning Branch. New insights into the supply of recreation facility and the demand for such facilities were gained during the year through several major projects conducted by the department's Research and Planning Branch.

Full scale consensus of community recreation facilities available in the province was completed in co-operation with the Fitness and Amateur Sport Directorate of the Federal Department of National Health and Welfare. Field work on the project was undertaken in the summer months with the assistance of some 20 student employees working throughout the province. Facilities in 229 Manitoba communities as well as those in Greater Winnipeg were inventoried. The information gathered on the location, capacity and use of 16 different kinds of recreational and cultural facilities is being used by the department to assess the present facility requirement throughout Manitoba. The fact that there are, for example, more than 1.500 sports fields, 500 gymnasiums, 1,300 softball diamonds, or 200 curling rinks in the province at the present time, indicates not only the desire Manitobans have for recreation outlets but that we have collectively been doing a good job in providing ourselves with such outlets. -- (Interjection) -- I don't know and I don't know how many spokes in the bicycles either.

Areas of deficiency in particular types or quality of facility that do exist can be located and will be a prime concern of the department in the present year. The need to measure and forecast the increasing demand from the Greater Winnipeg residents on the outdoor recreation areas in the province led to a second large undertaking for the Research and Planning Branch. A survey of the travel, outdoor recreation and leisure time activities of city residents was completed. Nearly 1,500 residents co-operated with the department in the survey and results which are now becoming available indicate an extremely active population in terms of participation in travel and recreation . . .

During the five months of May -- September 1971, for example, Greater Winnipeg residents spent a total of seven and a half million man-days in travel and recreational activities in the rural areas of the province. On the average weekend day during this period, nearly 21 percent of the population of Greater Winnipeg is outside the city. The information from this survey will greatly assist the Department in planning for this large and growing demand for parks and recreation areas and associated facilities.

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd)

The Research and Planning Branch has been co-ordinating a complete review of all the department's programs and activities with a view to developing short and long term policy objectives in systems of measuring progress towards these objectives. In addition to these projects the Branch has continued to work closely with the Tourist Branch in assessing the effectiveness of the promotional and advertising campaign being carried out. Studies were conducted on the response to advertisements placed in various magazines in our prime tourist markets and on the effectiveness of direct mail promotion. A survey of per diem expenditure by visitors to the province was also completed. The Branch continued to assist the Parks Branch in developing better methods of measuring the use of provincial parks and recreation areas for planning and developing purposes.

Mr. Chairman, I've tried to keep my remarks in a general tone, I'm ready to - at the time if it's the wish of committee to make some further remarks on different branches, if I'm requested to do so. But I would like to remind the members at this time that I'm the person responsible, my department is responsible for the spending of the lottery revenue - not for raising this money but the lottery revenue and I would remind the people, the members of the committee that if they want any information on that this would be the time on the Minister's Salary to bring this out because there is no special item that covers this under the Estimates. So I'll be pleased to try to answer any question on the department but I want to attract the attention to this business of the revenue on lotteries. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for Roblin.

MR. J. WALLY McKENZIE (Roblin): Mr. Chairman, I thank the Honourable Minister for the presentation of his Estimates here this afternoon and would like to wish him well in that portfolio which he's held now some six to nine months. I'm sure he'll devote'his talents and his skill the best way he knows how to promote tourism, recreation and culture in this province.

May I also in a passing remark congratulate him for his choice of one of his Deputy Assistants, Mary Liz Bayer who is a well-known figure in this province and has done some great work; and I believe Mr. Danyluk has been assigned other responsibilities in the department -- we certainly wish him well in his job.

I support the wisdom of the Honourable Minister in that the name is one that always tangles you up and I'm sure -- possibly before we arrive here next year he will have another name for the department. I could possibly offer some suggestions but I'm sure the Minister can look after that without any words of wisdom from this side of the House.

I firstly would like to point out and support the Honourable Minister again in what he said that the spending Estimates that are before us are not near adequate enough for this department. I think, Mr. Chairman, the day is here when this portfolio should be bringing forth an Estimate of some \$40 million possibly to satisfy the needs of his particular Tourism, Recreation and Culture in our province.

And the reasons are manifold and quite simple, Mr. Chairman, because the tourists' spending in this province already represents well over \$100 million per annum in Manitoba. I believe last year it was \$135 or 40 million, and I think with proper government support that figure could be expanded greatly and rapidly. The tourist industry has a very sudden impact on people and in many aspects on the economy of our province. For instance it generates employment real quick both directly in its operations specifically established to take care of the needs of tourists, and indirectly by increasing effective demand for a wider range of goods and services.

And the figures that I have before me, Mr. Chairman, seem to indicate that 50 percent of the generated employment from tourism will occur in the months of July to August and most of the jobs of that particular period are rather suitable for the large numbers of our young people who flood the labour market during the school vacation. And they don't require high levels of skills or previous training, and by expanding this opportunity for our young people I think we also expand their ability to continue their education and their training. And also to supplement the income of their families -- and I think that's of very significant importance for these young people who in some cases their parents lack the economic resources to support them for their long periods that are required for higher education. In fact, Mr. Chairman, I might say that a wide availability of summer work to these young peoples is one of the most effective ways that we could equalize opportunity for youth in this province regardless of

(MR. McKENZIE cont'd) the economic position of their families.

Another figure that I have is it indicates that 25 percent of the employment generated by tourism will occur in the months of -- oh, the five-month period I'd say, from mid May until possibly mid October, and these jobs would be also available to students who are from out of your universities and colleges. Again, by offering employment opportunities to these young people I think we will vastly increase their ability to seek the kinds of education and training that will enable them to adequately compete in our economic system today.

There's another 25 percent of the employment generated by the tourist industry that of course is permanent and largely in the service industry. But, Mr. Chairman, tourism offers a wide range of opportunities to business, small, medium size and large enterprises -- from the lodge operator, to the camp operator, to the retailer, to the service operations and so on. And I think as it allows for development of firms that are locally owned and controlled with the vast bulk of their profits and income effectively accruing to Manitobans.

Another thing the tourism always encourages me that it will encourage decentralized development throughout all this great province of ours. An increase to, or we'll say an increase of flow of visitors through and around this province will increase effective demand on many of our rural centres who are having some economic problems today and it will represent an important increment to the economic base of those communities.

Tourism, Mr. Chairman, also in my opinion will lend to the development of our recreational and cultural resources that will be available to the people of this province as well as to those who choose to visit. And it will add appreciably I'm sure to the quality of life of all our people. And throughout these economic effects I think tourism has in the short time that it's been a part of department of government has generated a lot of new income, both in the private sector through taxes and for the state and to the individual and this sort of an income producing formula or effect means to me that the tourist industry development can be a self-financing industry in the maybe not too distant future.

Government participation I think from time to time after it's organized and developed need represent less than the total tax that's generated from it through gasoline tax, sales tax, personal and corporate tax, park entrance tax, and the like. And one of the greatest advantages of this kind of development is that it will yield early benefits to the citizens of this province. And of course the propensity to travel throughout North America in search of recreation, as the Honourable Minister had pointed out, is growing at a rapid rate and the capital requirements for the private sector participation need not be of any excessive amount. And given the urgent need for new employment in this province, particularly for the young people, the tourist industry development should be a real backbone to the government of the day.

I wonder in some questions that I'd like to draw to the attention of the Honourable Minister, what role is government playing relative to the various other agencies in Manitoba who are promoting this particular industry? How close does the Minister or how close does government work with these various agencies that are in the tourist business in this province? I've heard of a master development plan in this department for some time and much of the strategy I've heard that's been promoted but I ask the Minister in the department how many of the agencies in this province have a copy of that plan on their desk? How many of the key industries know what the Honourable Minister is talking about in his department when he's talking about a master plan of strategy for the Department? Could the Minister, I think, in these debate of these Estimates some time tell the people in detail of this province what is this master plan? What strategy can the Minister offer to the House and the people of this province to assist our many agencies in their overall planning and to show these tourist agencies that Manitoba is striving for No. 1 place in the industry?

