Hello,

I would like to point out a few facts that should be recognized by anyone involved with this application. I am a rural resident that lives under 200 meters from a barn and under 300 from a lagoon.

Lagoon covers are not effective in my opinion. Most of the time the odour comes from the vents in the barns not the lagoon. If your agitating before spreading yes that can stink but it's for a short time. Like spreading, it's fast and people shouldn't complain about something that only happens for a day or two each year. Noone is living close to this facility as far as I can tell anyways.

The application lists 300 AU. This seems very much like the space required for one of the five barns, based on a hog space calculator and about 9 sq ft. Isn't the lagoon for all five barns and therefore this should show 1500 au?

A few notes of caution in regards to these structures.

1) Any hog barn that uses concrete slotted floor, liquid manure slurry systems (LMSS) is causing problems for air, water, AMR, human and animal rights and biodiversity. I can provide a detailed executive summary report with pages of references and scientific studies backing up this statement.

2) New compost bedding systems that are economical to operate and lower capital cost exist, but they are not being implemented by industry. These new systems solve all the problem issues above. The industry is reluctant to support this change due to risk aversion and lack of support from government. It is also lack of awarness which is why I'm contributing this comment.

3) Our spreading calculators are out of date. New soil science is showing that we are overapplying slurry. New water management science is showing that agriculture run off is causing nutrient overloads in our lakes. As city and municipal water treatement facilities are improved attention for the cause of algea blooms will shift to agriculture, which in MB is heavily weighted in hogs. LMSS will be under attack. If these spreading calculators are modernized the cost of handling LMSS will escalate.

4) Biodigestors are not a solution, as decades of bad experiences with the dairy industry show. They are too expensive and do not address most of the issues. Investing in biodigestors, especially with public money will be an expensive mistake. Details and references on this important issue are also in my report.

Enjoy removing the cover! But please be open minded about new technology and look to the future. A future without stinky, nasty, dangerous liquid manure slurry systems that make your neighbours upset and your employees quit.

Thanks

Brent Bjorklund

Brittany McLeod November 5, 2023 6:50 PM +WPG139 - TRC TRC 12-100

Hello.

In reference to TRC 12-100

My name is Brittany McLeod. Iam writing to express my concern over the proposal to lift the Conditional Use Order RCU-03-08. I recently bought a home in Holmfield MB and this will greatly affect our quality of living if the smell that we already have to deal with gets worse. Please consider keeping the synthetic manure covers on the Hylife pig barn lagoons. This would create such division within the community if the covers were removed. Already members of the community don't like the barns, why make them hate the owners too? We all have to eat but why not make an effort for your neighbors to keep the air quality as good as possible?

Brittany McLeod Holmfield Manitoba
 From:
 November 23, 2023 8:59 PM

 Sent:
 November 23, 2023 8:59 PM

 To:
 +WPG139 - TRC

 Subject:
 TRC 12-100

Technical Review Co-ordination Unit

Our names are Dave & Rhonda Koslowsky, we live on SE 10-2-16, our yard is about 3/4 of a mile south east of Pinot farm. We are also about 1/2 mile northwest of the Redwine, formerly Robert Wiebe barns. We also have a cow/calf operation along side our grain farm. We are no stranger to odours, whether hog or cattle. We tolerate the smells, as that is part of owning livestock. As we recall only once or twice the odours from the hogs have come from Pinot farm. The worst smell comes when the lagoon is cleaned out and that depends on the wind direction when the spreading takes place. We were not aware that there was a covering on the lagoon, maybe that is why we never smelled anything. I understand that the cost of a cover can be expensive and hard to maintain, the winds can do lots of damage. We have no issues with the request of the removal the covers. However, We would like to encourage the Government, Hylife and other large hog producers to keep researching ways in reducing odours.

Dave & Rhonda Koslowsky

Gene & Barb Vandenberghe



Killarney, Manitoba

November 14. 2023

Technical Review Coordination Unit

Municipal Relations

604-800 Portage Ave.

Wpg. Mb. R3G 0N4

Re: TRC 12 - 100 - Pinot Farms SW 15-2-16 WPM

We are OPPOSED to the removal of the synthetic cover of the manure storage facility at the above location. The synthetic cover was part of the conditional use order No. RCU - 03- 08 and as such should stay in place.

