Name of Community	
Project Title:	

1. Strategic Planning

The strategic planning portion of the assessment is to determine if the project is well planned and if the proper justification has been provided to warrant the project.

Score Project Assessment

Guidelines

a. Has the sustainability of the infrastructure been planned?

- No 0 Is a projected operation and maintenance Yes – 5 (O&M) cost included in the study or contractor's quotes?
 - Can the community recover 20% of the annual O&M?
 - A detailed O&M recovery plan for a minimum of 20% of the annual O&M locally generated revenue portion, must be present and realistic.
- Determine if the community has the funding to cover a minimum of 20% of the annual O&M of the infrastructure once the project is complete (from existing budget, user fees, etc.).

b. Will this be more energy efficient, cost- effective?

- No 0 Yes – 5
- Does this project result in O&M or life cycle cost savings now or in the future?
- Determine if the project will have longterm benefits in the capital expenditure or O&M costs, even though the service is presently sufficient. For example, piped service versus delivery may prove more cost-effective in the long-term.

c. Is this service/project to be cost shared by the community and/or outside agencies?

- No 0 Yes – 5
- Application must include evidence of approval or that an attempt has been made to explore all avenues for cost sharing or alternative funding of capital and O&M costs including other provincial/federal grants, other agencies, users or adjacent First Nation communities.
- Determine if there is a cost shared commitment towards this project from another source. For example, a letter of support provided by a community named in the application.
- Points may be awarded if there is evidence of approval or effort.

|--|

Financial Policies Page 1 of 4

Score Project Assessment Guidelines

d. Is this the only option to provide/acquire this service?

- No 0Is shared infrastructure with a Yes – 5 neighbouring First Nation or Municipality an option?
 - Is the infrastructure readily available within the community or surrounding area and available for rent or a service fee?
 - If the infrastructure is a replacement, can the existing infrastructure deliver the service and for how long?
- Determine if efforts have been made to look at other options of delivering this service.
- Evidence of efforts must be verified in the application.
- If other service providers are available, evidence must be provided supporting statements within the application such as inflated pricing, for example.

e. Can the community provide the service as a result of the project?

- No 0If this service or facility is provided will it Yes - 5 be used to its full potential? Are there trained staff available to operate the facility or is there a training plan?
- Determine that the community is ready for this project. For example, a purchased fire truck - trained, licensed personnel; piped water – a commitment from homeowners of hook-ups, homes are upgraded for this service.

f. Is there an internal or external report/document identifying a need for this project?

- No 0Are there reports/documents attached to Yes - 5verify the need for this project?
- Examples of a qualifying internal document may be an email, letter, or quote in the public domain from a Deputy Minister, Minister or Premier committing to resolve the need for this project.
- A current infrastructure audit report.
- Examples of a qualifying external document would be an order from a regulatory agency, an engineered study or assessment identifying the need, or a request from other agencies to cost share a project (AANDC for lagoons, WTPs or WDSs, on adjacent First Nations communities).

Score	Page 2	2:	

Financial Policies Page 2 of 4

Score Project Assessment Guidelines

g. Will this project be of benefit to the community in growth and development?

- No 0 This question may not apply to Category Yes – 5 II, Maintaining Existing Services.
- Assess whether or not the project is <u>critical</u> to the growth and development of the community. For example, due to increase of population is the new infrastructure essential?
- Points may be awarded only if the project is required for growth and development

h. Will the number of residents/communities served warrant the project?

No – 0 Yes – 5 Determine if the number of residents served by this project justifies the expenditure with the available or limited funds. For example, an access road for one resident that costs \$80,000 versus upgrading a hazardous road for 100 residents that costs \$92,000.

2. Need

The board must determine how urgent or critical the need of this project is. The assessment questions are meant to draw out this information. Note: A sliding scale may be used for a), b) and c).

a. Is the project time sensitive?

- 0 15 Is there a potential hazard to people or the environment?
 - Is there an environmental order issued?
 - Must this project be completed in the approval year?
- The board must assess the nature and degree of urgency, while considering the 5 year plan.
- Some examples of time sensitive projects include, grant deadlines (CPP), a non-functioning sewage truck, regulatory orders such as Manitoba Health, OFC, etc.
- How immediate will the present situation result in liability if no action is taken?

e Page 3:

Financial Policies Page 3 of 4

Comments:

Score	Project Assessment	Guidelines		
b.	Is there a legal liability?			
0 - 15	 Has the present infrastructure been condemned? Has other documentation been attached that provides evidence of the liability? 	 Is there a fire safety report from OFC inspections or an order from WSH, Labour or Health or any other regulator? 		
c.	Has there been a prior commitment?			
0 - 15	 Note: Feasibility studies will not be considered a prior commitment. Curb stop and drainage projects requested in phases are not considered a prior commitment. 	 Is it a part of a provincial agreement/commitment - AANDC or CPP for example? 		
d.	How critical is this project?			
0 - 25	 Based on all facts presented to the board, does this project require critical attention? Must this project be completed this year? Does the application justify the critical nature of the project? 	 The determining factor, when faced with a great number of projects and limited funds is whether the project can wait one or more years without serious implications. 		
Score Pa	nge 4:			
Total Sc	Total Score Pages 1 - 4: of a possible 110			
Recommended: YES or NO				

Financial Policies Page 4 of 4