I also wonder, Mr. Chairman, can the Minister advise the House how he proposes to interlace the private and the community organizations, both provincial and local, in a way which encourages local initiative rather than stifles it. And I could maybe in this particular instance refer to the quarrel that happened right in my own backyard, the quarrel over where you're going to put the gate in Asessippi Provincial Park. The people want it in one place and the department wants it in another and the quarrel been on now for a couple of years. And again I'd certainly like government to be more with the people rather than against them -- I think -- or some kind of a 50/50 agreement. Well the former Minister shakes his head -- maybe it isn't to be -- but that was the type of thing, that rather than quarreling with the local agencies I think they should try and co-operate more with them.

(MR. McKENZIE cont'd)

The Estimates as I went through them, Mr. Chairman, call for some \$916,000 on tourist promotion against some 796,008 last year. As I said earlier in my remarks, I wonder if this is enough monies out of a 9 million dollar budget for promotion of the industry at this particular time.

I also ask, Mr. Chairman, the Minister -- how much effort is being spent on our immediate neighbors to the south, to the north, east, west -- possibly we could say which not only provides the easiest available tourist dollars for us, but it provides the people that have both the interest and the opportunity to visit our great province. But they're also likely to give all of Manitoba a play or in other words spread their dollars all across this province. People come here for activities, and I wonder is our promotion of events -- or the schedule of promotion events good enough so that people from Minnesota or North Dakota, South Dakota or Montana or Saskatchewan or Ontario can plan a vacation or a weekend six months ahead and know exactly what events they're going to be able to take part in. And I think of some of the events, while they are in the tourist brochures -- but I would wonder some day if the Honourable Minister couldn't set up a brochure where a party could come in from say Montana and visit the antique cars possibly at Morden; and that would be the start of say a five-day tour -and then move on to possibly the Mennonite Village at Steinbach, and then possibly see the Thresherman's Show at Austin, and Morris Rodeo possibly -- and move north to Swan River, go into the interlake and -- (Interjection) -- well the Dauphin Festival. And I would submit that we could sell Manitoba in a package form at the borders or through promotion of this nature, and possibly the next year promote this with different towns and villages so that the money and all the communities of the province would have a chance to participate in that program,

And I think a tourist, Mr. Chairman, in many ways he resembles a surgical patient in a hospital. He's away from his home and his familiar surroundings; he's lost some of his self-confidence; he's being served by people that he doesn't know; he's rather lonely. I'm sure you've heard that one of the best medications offered in a hospital is this thing TLC, tender loving care — and I think if the Minister in his wisdom, and his department could apply that same medication to the tourist he will overcome many of his fears and doubts about travelling in this province. I think you'll have a happy tourist on your hands; and a happy tourist is one that'll return time after time — and he will also become a sort of a walking, talking advertisement for you and for your department.

I wonder if the Minister in his reply could indicate or tell us how he's utilizing the resources of the various retail corporations that's spread around rural Manitoba. I wonder another thing. I've had several people inquire what the Minister's feelings would be with regards to legalized casinos in this province, with profits earmarked possibly for education, the need of some of our senior citizens, and possibly for some of the social programs that this government is offering -- and I'd just like to hear the Minister's comments, if he is for it or would like to try it on a trial basis and see. It would have to be of course strictly controlled by government.

Another thing that has been drawn to my attention, Mr. Chairman, is: How is our "See Manitoba First" promotions being developed. How are these working out in areas where they have been tried; and how effective has this program been in the few short years, I think it's two years now that this program has been underway.

The other point that I think deserves special attention of course is the 600,000 people who reside in this greater Winnipeg area where we are this afternoon. I think this is a tremendous market for the rest of Manitoba to share in the tourist industry. I've wondered many times how many -- how many Manitobans have visited all the corners of this province and can truthfully say that when they get back home that "I have seen Manitoba and I can tell you what this province is all about and give you some indication of what's out there within the four borders." Possibly some indication of the measurement of the number of people that have had that experience would be of interesting statistics for the Minister to document, if in fact he could.

The other one that has been asked of me, and I now ask of the Minister, Mr. Chairman, is the measurement of results of various programs that have been tried out. What improvements have been made in, say, measuring the number of visitors. And I know the Minister gives us figures in his annual report; but in many quarters these figures are disputed, and I

(MR. McKENZIE cont'd) wonder if there's some better method of calculating the number that have come to this province and the amount of money they have spent? And I wonder if also, Mr. Chairman, should not our expenditures on tourism promotion and development be sort of related to those returns that we are getting from these people and of course the potential of tomorrow.

Another question near the end of my remarks, Mr. Chairman, at this time is -- I wonder how closely does the Minister work with the Minister of Highways, the Highways Department. Does Tourism and Highways, are they interlaced, or are they together on the planning and the handling of movement of tourists in this province? Northern roads have much value to residents, and rural roads have much value to residents and tourists -- but do we have enough capacity in the south to feed those roads up in the northern part of our province? And of course I question right away -- the reason is the four lanes that haven't been built from Portage west are the four lanes that are needed from east of Winnipeg on #1 or Highway #75 south. I wonder when we could expect the type of roads there to handle the tourists that this province -- I'm sure with the promotion that we deserve -- but people will be scared away with their tourist dollars by poor roads about as quick as anything. You can use a tremendous promotion and entice people to come here, but if you can't give them the quality of roads that they're used to travelling on in their own jurisdiction they sometimes may think twice before they come back. So I hope in the Minister's remarks he maybe can comment -- has he studied -- are our roads suitable for tourist traffic -- or does one make the trip and then as I said try to find some other way to get back home.

In the Parks part of the department, Mr. Chairman, I note that the revenue is some 1,058,100 and an expenditure of some 4,309,000 and the Maintenance of Parks account for some 2,689,000. I wonder if again that maybe the park fees are appropriate for that type of an operation. We have some of the nicest parks that one could ask to see in this province. They are being well maintained and I might tell the Honourable Minister that he should congratulate some of the staff that are maintaining and upkeeping these parks — they're doing an excellent job. But I wonder if in fact that maybe the type of park that we're promoting for the tourist industry, that maybe the fee should be a little higher — I'm not sure — because they are certainly adequate and they're well kept, and I've never heard anybody quarrel about the fact that they were able to use them. And those roadside parks — in cases I've had people offer me a contribution of some nature or other for the use of them.

Then again, of course, as far as my own personal constituency is concerned, I again quarrel with the department and the government for the long delay in developing the Asessippi Provincial Park. My gosh, I don't know how long you have to wait. This thing was on the drawing board the same time as Birds Hill and Birds Hill's been open for three years now and still nothing basically going on out there. Maybe it's because it's my constituency, I don't know, but there's certainly some unknown reason and the people in the area are quite unhappy because it's been there for so long. Spruce Woods now has been completed and still that one is not. It's being developed certainly but I don't know why the long delay. And with it adjoining the Shellmouth Dam now — the tourists that are especially coming in in that area from Saskatchewan, it's unbelievable the tourists that are being drawn in. So I hope the Honourable Minister will take a good hard look at that park and see if we can't get it in the final stages where it could bring some revenue to the department by the hundreds and hundreds of people that are coming in there every weekend.

I have a couple of remarks I'd like to just draw to the Honourable Minister's attention regarding historic sites. Some people – tourists – have asked me if we can't maybe do a better job in this province with regard to marking our historic sites, and I wonder if the Minister could indicate in his remarks that we haven't got some kind of a better program for marking our historic sites. A man recently told me on a Sunday afternoon that he couldn't find Fort Ellice at St. Lazare. I met him on the highway and he'd been searching all day to find Fort Ellice. Now surely we could mark our highways – that is a historic site in this province and surely some kind of a marker could be placed on a road some place to say "Fort Ellice that way" or "35 miles" or something.

I also wonder what type of a relationship the Honourable Minister can expect from the Federal Government regarding our national historic sites. Do they work together or does the national group work by themselves? The problem covers markings, monuments and things like that, that there are several communities that are wondering if we can't do a little more in that particular field.

(MR. McKENZIE cont'd)

And with that, Mr. Speaker, I would just -- I'm wondering also is there any more promotions on our highways or in our brochures re the historic sites. I notice he has some comments in the Manitoba Vacation Handbook but I hope that possibly we could have more for those kind of people, some place could be in a highway map and giving some improvement in the marking of our historic sites and I welcome the Minister's comments,

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for Point Douglas.