Surely the off-shore owners have the resources to have a synthetic cover developed that could with-stand the rigors of it's use. After all, abiding by conditional use orders are just the cost of doing business.

Again we are OPPOSED to any changes of conditional use orders for Pinot Farms SW 15-2-16.

Regards:

Gene Vandenberghe

Standenbugte

Barbara Vandenberghe Barbera Vandenberghe



Gertie Martens November 12, 2023 2:13 PM +WPG139 - TRC Conditional Use Order No RCU-03-08

To whom it may concern:

I am requesting that the proposed removal of the HyLife Conditional Use Order No RCU-03-08 Pinot Farms (TRC 12-100) be completely denied.

I have farmed with my late husband and my family since 1963, with our land in very close proximity to this said barn location.

it would be very devastating to this area to have to deal with the results of this order being rescinded.

I trust the Government of Manitoba will stand behind its citizens in preference to a foreign company.

Sincerely, Gertie Martens

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Grant Rigby
Sent:	December 3, 202 <u>3 11:53 PM</u>
<u>To:</u>	+WPG139 - TRC;
Subject:	TRC 12-100 HyLife Ltd -Pinot Farms slurry cover

To: Technical Review Committee responsible for reviewing possible environmental and public health impacts of intensive livestock operations, and

To: Manitoba Workplace Safety (later) and to MIA:

Re: TRC 12-100 HyLife Ltd - Pinot Farms

HyLife Ltd. is requesting to be allowed to cease maintaining a cover over its swine excrement slurry pit, and thereby greatly increase the hazard of harm to its employees' health from irregular high inhalation dosage of neurotoxic and immunity suppressive hydrogen sulphide known from world science to be created at high levels in the slurry especially by late summer.

The shelterbelt of trees planted around the site is intended to contain the odour and H2S rich gases within the confines of the barn site. Workers are therefore exposed whenever outside the barns such as daily coming to work and also within the barns whenever the air drawn in to barns contains gases from the nearby uncovered slurry pit.

To protect HyLife's employees at its "Pinot" (fraudulently named after a beautiful fragrant wine having no H2S gas in it vapor) Farms hog barns, Manitoba Government must therefore forbid HyLife from risking its workers' health from inhalation of H2S, and thus reject HyLife's request to leave its H2S fermenting swine slurry pit without a protective cover.

If HyLife was to present to TRC evidence of new contracts with farmers in which the farmers agree to take the slurry direct from the barns on every day that the land is dry enough and unfrozen enabling direct injection under soil cover, and in this way there would be no slurry stored thru hot summer and fermenting H2S, then on that basis only could HyLife's request for no cover be accepted.

To: Manitoba Institute of Agrologists (MIA):

Same as for Dentistry and Engineering, Manitoba's Profession of Agrology Act is intended to ensure all Professional Agrologists P.Ag. "serve the public interest" with honesty and diligent scientific competence – Dr. Clay Gilson 1980.

<u>Question:</u> Does Manitoba Institute of Agrologists have confidence in the professionalism, and therefore does MIA assure the public to have full confidence in the statements, recommendations and rulings of MIA's P.Ag.

members involved with the proposals for swine operations and with Manitoba Agriculture's Livestock Technical Review Committee's rulings:

"... the proposed operation will not create a risk to health, safety or the environment, or that any risk can be minimized through the use of appropriate practices, measure and safeguards." ?

But, the P.Ag.'s in government and applicant ought to know of two decades of scientific research consistently reporting that toxic H2S **hydrogen sulfide** is fermented to dangerous levels in stored anaerobic warm liquid swine excrement and then released into the air inhaled by people. Example: 2021 research and discussion by Iowa U Veterinary College* (linked below, and also mailed by me to 1100+ residents of Killarney because government has negligently not warned swine workers nor neighbours nor public nor apparently Workplace Safety Health or swine industry management would have been disciplined/fined/ordered shut down by now after two decades of willful exposure of workers to fluctuating high levels of hydrogen sulphide inhalation.

And the P.Ag.s surely know that putting an uncovered earthern storage on hill above the barns, and indeed any barn adjacent to a highway, will result in heavier-than-air H2S flowing down to the barn intakes and workers inhaling H2S, and also while outside, and drift into automobile air intakes causing neurological impairment at high road speed.

And the P.Ag.s surely know that drivers of field application will inhale high levels of H2S released from the end of the pump out hoze, as will as anyone down slope, yet Manitoba farmers are not advised of H2S as a farming hazard.