MR. DONALD MALINOWSKI (Point Douglas): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First of all I'm really glad that my honourable friend from Swan River and Charleswood, are in their seats and today I will speak to them in English so they will understand with no problems.

Mr. Chairman, first of all I want to congratulate my colleague the Honourable the Minister of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs who has been appointed to the Cabinet post in which I wish him success and feel confident he will give a good account of himself in this challenging position.

Mr. Chairman, the distinguished British Prime Minister Disraeli said: "Increased means and increased leisure are the two civilizers of men." And a noted American once said: "Who loves his country best who strives to make it best." I believe this, the best country to be living in is Canada but like other honourable members I believe we should be able to do everything possible to make it still better. This involves more than the creation of more industries and promotion of trade. In every civilized country the Department of Cultural Affairs should be considered a most important department. Man does not live by bread alone. The mark of a truly civilized society is not the amount of time, money and effort the majority of people can devote to matters not related to the bread and butter question. The amount of time people have for education, for travel, for attending to matters of spirit, for the development of artistic talents, for recreation and entertainment -- (Interjection) -- Would you shut up for a moment please!

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order, please.

MR. MALINOWSKI: If as Disraeli said, increased means and increased leisure are the two civilizers of men then we must see to it that people have the means to enjoy the leisure modern technology makes possible. If it takes every cent of a family's income just to keep a roof over their heads and provide them with enough to eat, they won't be able to learn to appreciate the many cultural programs in our beautiful Centennial Hall. They won't be able to broaden their minds with travel or even enjoy a few simple entertainments. In a CBC radio talk show about two months or more ago, they were discussing the problems elderly people in entertainment faced in putting in their time. One old man on the program said his main problem is not what to do with his time but what to do it with. He pointed out that the pensions received by most people in retirement are so small they hardly provide for a bare subsistence. For most pensioners there is nothing left over for spending on hobbies, on entertainment, travel or attending sports events or cultural programs.

Mr. Chairman, we on this side of the House believe in putting first things first. We recognize the fact that if our people are to develop their full potential as truly civilized human beings they must be free from needless poverty and insecurity. We must open the door to this country's abundance for those who are now denied a fair share. I believe to the extent this can be done with the powers of a provincial power it is being done by this government.

In the short time we have been in office we may not have exhausted all the possibilities for improvements but I believe I can confidently say that no measure will be overlooked by this government to raise the general level of living of the poor in our midst. Lately the poor have also found a champion in the Honourable Leader of the Opposition and members of his party. Mr. Asper, Leader of the Liberal Party is also making speeches every day about how concerned he is about the aged and the poor; but, Mr. Chairman, I'm confused by the contradictions in their speeches. On the one hand they say this government isn't doing enough for the poor and in the next breath they say the government is spending too much money. The Leader of the Opposition says we are not doing enough for the senior citizens but at the same time he says the government is mortgaging the future of the province because it is spending too much.

The Honourable Leader of the Opposition says the government hasn't done enough to provide jobs for the unemployed yet at the same time he has made a big issue of the fact that the government added a few civil servants to the payroll. He wants the government to provide more jobs and at the same time layoff a lot of civil servants. The Honourable Member for Portage la Prairie also believes the government hasn't done enough to provide

(MR. MALINOWSKI cont'd) jobs but also complains about massive escalation in the government employees. I'm not suggesting that we can end unemployment by hiring all the unemployed as civil servants but I wish members opposite would have the good grace to admit that this government follows the practice of other governments. When we hire civil servants we do so because they are needed.

The Honourable Member for Fort Garry also believes the government is spending too much money but in another part of the speech he says the government is not spending enough money to promote tourism. The Honourable Member for Brandon West also condemned the government for not doing enough for the people but he too complained about the government spending too much money. He added a touch of real drama to his speech. He said, quote: "Manitoba taxpayers better fasten their seat belts because they are in for a heavy landing."

I have listened to many of the speeches of honourable members opposite. I have also read some of them in Hansard. I notice they all follow the same pattern. They all say the government isn't doing enough for the people but at the same time is spending too much money. The government should do more for senior citizens but spend less money. The government should do more for the farmers but don't spend more money. The government should do more to creat jobs for the unemployed but he shouldn't spend more money. The government should do more for everybody but at the same time cut taxes.

I would like to believe all honourable members are sincere in what they advocate but how can I believe that. How can they be sincere in advocating more expenditures and cutting taxes at the same time. In listening to their speeches I felt I was watching a ping pong game. -- (Interjection) -- Yes. For every ping there is a pong. For every statement there is a contradiction. Spend more, don't spend more. Spend more, but cut taxes. Do more for the people but don't spend more money. Well let's put it this way: You can't have cake and eat it -- rather kolbassa and eat it; or maybe you like holupchi?

Mr. Chairman, I have absolute confidence in the Honourable the Minister of Finance. I don't think I would be flattering him if I said he is the best Finance Minister this province ever had. I am saying this not because he is my MLA but because he is an honest and hardworking man. But even he can't perform miracles. As a matter of fact this Party never promised the voter that we would perform miracles. Some of the honourable members opposite may think so because we have two clergymen on this side of the House but this is not the case. We did not promise the voter something for nothing. When I go out to eat at the restaurant with a few friends I know that after I have enjoyed the meal I have to pay the bill — unless one of my friends pick up the check — especially from Churchill. Somebody has to pay one way or the other. Whatever benefits this or any other government provides for any group of people it must be paid for by someone.

We in this Party believe in what we in this Party did promise the voter, is that there would be greater equality in paying for the various services rendered by the government. We thought it was wrong and unjust that under the Conservative Government the poorest family in Point Douglas had to pay the same for Medicare as the richest family in the province. Judging by the speeches of honourable members opposite they are opposed to our taxation policy, they are opposed to taxation based on the ability to pay; they are opposed to having people with big estates pay more taxes; they are opposed to taxes on profits; they are opposed to increasing taxes on higher incomes; they are opposed to higher taxes on business. The Honourable Leader of the Opposition keeps on saying such a tax stifles initiative, such a tax, he says, takes away incentive. Mr. Chairman, considering the fact of widespread poverty in this country and this province, the most urgent task before us is to provide greater incentives for the people at the lower end of the scale. We want a high enough minimum wage to give people the incentive to earn more money by working than they could get on social welfare.

MR. CHAIRMAN: A point of order has been raised. The Honourable Member for . . . MR. JAMES H. BILTON (Swan River): I hesitate to interrupt the honourable gentleman but I wonder as to whether or not we're on the Department of Finance or the Department of Tourism.

MR. MALINOWSKI: I am talking about recreation, be patient. Every dollar we can put into the pocket of the low paid worker or retired pensioner will increase their incentive to go and buy things they need. It will give them the incentive and the opportunity to enjoy to a greater extent the good things of life. Some honourable members in this House are businessmen. If you have goods or service to sell you try the best. I'm at least trying in my humble

2700 June 6, 1972

(MR. MALINOWSKI cont'd).... way to minister the spiritual needs of people. As businessmen you must know that the people who don't have much money can't give you much business and I know that if people haven't any money they have nothing to put in the collection plate on Sunday.

These are some of the reasons why we on this side of the House are more concerned about those living at or below the poverty level then we are about those in the top income bracket. Whatever measures we can adopt that will put a few extra dollars into pockets of the poorest members of our community will provide them with the incentive to enjoy more of the necessities and good things of this world. A hundred dollars saved in medicare, a tax rebate of \$50 to \$140, a saving in housing cost through government low cost housing, a saving in auto insurance through Autopac -- yes, Mr. Chairman, I'm convinced there will be saving in auto insurance -- all this means a lot to those in the lowest income groups. I submit all these benefits . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: Order, please. The honourable member is starting to stray on to various departments. I think he should come back to Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. The Honourable Member for Point Douglas.

MR. MALINOWSKI: Well, Mr. Chairman, I am right to the point I believe because I am showing how to use this money for cultural affairs. Mr. Chairman, we on this side regret that the powers of a Provincial Government are too limited to raise the living standard of all at the high level they should be in this wealthy country. But this can only be done through more farreaching social reforms than is possible on the provincial basis. It can only be done with the co-operation of a like-minded government at Ottawa. Although the present Liberal Government in Ottawa was elected on the slogan of a just society, it has done nothing to make this a more just society for the aged and the poor. Honourable members opposite should not be too concerned about the people in the top income bracket. I am sure no one of them will have to go hungry because of the taxation policies of this government. Let us be more concerned about those whose incomes are as small they can hardly get enough to eat let alone pay taxes. Let's not worry too much about the people with the big estates having to pay more taxes. Let us concern ourselves more with the bad housing and slum areas still remaining in our province.