And the P.Ag.s surely know that Manitoba's Planning Act allows for the manager of an H2S-releasing excrement storage to live in the same barn yard site with children, which the applicant would be free to order of an indentured immigrant staff.

And the P.Ag.s surely know that excrement application is not under the soil surface as done with chemical phosphate fertilizer, but rather dribbled onto merely roughed surface including through low dry drains that inundate with snow melt water carrying surplus **phosphate** that has not been adsorbed onto soil particles, plus non-ionic phosphorus compounds such as ATP and ADP from plant origin in manures, into lakes to nourish neurotoxin-producing cyanobacteria at public beaches.

And the P.Ag.s surely know that human infectious viruses (eg 1918 swine flu), when present in swine, will not be inactivated by sunlight UV when **virus aerosols** are exhausted by barn fans onto drift into homes at nighttime.

Municipal councils, despite elected, appear to have been instructed by Manitoba Planning to consider all matters of safety to have been reviewed and the conclusions of TRC final, as evidenced by a Hearing in Killarney when the chair thrice prevented me from reporting a summary of public health science by ruling that the world's science was "out of order"!

The public and our ruling MLA's rely solely upon MIA to ensure that all Professional Agrologists in both the applicant, and in government involved in the Technical Review of applications for Conditional Use permits, always exemplify the high code of ethics, honesty and scientific rigor demanded of all Professional Agrologists by MIA.

After seeding I shall request MIA to reconsider P.Ag. status of individuals with Manitoba Agriculture and applicant, because Swine faeces urine slurry that is anaerobic due to depth and warm due to summer heat ferments H2S that is allowed to exit from the storage if it does not have an impervious cover, that is likely implicated in the experienced neurotoxin induced <u>accidents near barns, immune suppression cancers</u> initiated where H2S gas meets blood in deep lungs, depression illnesses, and probably

enduring H2S regulation imbalance at the cellular level possibly leading to a dependancy for more H2S dosing (my guess on this last point).

Ref: <u>scholar.google.com</u> Search: "cyanobacteria phosphorus neurotoxin", "swine human virus aerosol", "swine hydrogen sulphide" (example * from Iowa State U. 2021 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2021.1896986</u>)

(Above was submitted to Mun Killarney Turt Mtn Council, plus copies to Manitoba Agriculture's **TRC and HyLife the applicant**, ahead of a conditional use public hearing of **June 6**, 2022. The P.Ags then involved have thus now had 18 months to review the scientific literature I have referred them to for their understanding, and 18 months to contemplate their professional obligations for truthful service to the public interest.) I therefore now request MIA to review suitability for MIA membership of the P.Ag. representing the applicant for TRC 12-100, and also the P.Ag.s serving on the TRC should the application be approved. I'll name them via separate correspondence. Ref: <u>gov.mb.ca/mr/livestock/public registries.html</u> Grant Rigby M.Sc.Food, B.Sc.Ag (retired 25+yrs P.Ag.), unretiring farmer. Prior discussions: grantrigby.ca

Jared Kroeker November 10, 2023 10:59 PM +WPG139 - TRC Hylife Ltd. - Pinot Farms Concerns TRC 12-100

With regards to TRC 12-100.

To whom it may concern,

It has come to my attention that Hylife Ltd. has proposed a request to remove the condition for a synthetic manure cover implemented under Conditional Use Order No. RCU-03-08 for its Pinot Farms pig operations located at SW 15-2-16 W, Holmfield, Manitoba.

I am making it very clear that under no circumstances should the Conditional Use Order No. RCU-03-08 be removed for the cost saving benefit of Hylife Ltd. This pig barn already having a negative impact on local land owners while benefitting the select few should not be allowed to further encroach on the rights of everyone else.

As of right now we (a family who lives on the Holmfield Road) deal with poor road conditions caused by the additional traffic of Hylife feed and transport trucks. While the road is used by farmers and a local gravel pit, the traffic from Hylife trucks has worsened the road to such an extent that after living here for 25 years I have not seen the road in such poor shape. This in combination with the smell of the barn manure when there is a east wind has caused resentment towards Hylife and their unethical choices. While I'm not against pig operations in the area considering they provide a great fertilizer source for farmers and enable economic growth it does anger me when boundaries are ignored. When Hylife requested Pinot Farms to be built there were concerns by many locals voiced (such as smell, road traffic, water pollution) and were told that there would be conditional use orders such as RCU-03-08 set in place to alleviate their concerns. This provided a good compromise between both parties and was fair.