Mr. Chairman, a few weeks ago, a very distinguished American, Dr. Spock, addressed a meeting in Winnipeg. He spoke of the great economic and social crisis facing his country. He mentioned increasing unemployment. He talked about the riots and demonstrations. He referred to the great increase in crime; he talked of the great social unjustice which keeps 30 million Americans living in poverty in the richest country in the world. We in this country are also confronted with these condidions; we also have four million living in poverty in this rich land. We also have a massive unemployment problem. Our crime rates are also increasing at an alarming pace. Mr. Chairman, if our civilization disintegrates into utter chaos it won't be because of the high taxes on the rich but because of the unjustice suffered by the poor. Mr. Chairman, and honourable members, I believe striving for a more just society is still the most wordly goal for us to pursue. Let us not overlook a single move we could make to enable all the people of this province to enjoy with greater equality the many blessings of this great country. Thank you.

. . . . continued on next page.

MR. SPEAKER: The Honourable Member for Fort Garry.

MR. L. R. (BUD) SHERMAN (Fort Garry): Thanks, Mr. Chairman. My colleague the Member for Roblin covered the field of Tourism and the allied field of parks very thoroughly and it's my intention to deal in the main for the next few minutes, Mr. Chairman, with the areas of Recreation and Culture. Before doing so though, I would just like to endorse what my colleague said about the need for a cohesive approach to tourism and tourist attractions in the province of Manitoba and the desirability of developing a kind of a circuit of tourist attractions that could be offered on a package basis as a kind of a summer or winter festival that would range over perhaps some weeks in duration and would include visits to may sites and many attractions in the province. It could be sold as a Manitoba Festival of a seasonal nature and compete I think effectively and advantageously, Mr. Chairman, with some of the great tourist attractions on the continent such as the Calgary Stampede and Edmonton's Klondike days. But as I said, the field was generally pretty thoroughly handled by the Member for Roblin and I want to talk about recreation and culture for a few minutes and I want really to pose a number of questions to the Minister in those areas. My remarks will take the form of a general approach to those fields, will include a number of questions that I hope the Minister will be able to deal with during the course of these particular considerations.

As the Minister knows, I'm concerned with the survival, Mr. Chairman, of some of the great cultural institutions that we have developed here in Winnipeg and in Manitoba, and I speak not only of the ethnic organizations and societies which we must at all cost preserve, but I speak of the specific cutural entertainment institutions like the Winnipeg Symphony Orchestra and the Royal Winnipeg Ballet, the Festival du Voyageur, the Manitoba Theatre Centre and other institutions of that kind which are in some difficulty at the present time, Sir, as a consequence of changes in the funding that is being made available to them through the City of Winnipeg and through other funding organizations. I've raised this question with the Minister before. I know of his concern in the area, he knows of my concern in the area but concern alone is not going to save the symphony and the allied institutions from financial difficulty. It's not going to save them from the kind of threat that they face at the present time in terms of growth and perhaps ultimately in terms of survival. The Minister knows that an institution of this kind like Les Feu Follets in Montreal has recently found itself without funding, I believe, Mr. Chairman, and entirely adrift and on its own as far as financial survival is concerned because the Canada Council people were not prepared to continue to be the sole funders, the persons or the agency solely responsible for funding an institution of that kind. The danger is that if the institutions that we have developed here in Manitoba are forced to reduce their budgets and their programs because of a reduction in the funds made available to them through the City and through the Provincial Government, that they may in turn disenchant and disillusion other organizations and agencies like the Canada Council and they may cause agencies of that kind to reconsider the support that's been offered them in the past. If the Canada Council gets the impression that neither the Province of Manitoba nor the City of Winnipeg is as enthusiastic about supporting these institutions as has been the case in the past, they may reconsider the funds that they're making available to those institutions.

I don't think that I have to remind anyone in this Chamber, certainly not the Minister, of the importance to Manitoba, to western Canada, to Canada, that these institutions of a cultural nature hold and play in our lives. We have many attributes here in this sphere of culture and entertainment and artistry which are the envy of cities our size and much more than our size all across the continent and throughout the world and it would be a tragedy for us here and for the country in general, Mr. Chairman, should some of these organizations find themselves having to cutback on their programs and on their growth. So I remind the Minister once again of the very crucial need for him to bring whatever persuasive powers he can bring and whatever good offices he can bring to bear on discussions with his colleagues and with his counterparts in the City of Winnipeg administration to make sure that such institutions do not wither and decline for lack of public support.

I would like to have the Minister deal briefly when he rises to respond to some of the remarks that have been made, some of the questions that have been asked with the Manitoba Arts Council. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to know just what kind of funding is provided where the Manitoba Arts Council is concerned, how much funding is provided and what the Manitoba Arts Council itself does with that money, what organizations benefit as a result of the Arts Council distribution of the funds made available to it,

(MR, SHERMAN cont'd)

I want to compliment the Minister on the programs that he's already undertaken and announced in this House, Mr. Chairman, having to do with financial assistance being made available through the use of lottery funds for the construction of capital recreation facilities throughout the province and also for the expansion of cultural activities and the opportunity being afforded Manitobans to enjoy them. He announced his program in these areas in the House earlier in this session involving assistance being made available for special cultural projects, for the establishment of an ethnic cultural development capital fund, for the establishment of an ethnic cultural development program fund and for capital recreational facilities in various parts of the province and these are programs that certainly deserve the support of all legislators in this Chamber and augur well I think for the general development in cultural and recreational terms of our province. They provide a much needed impetus and incentive to development in other parts of Manitoba outside of Greater Winnipeg, particularly in the northern part of our province and in remote areas that have been overlooked during past years in other programs and I commend the Minister for the initiative that he's taken in those areas.

At the same time I am concerned with the whole question of fitness and the initiatives that the Minister has considered or is considering in this area, Mr. Chairman, the area of physical fitness and the physical health and well-being of Manitobans in general. It wasn't so many years ago that the Duke of Edinburgh during a visit to Canada had some pretty uncomplimentary but truthful things to say about the physical condition of Canadians and certainly did not exclude Manitobans from that comment. I would doubt that His Royal Highness has changed his views on the general physical condition of Canadians very much in the intervening years although he may have decided that he perhaps should take a more diplomatic approach to the question. He may not be as harsh in his criticisms today as he was ten years agd. But I would be interested in the response and reaction of the Minister, a former athlete himself to the whole area of physical fitness and recreation in terms of the health and well-being that it can provide Manitobans and what initiatives are contemplated in that area.

Mr. Chairman, I'm concerned about the decline of our prowess at our great national game hockey, and I would ask the Minister what consideration if any is being given to reviving the prestige of Manitoba and Manitobans in the area of hockey. I think that the field of college hockey, university hockey, particularly on an international scale, provides opportunities for accomplishment and for growth and for self-realization nowadays, it is a field of sport that is growing very rapidly and I'm concerned that the confidence and the capacity of our universities here in Manitoba, and I include all of them, and our technical and community colleges to compete at the level that they should be able to compete at in the field in question. I think that some consideration may have to be given by the Minister to the question of hockey scholarships or athletic scholarships embracing more sports perhaps than just hockey. But I know that the University of Manitoba team, for example, has extreme difficulty in attracting the kind of young bockey players out of midget and juvenile ranks that would be of a tremendous advantage to the development of college bockey not only on our campus but on campuses right across western Canada. They can't compete with the junior clubs who are bankrolled by pro teams and therefore able to offer the young athletes coming up very attractive financial propositions and so the college loses out on the home-grown, home-developed athletic talent that should be available to it and the young hockey player himself loses out on a college education; usually finds himself in a position where it's difficult if not impossible to resist the lure of temporary Inancial security and profit offered him by going into junior and professional ranks and foregoes the opportunity for an education that would serve him in better stead in the long run,

Now there's no possible way, in my opinion, and I've discussed this with Coach Andy Bakogeorge of the University of Manitoba Bisons and other bockey people. There's no possible way in my opinion, Mr. Chairman, that the universities and colleges in western Canada are going to be able to compete with junior and pro clubs in the near future for the 14, 15, 16 year old bockey players coming up, unless there is some consideration given to making the offer from college and from college bockey circles more attractive to the young men themselves.