Removal of these conditions is not fair, this was not part of the agreement, this is trying to push people who care about the land, air, and water to the side so a foreign owned company can post a larger profit on their next quarterly earnings. If Hylife needs to keep replacing the synthetic cover because it becomes damaged than so be it, that's the cost of doing business. This is their problem, they (Hylife) agreed to this and should have known the costs associated with accepting the conditional use order. The costs and problems associated with implementing the order should never be pushed onto the local residents who see no benefit from the operation.

Sincerely,

Jared Kroeker

 From:
 Jean Harrison

 Sent:
 November 30, 2023 8:02 AM

 To:
 +WPG139 - TRC

 Subject:
 Reference: TRC 12-100.

Technical Review Co-ordination Unit Municipal Relations 604-800 Portage Ave Wpg, MB R3G 0N4

I am writing once again regarding the renewed application by Hylife Ltd.-Pinot Farms at SW1/4 15-2-16 WPM to remove the existing Conditional Use Order RCU-03-08, which requires the earthen manure storage facility at the above location to be covered with a synthetic cover.

1) As a neighbour within 3 miles of this site and as a taxpayer, I am opposed to lifting the conditional use requirement. At the present time we struggle with and are concerned about offensive debilitating odour coming frequently from the lagoon.

2)There is no shortage of information about different types of covers, their effectiveness in controlling odours, and their cost.

Any ordinary person on the street can access this information and so can Hylife. There should be no reason to not be able to find a cover that works.

2) Cost can not be an acceptable reason to have the condition removed. Hylife must have done their due diligence prior to the construction of the site and as such must have been aware of the different coverings and the cost associated.

They also knew they had to meet the conditional use requirement up front - that wasn't added after the fact. If they knew they couldn't afford it at the beginning, they should not have gone ahead with construction of the barn.

4) If the conditional use is waived for this site, a precedent will have been set and will pave the way for other sites to have theirs removed as well.

5) Hylife stresses that they want to be good neighbours and that they are community-minded. If so, then keep the lagoon covers in place to minimize the odours the neighbours are all experiencing. If allowed not to be covered, it will be next to impossible to require coverage at a later date!

5) Why are they having trouble with this covering?Is the problem particular to this site?If so, why? That may be the place to focus investigations.

6) Has Hylife been required to put forth an alternate plan rather than just asking to abandon the requirement?

I am trusting you will take these concerns and comments seriously as it has a major effect on the quality of life of many people within the R.M.

Sincerely, Jean Harrison Holmfield,MB

Sent from my iPhone

jim nichol November 9, 2023 8:05 AM +WPG139 - TRC TRC 12 -100

We are requesting the condition for the synthetic manure cover ,conditional use order No. RCU-03-06 Hylife Ltd. Pinot farms (TRC 12-100) remain in place. The barn was only built there on the condition that the lagoons have synthetic covers on them, if Hylife didn't plan on keeping their part of the deal it should never have been built. What good are conditions if they can be removed ? Hylife is a foreign owned company, if they want to be in our community they should have to follow the rules like everyone else, they are not privileged. Sheldon Stott assured us all the conditions would be followed.

.One of Hylifes core values is DO WHAT WE SAY, SAY WHAT WE DO?

The cover works very well but apparently Hylife has no concern for their neighbours.

Leaving the cover in place is the right thing to do. Jim and Colllen Nichol

FROM: KIM LANGEN AND IAN PAUL NW 20-2-15 W NEAR HOLMFIELD, MANITOBA

RE: TRC 12-100, and HyLife's proposed request to <u>remove</u> a conditional use order (C.U. Order N. RCU-03-08) for a synthetic manure cover at their Pinot Farms (TRC 12-100) barn.

To the Tech Review Board/Co-ordination Unit:

We have already endured the experience of this barn not covering its massive pit of ordure, as the synthetic cover has been repeatedly ripped in recent years (in non-compliance with municipal orders), and not functioning.

For two miles of PTH #458, just west of this four-building barn, I was literally driving home from work though a 'wall of death' in those summers, as the foul, heated manure stench flowed over the land for a number of miles in a westerly wind. It was actually beyond belief.