I know that an athletic scholarship program can be abused; I would think that our friends in the United States probably have had many misgivings about the athletic scholarship program that has been extended throughout the college system in that country. I know that there are cases where athletics have become emphasized out of all proportion to the academic aspect of college life in the United States. But surely, surely on the record before us and on the example

(MR. SHERMAN cont'd).... before us, some defences could be built into a system here that would protect us from that kind of abuse and an intelligent rational reasonable program of athletic scholarships administered intelligently could be of benefit not only to our universities but to the young hockey players and other athletes, male and female coming up out of juvenile ranks looking for somewhere to spend their talents.

I would be interested, Mr. Chairman, in the Minister's comments on hockey schools which have proliferated not only in Manitoba but throughout the North American continent. I understand there's something in the neighbourhood of 300 hockey schools operating in North America today and many of them operate in our own country. The growth, the evolution of the hockey school has been a phenomenon of the 1960s. When members of this Chamber were young there were very few hockey schools, if any, but today the hockey school is as common as the four-lane highway which has also of course become a modern phenomenon in our country. The question that concerns me and others who have had any association with people playing hockey is the question of the values and the benefits that the hockey schools stress and that the youngsters going to them derive from attendance. The question I'd like to ask the Minister is whether in his consideration hockey schools are primarily focusing on instructional programs which is where they should be placing their emphasis in my opinion, or whether they're focusing on conditioning programs. I think that to spend your time stressing and emphasizing conditioning of 10, 11 and 12 year-old boys is absolutely ridiculous. It's a waste of time and money and it can work a physical hardship on the youngsters themselves. Any youngster of 10, 11, 12 years old who plays hockey is hardly likely to be in any need of any intensive conditioning program, and it would be my feeling that the emphasis in these schools should be placed specifically and almost exclusively on the instructional end of the game.

The biggest requirement in the field of recreation in this province as in most parts of Canada, Mr. Chairman, is the need for coaches and competent coaching staff, and the need for the development of a program of coaching clinics which will provide an even flow of instructors for young men and women in all fields of recreation and sport. I have mentioned that the Minister has undertaken some initiatives in the recreational field and I have complimented him on that, but I'm concerned about the vacuum in the area of competent coaches and instructors. I notice that recently his department issued a statement which publicized the fact that this government was placing increased emphasis on professional leadership training. And there was a Press Release to the effect that a report of the Advisory Council for Fitness in Amateur Sport was stressing expansion of consultative services, closer liaison between sports bodies and government agencies and a heavier financial input by government into facilities and services for recreation and support of amateur sports.

The Minister in releasing the statement is quoted as saying: "It is our aim to create local interest so that people will be encouraged to do things for themselves." And he also went on to say he agreed there is a continuing need for a strong Manitoba Sports Federation. He emphasized that while a heavy input of government money might be desirable for building recreational facilities such funds are in fact very limited and the major responsibility for creation of facilities rests with people of a community. Well, there's little in that statement or position with which I could disagree, Mr. Chairman; the only objection or the only criticism that I discover in it is the fact that like most of the other positions the Minister and the government has announced in this field I find and perhaps I'm the one in error but I find that there has been little if any mention of programs for developing coaches and instructors and for maintaining the instructional flow that is going to be necessary. One of the most difficult challenges in the entire field of recreation and sport of course is precisely that one - the challenge of finding sufficient coaches and instructors. And it may well be that the Minister is going to have come up with some pretty attractive new initiatives in order to encourage men and women to go into the coaching occupation on a part-time or full-time basis. He may have to think in terms of developing funding programs where there is a monetary reward for men and women going into the coaching field even on a part-time basis. He may have to think in terms of developing such interesting trips - athletic trips - that it becomes much more attractive for young men and women to go into the coaching field. He's going to have to do something to provide the flow of instructors - male and female - necessary to continue to allow our young people to develop athletically not only the way they would like to but the way I think we would agree they should.

In this connection I was intrigued by the recent announcement that a 20-member team of

2704 June 6, 1972

(MR. SHERMAN cont'd) young soccer players from different community clubs in Winnipeg and St. Boniface and the general Greater Winnipeg area will be touring Europe this August and September, Mr. Chairman, and I think that kind of program - that kind of program is very exciting and most admirable. I think that that incentive the incentive of a trip, whether it's as glamourous as a trip to Europe or not is perhaps not necessary, but a trip to another part of Canada or another part of the continent is sufficient incentive in most cases for young people to maintain their participation in athletics and for other young people to participate at the coaching level. And I was very impressed as I say with the development and the subsequent announcement of that exercise this summer when these young lads from different community clubs will be going to Europe on a soccer tour as a representational boys' team from the Greater Winnipeg area. I would hope that the Minister can give attention to development of opportunities along that line in other fields of sport and recreation for our young people.

Mr. Chairman, as far as the general field of recreation and culture is concerned, I think that most members of the committee would be interested in an accounting from the Minister of the affairs of the Manitoba Lotteries Commission – just precisely how much money has been raised during the past year by the Manitoba Lotteries Commission; what the expenses of the Commission are and where the returns from Commission enterprises have gone. I wonder in that same vein whether the Minister who has expressed an interest in and a support for the Manitoba Sports Federation has any views on the results and the effectiveness so far of the Sports Toto Operation which is administered by the Manitoba Sports Federation; whether there is any conflict between the things being done by the Manitoba Lotteries Commission and by Sports Toto; and whether he has any plans formulated at this point for either participating with the Manitoba Sports Federation in a development of the whole Sports Toto concept; or whether he has plans in the opposite direction for phasing either the work of Sports Toto or the work of the Lotteries Commission out and replacing one with an expanded program by the other.

Just before relinquishing the floor. Mr. Chairman, let me acknowledge the decision of the government to hold the 1978 Olympic Trials here in Manitoba. This is a project that should help generate expanded interest and participation among young Manitobans in some 20 fields of athletics, and deserves the support and the continued interest of the Minister's department. And let me out of context revert to the field of Tourism for one moment to ask the Minister whether some consideration is being given in the field of charter flights, charter travel whether for athletic purposes or not to organizing charters coming the other way. There has been a good deal of emphasis placed on charters organized here in Manitoba that go to all parts of the world, charter flight holidays and excursions, yet we have many attractions here in Manitoba which could be magnets for charter flights organized from other parts of the world coming to Manitoba. For example, there could be charter flights organized in Scandinavia for the Icelandic Festival at Gimli. There could be charter flights organized perhaps in the Ukraine or parts of central and eastern Europe for the Ukrainian Festival at Dauphin and various projects, various Manitoba institutions of that kind, it seems to me, would fit readily into a program of this nature. I would hope that in thinking of developing charters and charter participation, the Minister would take advantage too of the opportunities in the athletic and recreational field for sending young Manitobans elsewhere and for bringing youthful athletes from other parts of the world into Manitoba to participate - but it should be a two-way development, and the charter industry, it seems to me ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member has four minutes.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The charter industry, it seems to me, up to this point has had its intention and its emphasis focused in one direction most of the time and that direction has been from Manitoba elsewhere. I would hope that some initiatives can be taken by the department in having the flow reversed and having charter flights organized to bring tourists into Manitoba to participate in our events here.

That's a mixed bag of questions which I have confronted the Minister with, Mr. Chairman I'd be grateful if he has an opportunity to deal with as many of them as he can when he rises to speak again later in consideration of these Estimates.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Member for La Verendrye.

MR. LEONARD A. BARKMAN (La Verendrye): Mr. Speaker, if the Minister wishes to answer some - I hope I don't lose my position - this would be fine with me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Minister of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. MR. DESJARDINS: Mr. Speaker, I'd like to try to answer some of the questions of the

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) . . last speaker, the Member from Fort Garry, at this time. I certainly welcome his constructive remarks and criticism, if you might call it this. I certainly share his concern when he talks about fitness for the citizens of Manitoba. I might say that we are in the process -- it's quite difficult to announce any policy at this time with the short time that I've been responsible for this department; it's taken practically all my time to try to catch up with the department - and say that we have invited -- we have had a meeting with some of our directors to try to come out with some real good -- something new, something interesting to the public, something that would help promote fitness in Manitoba with our citizens. I was fortunate enough to have at one of our meeting Mr. Bill Orbin, I think who's familiar with some of you people - he's at the University of Ottawa now - who came in to work with us, and I hope that in the not too distant future we'll be able to announce some kind of a policy that we'll have and we hope to co-operate with Fitness Canada on this. We've had meetings with the Federal Government on that.

I think that the most important announcement if it's to be considered an announcement that the policy of this government is that we will co-operate more, that we will work very closely with the Department of Education when we come to this question of recreation mostly. We feel that it is ridiculous for instance to have -- I've mentioned this in this House before, I think it's worth mentioning again -- I think that it's ridiculous to have certain gyms and so on that will be locked up at 3:30 or 4 o'clock and that you have to start all over again. So we are working very closely with the Department of Education on our capital program that we've announced, we will certainly -- we're fortunate now, we have much information from our Department of Research and Planning; we can pinpoint now where the gyms are; where the ampitheatres or the rinks are; where there's artificial ice and so - and we'll be able to assess the situation much better, and we will certainly insist and if need be we will work to try to change the laws of the province if need be to see that the people of certain communities will share with the facilities that we have in schools. I think that this is something very important and something that will save money for the citizens of this province.

We are very interested in leadership, and I certainly would like to recommend, and I could arrange a trip for some of the members of this House that are interested - to show you the facilities that we have in Gimli and our leadership training there. It's something that I was unaware of; it's something that we have the facilities to train the leaders. We are taking advantage of this. We have a very dynamic and interested person in our Director of Community Development in Al Miller and I've had nothing but congratulations when they talk about this gentleman. And I think that the people that are interested in culture and in recreation across the province are very interested and they're aware of what we want to do. We want to provide this leadership; we feel that the facilities - we have a program as I announced a few weeks ago - that out of this Lottery money we'll keep about half a million dollars to help these people promote, get the facilities that are needed. We will as I say again look at what they have in the schools to see that this is all a package, that the people of different communities will use this. Yes it is true that we feel that it's not up to government to come in and parachute any program, any facilities, and say this is what you need in this area. We want the request to come from the sponsor from the different groups who, we insist on this, we think it's the right thing; we want to get as little partisan politics into this and also we want to get the people of the area involved so they'll come out with some idea for a project. They will interest first of all their own community; they'll be aware that they'll have to take care of the operating cost and so on; the application will have to be accompanied by a copy of the minutes - a resolution from the municipality or village or town stating that they accept their responsibility; that they guarantee that the money for the total project will be there - and we will give up to \$20,000 for each project that is accepted and also to of course a maximum of 25 percent of the total cost.

When it comes to the hockey schools, I might say that I'm not too impressed with the hockey schools the way we see it now. They are too commercialized and there is false misrepresentation to the public in many instances where they will talk about the Bobby Orr School or some other school and you never see Bobby Orr. We think this is wrong. We would hope, of course we're not going to take over the schools, we would like to assist and maybe start a program where the people of that area can make profit on these schools. I think that it is up to the communities and then we might - this profit should be turned back to sports, to hockey, and it might be that it won't be just a selected few that will be able to attend these hockey schools.

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd)

I couldn't agree more with the Honourable Member from Forty Garry that conditioning should not be stressed at this time. We know, those of us that are fathers we know how much energy our kids have at this age of 12 and 13 years old, we know that they are in damn good condition and I don't think that this is what should be stressed. I think that this is something that would help, not only help them to become a better hockey player but to become a better citizen because I am a strong believer of sports, I think that team sports is an excellent way to get together, to work together and I've never seen any discrimination when it comes to sport. You don't care how a fellow speaks, what language he uses, if he's a good player and if he's a team player this is all you want to know, you don't care, the colour of his skin is not important or where he worships. I think this is a lesson that we can get through team sports and I think that it is quite important.

As far as all these sports are concerned – and I might explain a little more now from the lottery, we've been fortunate that the Cabinet has accepted to turn over the revenue from the lottery. I don't know the exact amount that we will have by the end of the year but figure that it should be approximately \$2-1/2 million and that will be divided approximately 50/50 in a field of culture and in sport.

I might try to give you a run down on what we want to do in sports. Well as you know there will be 500, approximately half a million dollars for a construction, capital construction. I think this will answer some of the questions of my honourable friend, where we will provide incentives to these people. Better training and facilities for these people. We would like to have a Games Development Program, and we'd like to earmark \$100,000 each year. we might not spend this this year. This year we would like to start with a Northern games. We want to start where the people need it the most. How many of these people around the greater Winnipegarea have a chance to make a name for themselves in professional sports or in minor sports, but it is quite difficult for the people of the north so we would start by having a Northern game this year and we would be ready for these Canadian Summer Games that should take place in 1973. So if we could try to set up about \$100,000 a year for the next three or five years I think that we will be in a program where we will have our championship series in different areas.

That brings back this point that it is something that I don't know that leaves me in quite a difficult position is this question of sending kids overseas. This is something that I would like to see but we must have our priorities. I must admit in this House that although I would like to encourage these trips as much as possible, we have no policy of helping these people at this time. Because it is too costly and our first priority would be for our own people in Manitoba to be able to travel at least in Manitoba and if we could satisfy this need then of course we would be in a better position to encourage trips overseas, but so far it's been quite difficult, we have had to refuse assistance to the basketball team that went to Cuba and other teams that are going around to travel, that it's quite a difficult thing to do to refuse this kind of help but we haven't got this kind of money at this time I must confess. This is one of the reasons that we cannot help people living... our first priority are for people travelling, when we talk about travelling, in our province and after that in Canada. We feel that the people that are leaving the province are leaving the province and Canada as Canadians and we feel that the Federal Government should assist. It is the role of the Federal Government when it comes to this.

Well then that's the Game Development. Then we have Grants to Recreational and Sport Agencies. This is to help these different people. For instance, we're thinking of having 75,000, it's not very much but it's more than they ever had and at least it's encouraging these people. We would like to give them approximately \$75,000 to sports bodies. That would be a few thousand dollars each and would be to help them do what they want with this kind of money but providing facilities in that clinic and that sport. We'll help them, we have other programs beside that to help them organize clinics up north or in rural areas and so on in the different sports.

We would like to see the agencies, recreational agencies, such as the YMCA and these people encourage them; the Legion and so on. We would like to see them get a grant also. Now we are talking about the Sports Federation. I might say that as far as the Sports Toto is concerned the Attorney-General and myself met with them. We will do anything possible to help them get off the ground. The Sports Federation are ready to accept them as the organizer for the Sports Toto across the country but it's difficult because of the legislation in the different

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) provinces and Canadian legislation but we are helping them in that. We are not going to try to phase them out or taking them over. In fact I've recommended to the Attorney-General, he's accepted this recommendation that we do not enter into a minor mini lottery because that would kill Sports Toto and we feel that they should be kept alive. They're serving a purpose. A certain amount of money is going to help finance the work of the Sports Federation and also a certain percentage is going to the different sports bodies themselves so we think this is something good.

I'm very surprised that there wasn't a question asked in this House either yesterday or today. There was an announcement in the Tribune about a building, the Imperial Bank of Commerce. Well it's true that we are quite interested in that. The First Minister has sent a letter to Mr. Trudeau requesting help. We are to meet with the City of Winnipeg and we would hope - this announcement is a little premature but I hope that this will be a success. We would like to see a multi-cultural and sports centre. We would like to see in this building, it's a beautiful building, we could have something like in the model that they have in Ottawa, that we would have the Sports Federation who would -- there's a possibility we have to meet with them also. We don't want the Sports Federation to take over something that is already done and we don't want government to dictate to these associations either or to run all these associations. It might be that the Sports Federation can do a little bit of the management of this and give them certain services like mailing services and secretarial help and this kind of administrative work.