No one should endure this, and it is in total contravention of the rights of homeowners to live and enjoy their premises, as per planning permission.

We already endure the ventilation stench from this barn, especially on warm summer nights when it flows close to the ground and into our open bedroom windows. To add the heated aromas of an uncontrolled, uncovered fecal storage pit of 18,000 porcine animals to this mix is simply ridiculous. What are they thinking of??

Of course we oppose this request by HyLife to remove the conditional order for a synthetic manure cover at the Pinot Barn. Who wouldn't?

How could anyone want to have this heated steaming mass of contaminated air roll through their beautiful homes and gardens? The nearby community of Holmfield (within a couple of miles) is a beautiful and thriving hamlet, with an increasing number of people living and working there, and commuting to nearby towns. The Pinot Barn is far too close to this populated area to consider such an application.

We are people like you, and we matter just as much. We raise livestock and produce for consumers in cities like Winnipeg to eat and enjoy. You need us here, and this is an offense to our persons.

If the reason for this outrageous application is to save HyLife some \$70,000 in replacement costs for their synthetic covers, it is not sufficient reason to foist this cost-efficiency measure upon those of us who live and work in the rural areas.

We all have septic tanks on our farms, and wouldn't dream of not covering them. It would be unhealthy, unsafe, and foul smelling – of course! Why on earth would anyone think of not covering this massive storage tank of hog feces?

The Municipality of Killarney Turtle Mountain made this a condition of the operation of this barn, and I believe in allowing a rescinding of the original conditional use order would open the door and set a precedent for similar applications. No, we are definitely not in favour of this application, for all the above reasons.

Kim Langen and Ian Paul.

From:	Marilyn Kroeker
Sent:	November 12, 2023 1:16 PM
То:	+WPG139 - TRC
Subject:	Conditional Use Order No RCU03-08, HyLife Ltd Pinot Farms (TRC 12-100

To Whom It May Concern;

I am requesting that the Government does NOT approve the request from HyLife Ltd to remove the Conditional Use Order for the synthetic cover on the manure pit, No RCU-03-08 at Pinot Farms (TRC 12-100).

I have lived on my father's family farm approximately three miles from Pinot barn for most of my life, including building my retirement home close to my son and his family here 6 years ago. I respect the fact that the HyLife barns have added to the economy in this area and I support agricultural change and growth. In turn, I expect that HyLife respect and support the citizens who reside near their barn.

It is cruel that the managers of HyLife would consider having this manure pit uncovered as it would greatly affect the quality of life for so many people living near this site. The dense stench that radiates for miles when the cover is not on is something that HyLife agreed to control when the barn was built. They were fully aware in advance that this expense would need to be included in their operational costs. HyLife's attempt to rescind on this Condition Use Order is wrong and it would also lead me to question the intent of those who would considering approving it.

In addition, HyLife agreed to have their trucks go around via #3 highway to the barn as the additional costs to the municipality to maintain the Holmfield Road would increase greatly. The trucks are not complying to this and the already busy and well used road is now in absolutely terrible condition. This is another example of how HyLife disregards the Municipality, environment and residents where their barns are located.

It is clear that HyLife should be held accountable and comply with the Conditional Use Order No RCU-03-08 at Pinot Farms (TRC 12-100) in the Municipality of Killarney-Turtle Mountain. As Canadians we live in a country where our rights and rules make it a great place to live. That right seems to be one that could be jeopardized by HyLife Ltd., a foreign company, if they are allowed to control issues and change rules in Canada.

Thank you, with anticipation of your support.

Marilyn Kroeker

Sent from Mail for Windows

Michael Unger November 5, 2023 4:43 PM +WPG139 - TRC TRC 12-100

My name is Michael Unger. I am writing to express my concern over the proposal to lift the COnditional Use Order RCU-03-08. I recently bought a home in Holmfield MB and this will greatly affect our quality of living if the smell that we already have to deal with gets worse. Please consider keeping the synthetic manure covers on the Hylife pig barn lagoons. This would create such division within the community if the covers were removed. Already members of the community don't like the barns, why make them hate the owners too? We all have to eat but why not make an effort for your neighbors to keep the air quality as good as possible?