We would like to see facilities there, a few offices for the sports bodies themselves. This would be in sports and also there would be all these cultural groups that we have. We feel that they need a place. The International Centre I think might be closed fairly soon. Apparently the City of Winnipeg needs the property and we would like to offer them space in this new building. We were discussing with the different sports and different cultural and ethnic groups also because we want this to be a place where it will really be the mirror of Manitoba, will be a place where all our people regardless of ethnic origin and so on could meet together and could promote their culture and live in harmony and work in harmony. We think this would be good for the centre part of Winnipeg and we hope that - together we hope to co-operate and that the Federal Government and the City will work with us on this also. This is something that we're working on.

This Department is so exciting, there's so many things, there's so many programs that we could go ahead with. Sure we'll fall flat on our faces in many instances but it won't be through lack of trying. We're going to try to see, to have Manitoba a good place to live in and this money that we have from the lottery will help us with these trial programs that we'll have.

For the grants for the sport bodies that I said, recreation agencies and sports Federation and also for the administrative centre, we've set aside a quarter of a million dollars. And then we have approximately — the balance now for Community Recreational Development would be about \$375,000 and that would be Special Services. We want to help the people, the family that can't afford it. Give them a chance to go on a holiday, to camp. We bought some equipment that we'll have in certain parks and we will have some families referred to us by the Minister of Health and Welfare. We'll give a chance to these people to select a park to go camping and there won't be any cost to them at all for the rental in the park and so on. We have all kinds of projects that we want to help the underprivileged people and so on. This is in the field of sports.

Now as far as the -- oh and I might say that in the last remark the Member for Fort Garry also mentioned the question of package trips, to see some of these carriers come back with some of their people of Manitoba. We're working on that; we had a very good and promising meeting with the Vice-President of Northwestern Airlines who came from Minneapolis to meet with us and they have already started their package deal with some of the hotels. We've met with people, I've met personally with the Manager of the Fort Garry Hotel who's interested also. We've had seminars and some of our Directors met with the people interested in package deals and this is going ahead quite well.

In a Convention in Victoria a few weeks ago I discussed with the different people we're thinking of looking into the possibility of maybe looking at different markets in the tourist field. We have to make sure, I must call a spade a spade, we have to talk to the operators and so on, but we're thinking of the possibility of trying to attract coloured people here who are good tourists, who find it difficult in finding places where they are accepted. We hope that Manitoba will accept them and it certainly should help us with the tourist dollar also.

We've talked with the Deputy Minister of Tourism or the person responsible for tourism in

2708 June 6, 1972

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) the Province of Quebec. It might be that we could have a French-speaking guide that we can help with some of the tours that we have here, organize a tour for these people. It is true that we are looking now at a better way to advertise, to let the people know what we have. We've talked about our ballet and all these cultural institutions that we have, but it's quite difficult because in the summer when the tourists come they haven't been here. We're gonna try to go out to attract the tourist during the winter; during the winter send them up north. I think if we can have the facilities and so on. let them know what's going up north, And also we'll have this tourist reception centre at the border, the Ontario border where we hope that eventually we'll have slides and still photos and so on to give the people the proper information. We are negotiating with the Province of Saskatchewan now to have a centre that would serve both provinces at the other end of, the other side of the other border. I think that this will help us also.

The honourable member talked about his concern to retaining our cultural institution here and we're very interested in that. I might say that we were just as much disturbed as he was when we read through the newspaper that there was a possibility that the City of Winnipeg would cut down on the grants. Say that through the Manitoba Arts Council and our Deputy Minister Miss Bayer, who is associated with the Arts Council, we made representation to these people and are pleased to note just a few days ago that they will reinstate the grant at least at the 1971 level. Because this is an area where we cannot dictate to the people of Winnipeg and if the elected representative of the City of Winnipeg tell us we don't want this, the people of Winnipeg don't want this, we can't force it on them and we can't go and get the taxpayers' money from the rural area of the Province or the north and say well all right the City of Winnipeg will not pay their fair share, this is it we'll give you bigger grants. We are very very generous in the grant now very generous. But this is something where there has to be contribution from the private sector to start with, the private sector has to do something about it, the people that wanted these institution. And they are quite generous.

I think that there has been a period now of misunderstanding. I think that we are gonna improve this now. The private sector is co-operating, the people, the different boards of the symphony, the Winnipeg Ballet, the Winnipeg Art Gallery, The Museum and Planetarium, Rainbow Stage, MTC, all these. We hope to have a centre in Dauphin and as you know Le Centre Franco-Manitobain is just about ready to start with the construction now. These are certain things that will be good for our people and certainly will help attract and keep the tourist here. This is something - the honourable members are right - this is something that we have to advertise, to have folders to let the people know what's going on at our different festivals that we have in The Pas, the Trout Festival, the Festivale du Voyageur and all these different festivals and we certainly intend to do something about this.

I've met with Mr. Sasaki who is the Chairman of the sub-committee on grants, found him to be very co-operative and I think that we're on the right track now. And it's not bad that we had this little scare. Because I think the private sector now realize that they'll have to do something more and when we give these grants we also want to make sure that there are certain conditions. We feel first of all that they must fill these buildings. There's no use having these beautiful buildings if nobody comes in. They must start with the young people, if we are going to educate people in the proper use of leisure time well we hope that this will be done by starting these young people at an early age. This is being done, and oftentimes, it's unfortunate but these people have done this in the past. And I'm one of the ones that didn't realize what they were doing. They were too busy I guess trying to promote different things that they didn't get the proper publicity that they should have had. I think that they are doing a real effort now. We feel that the Federal Government could do a little more. And they are the same also by the way. If the grants will be reduced by the province or the private sector even at the municipal level they will reduce their grants. So it's something - I think it's a real partnership between the three levels of government and private sector and this is the way it should be. With this kind of co-operation from everybody I think this is being done.

We started something new, I could maybe now tell you where we want to spend the money, the lottery revenue on the cultural side. Special cultural projects would be roughly \$700,000. Now we hope to see this place jumping and jiving this summer. We have plans for around the Centennial Building, we have plans for the Folk Art Council. This is something that I would recommend to all the members to come and spend a week or so here and see those different pavilion that we'll have this summer, some time in July, I think that it's well worthwhile. I

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) think that you're proud of being a Manitoban when you see that, and you see what is meant by the different ethnic groups living together in harmony but in diversity where they could cultivate, where they could promote their own culture and still being true Canadians and true Manitobans. We have something now in front of Cabinet that I hope will be approved and we will be able to start fairly soon some of these activities that we want. We will go back to these and that will help them and, it will help promote these people, help our people. Also we will go back to Rainbow Stage, we will go back to The Winnipeg Ballet, we've had meetings with them already and besides the normal grants they have, we will have money available where we will have a contribution and they will give their talent also - and for a nominal fee of maybe a dollar or so people will be able to see certain parts of the ballet this summer. And this is a festival that we'll have this summer, I think that we'll all be proud of.

We hope to be able to do something in the north, maybe bring a caravan out there and have a one-day festival, say the flying festival will go in different areas; different remote areas where we'll bring in fun and games to the people of this area. And I think that we'll bring culture and recreation and maybe the people – that'll help them organize a bit, and I think that this will help also.

We also for our ethnic cultural development, we have a capital fund for that also to help certain people – for instance the Dauphin Club – what do you call it – Ukrainian Festival and so on. We have roughly \$150,000 set aside for these purposes; and also our Ethnic Cultural Development Program Fund, we'll have roughly \$100,000 in that. We'll come out with more specific projects. For instance I can give you an example. We have a miniature museum train for travel on the Churchill line and the Museum caravan can travel on Manitoba roads during the summer of 1972; that will cost approximately \$40,000.00. And different dance groups and so on and that will go back to promoting this kind of trips; to promote leadership and recreation; to help encourage some of these people to take part in this.

Of course the Honourable Member from Fort Garry and the members of this committee I am sure realize that some of these groups - and they're quite large - for instance the Manitoba Art Gallery will come directly, get their grant directly through government. This was the way it was done before, where others are going to the Manitoba Art Council. But now we've asked the Manitoba Arts Council to look at all the requests, to make sure that there's a fair distribution of these funds; and to make sure that there's no duplication, so they will look at the budgets of everybody including the Art Gallery starting this year and they will make their recommendation; also all those - that the grants will come directly from the government in certain instances.