From:	MICHELLE DYCK
Sent:	November 20, 2023 7:02 PM
То:	+WPG139 - TRC
Subject:	hylife

i understand hylife is going through with a proposal to apply for permission to not use a lagoon cover on a barn site in turtle mountain.this was one of the main conditions for approval of this barn on this site .we as neighbours to this barn think that under no circumstance should they be able to continue without the cover the smell coming from the hogs is really bad and anything to help mitigate the smell is needed . already we're seeing a municipality lax on mitigating smell from the hog barns in turtle mtn. barns that are expected to have straw blown on lagoons to keep smell down only do so if the public complains .i dont care if the plastic cover has to be replaced every year . thats the problem for hylife to address .they said they would cover the lagoon and they should stick with the deal they made .at one time the hogs belonged to your neighbour but now we have to smell hogs belonging to foreign corporations . also hylife and turtle mtn. said the barns would help with employing locale people but in reality many of the labourers are brought in from other countrys to work in the barns . i think turtle mtn. should have a sign on the highway leading into the municipality that says welcome you can smell us before you see us .one last thing i want to say is that theres many of us living justt off of turtle mtn municipality in cartwright roblin municipality and we dont get informed on what is happening .and we're down wind . imagine living next to an open septic tank. i hope you make the right decision .thankyou randy dyck

rhodge November 10, 2023 4:44 PM +WPG139 - TRC TRC12-100

My name is Randy Hodge, living in the Municipality of Killarney / Turtle Mountain and have concerns about HyLife's request to remove the synthetic cover on their Pinot barn. When Hylife purchased the property and made application to build, the Council of the day implemented the need for a synthetic cover, in part due to the distance to farm neighbour's and the proximity to the community of Holmfield. Hylife management sat on the property for approximately 10 years before deciding to build this barn, an ample time to investigate the various ways to install a synthetic cover. Hylife had met with Council prior to building, asking if that requirement was still to be met, and Council indicated that it was. Hylife, then had the option to carry on with the build with these requirements, or they could have listed the property for sale to surrounding farmers.

It is my opinion that the requirement for a synthetic cover should not be removed from this site. There are other options than the style of cover used today, being held in place by sandbags and nothing else. Hylife should look at these other options, to safeguard the citizens that live in the surrounding area, these folks were there first, and should not have to suffer from a large corporations financial desires.

Randy Hodge



Robert Kroeker November 13, 2023 7:56 PM +WPG139 - TRC Hylife Ltd. Pinot Farms ConcernsTRC 12/100

To the Government of Manitoba,

in regards to order #RCU-03-08 where in the lagoon cover is to be in place to cover the smell of the pig manure. All parties had agreed on this in order for Hylife to build hog barns on the site of SW 15-2-16. So now when the cover has been damaged, Hylife wants to change what was originally agreed upon.

In no way should the lagoon cover be allowed to be permanently removed. If the cover is damaged, Hylife needs to repair it and keep it in place on the lagoon so that the rural neighbors don't have to suffer the stench of the manure pits. That is one of the reasons for the cover and that is also why Hylife was allowed to set up barns there. We should not have to suffer because some foreign company wants to save a buck in my rural community.

I live a few miles East of the Pinot barns and enjoy the fresh country air. Removing the cover from the lagoon will affect our life style in a very negative way. I have already had to put up with our road being destroyed by the extra traffic of the Hylife trucks. They are suppose to go around on #3 highway and come up to the barns from the south so there would be less damage to our road.

Hylife needs to train their employees on proper procedure for removing and installing the lagoon covers and also invest in a product that is less susceptible to damage.

Regards, Rob Kroeker

Robert Kroeker Service Technician Greenvalley Equipment. Inc.

Wayne McDonald November 14, 2023 7:36 PM +WPG139 - TRC TRC 12-100

Hello,

My name is Wayne McDonald. My wife Maria, our kids and myself live on **Sector** We are located approximately 4 miles east of the Hylife barns identified in TRC 12-100. We are very much in favour of Hylife being required to have a synthetic cover over the manure pits on site. The stench from the open pits when our prevailing west winds are blowing, presumably when the cover is torn or when the manure is being pumped out, is often overpowering. It can be difficult to breathe when outside. I can only imagine what it would be like if that smell is present almost every day, rather than 15-20 days a year. We feel that Hyife should not be allowed to remove the council order for a manure cover at the Pinot Barn.

Wayne McDonald

Cartwright, MB