In the past, during the Centennial there were a lot of buildings coming up and then this government inherited many buildings – the ribbon cutting days were over; and then you had to pay the operating costs and the deficit and so on, and it was quite difficult. It's a very tough time but I think that we'll be able to go through this with the – as I say, the assistance of the public and the assistance of the different levels of government. And we're very pleased with the work that the Manitoba Arts Council is doing for us. The Department is taking a more active part in this. We used to look at the Budget, their request at the end of the year – and then you come in really with a deficit of \$100,000 or more – we will not allow this any more, we'll have to live within our means – so they are requested now to go through our Administration Branch to have their cash flow, and we will know if they are going over and we won't wait till the end of the year to find out and do something about it.

The Honourable Member from Roblin was speaking of the Asessippi Provincial Park that he's quite concerned with. Well, the park was established in 1966 as a Centennial program and I think that we're proceeding quite well now on schedule. Progress to date, the main beach which is located on the Shell River is completed, but due to fluctuating level of the Shellmouth Reservoir, some further work may be required to consolidate the beach slopes. The beach pavilion is near completion, awaiting only the installation of kitchen equipment and maybe that's up by now – and the facility includes public washrooms, shelter and snack bar. The first phase of the camping area is nearing completion and initially the area will accommodate 65 camping units out of the projected 100; and boat launching and picnic space has been provided on a temporary basis. And I want to assure the honourable member that this government, this department is certainly not interested in working against the public. There are certain things that for some reason or other that the public of a certain area they might not like; they might prefer a certain way – but I can assure you that we don't do things just to get these people mad. There is a reason for doing that – and I can assure him that we have an open door policy and that we'll meet

(MR. DESJARDINS cont'd) with everyone and we'll listen to all the complaints. I think my honourable friend knows that because we've met with some of the – any time that he's after us to meet certain people in his area; we've done that and we're certainly ready to do this.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Honourable Minister has two minutes.

MR. DESJARDINS: Thank you. I think that maybe then, Mr. Chairman, I should sit down at this time and if we pass the Minister's Salary, eventually when we get to Tourism and so on I can answer my honourable friend from Roblin.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Resolution - The Honourable Member for La Verendrye.

MR. LEONARD A. BARKMAN (La Verendrye): Mr. Chairman, thank you. I want to begin with congratulating the Minister who I feel is well qualified to fulfill the responsibilities involved – and I realize that he has quite a pair of shoes to fill from the former Minister, but I think he will be able to do this. I would also like to congratulate the rest of his staff, and especially congratulate the first lady Deputy Minister who a lot of us I think know has efficiently fulfilled her duties in quite a few departments, especially in much involvement recently in our recent Centennial celebrations, and I'm sure she will be well qualified to do her duties in this department.

I enjoyed the remarks of the members. I want to especially thank the Member for Point Douglas for mentioning Mr. Asper's concern for the aged and the poor. Those were very kind words by the Member for Point Douglas, especially appreciated during these crucial election days, so I want to sincerely thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to say a few words basically on one subject - this has been on my mind for some time. I accumulated some of these thoughts some months ago - and perhaps before I go on, if you wish to call it 5:30 - this is up to you, Mr. Chairman - then I could start with my remarks right after. Or would you want me to ahead? Mr. Chairman, would you like to call it 5:30, or would you like me to start in with what I have to say. I want to speak on multi-culturalism, 5:30?

MR. CHAIRMAN: It's 5:30, I am leaving the Chair to return at 8:00 p.m. this evening.

Mr. Malinowski's speech in Polish on Thursday, June 1, 1972.

Dziękuję Panie Przewodniczący:

Przede wszystkim nie mogę zrozumieć sytuacji wywołanej przez Czcigodnego Posła ze Swan River. Wydawać by się mogło, że powinien być zorjętowany w całości zagadnienia, lecz niestety, sytuacja wygląda zupełnie inaczej, wnioskując z tego co on wypowiada obecnie.

Wydaje mi się, że Poseł ze Swan River jest człowiekiem dość wysokiego wykształcenia i dlatego właśnie oceni sprawę edukacji, jako najbardziej zasadniczą. A teraz wynika coś innego z jego wypowiedzi. Wygląda na to jakby się nie zgadzał, że właśnie nauczanie prowadzi do postępu, do osiągnięcia i do polepszenia bytu człowieka.

(PRZERWA)

Dziękuję Panie Przewodniczący! Jest mi niezmiernie przykro, że jednak Czicgodny członek ze Swan River znalazł sobie przyjaciela z Charleswood, który popiera jego wywody.

Muszę się przyznać, że znam cztery języki – co może zdziwi Posła ze Swan River i z Charlewood. A znam je dlatego, że chodziłem do szkoły, której celem było wychowanie i nauczanie człowieka. Nie mam zamiaru przeciągać dyskusji Panie Przewodniczący, lecz jedną rzecz chciałbym podkreślić, że w wieku tak wielkiej technologii, gdzie żyjemy w świecie komputorów, a zasadnicze wychowanie i kształcenie człowieka spełnia ciągle jeszcze szkoła. Aby ta szkoła mogła spełnić swe zadanie musi być dobrą, a na dobre szkoły potrzeba nam funduszów.

Wiem, źe Minister Uniwersytetów i szkół zdaje sobie sprawę jaki ciężar odpowiedzialności spada na niego pod tym względem. Odpowiedzialność za wychowanie człowiska nie tylko na dobrego obywatela Manitoby, lecz także Kanady i całego świata.

Widzę, że członek ze Swan River już wstaje i będzie znowu protestował, ale nie szkodzi, niech protestuje dalej. Chciałbym mu tylko przypomnieć, że obchodząc uroczystość stulecia Kanady podkreślaliśmy najbardziej fakt na mozaike, która tworzy Manitobę. A to że członkowi z Charleswood nie podoba się to, że mówię po polsku też nie szkodzi. Nie reprezentuję na szczęście Charleswood, lecz Point Douglas. Panie Przewodniczący, raz jeszcze pragnę podziękować za możliwość przemówienia we własnym języku i w języku tych wyborców których jest pokaźna liczba w moim okregu wyborczym.

(MR, MALINOWSKI'S speech cont'd)

Na drugi raz postaram się mówić po angielsku aby zadowolić Czcigodnych Posłow ze Swan River, jak równieź z Charleswood. Dziękuje!

(TRANSLATION)

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

It is difficult for me to understand the position taken by the Honourable Member for Swan River.

It would be rather easy to assume that he is well-oriented in all aspects of this situation but unfortunately from all he has expressed, it looks otherwise.

Foremost I always thought him a man of higher education. Now that we are discussing the most important question - maybe even fundamental question - to progress and wellbeing of all humanity, which is education. This man's expressions are to the contrary. Does this mean that the Honourable Member for Swan River does not agree that education moulds and creates human beings out of mere human shapes? I have always thought an educated man would know, admit and support this view.

----- Point of Order etc.

Thank you Mr. Chairman.

I am deeply disappointed in the views of the Honourable Member for Swan River and also my disappointment extends to the fact that he has found sympathetic support from the Honourable Member for Charleswood. But I have learned to speak those languages because I went to school that aimed at educated human beings.

It is not my intention, Mr. Chairman, to prolong this discussion, but to make a very clear point that in the Golden Age of Techology and the world of computers, the actual raising, making and educating of mankind is still done foremost by the schools and systems of teaching.

If what we call education is to be effective and productive, we must create effective and productive schools and systems of teaching and that requires funds.

Personally, I believe that the Minister for Universities and Colleges fully knows and realizes the weight of this responsibility on him – responsibility to raise, mould and shape humanity and society not only to create good citizens for Manitoba and Canada but also for the whole world.

I can see the Honourable Member for Swan River is getting up to protest, but that's alright, let him protest, but also let me remind him that while celebrating Canada's Centennial, we stressed one thing more than any other and that was the mosaic that we have in Manitoba.

If the Honourable Member for Charleswood does not agree or like it, it is his business and privilege. I do not represent Charleswood but Point Douglas - therefore, Mr. Chairman, I would like to express my gratitude for allowing me the opportunity to speak in my native language which is also a native language for my constituents.

Next time I will try to speak in English to please the Honourable Members for Swan River and Charleswood.

Thank you.