

## **SECTION 5.0**

### **OPTIMIZATION OF EXISTING PLANTS**

---

#### **5.1 INTRODUCTION**

This section considers the potential ability of the existing three wastewater treatment plants to reduce effluent ammonia concentrations without the need for the City of Winnipeg to undertake an extensive capital program. The ability of the plants to achieve ammonia reductions varies among the three plants, depending upon the type of plant and the present and projected loading characteristics.

Generally, the strategies developed are based on downloading a portion of the facility so that it is able to nitrify, without unduly stressing the remainder of the plant.

#### **5.2 OPTIMIZATION OF THE NORTH END WATER POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE (NEWPCC)**

Flows and loads used to assess potential optimization strategies for the NEWPCC are based on those developed in Section 2.0. Projected primary effluent characteristics for the four seasons of the design year (2041) are repeated in Table 5.1.

**Table 5.1: Projected Primary Effluent Characteristics  
for the Year 2041 – NEWPCC**

| Periods       | Flow<br>(ML/d) | Flow Used in<br>Load Calculation<br>(ML/d) | TSS<br>(kg/d) | BOD<br>(kg/d) | COD<br>(kg/d) | TKN<br>(kg/d) | TP<br>(kg/d) |
|---------------|----------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| <b>Winter</b> |                |  |               |               |               |               |              |
| Average       | 211            | 211  | 22,809        | 34,414        | 68,828        | 8,862         | 1,245        |
| Maximum Month | 237            | 237  | 27,682        | 41,475        | 82,950        | 10,144        | 1,398        |
| Maximum Week  | 250            | 223  | 32,335        | 47,053        | 94,106        | 11,373        | 1,650        |
| Maximum Day   | 260            | 211  | 42,036        | 61,169        | 122,338       | 14,785        | 2,145        |
| <b>Spring</b> |                |  |               |               |               |               |              |
| Average       | 390            | 390  | 34,905        | 33,969        | 67,938        | 9,672         | 1,443        |
| Maximum Month | 571            | 571  | 59,670        | 46,023        | 92,045        | 11,249        | 1,827        |
| Maximum Week  | 705            | 437  | 89,107        | 58,968        | 117,936       | 13,322        | 2,228        |
| Maximum Day   | 710            | 390  | 115,839       | 76,658        | 153,317       | 17,319        | 2,896        |
| <b>Summer</b> |                |  |               |               |               |               |              |
| Average       | 291            | 291  | 27,674        | 30,380        | 60,761        | 8,352         | 1,251        |
| Maximum Month | 381            | 381  | 40,424        | 37,338        | 74,676        | 9,639         | 1,524        |
| Maximum Week  | 449            | 352  | 52,800        | 53,856        | 107,712       | 13,376        | 2,042        |
| Maximum Day   | 686            | 291  | 68,640        | 70,013        | 140,026       | 17,389        | 2,654        |
| <b>Fall</b>   |                |  |               |               |               |               |              |
| Average       | 250            | 250  | 21,100        | 30,975        | 61,950        | 8,425         | 1,125        |
| Maximum Month | 312            | 312  | 29,640        | 36,941        | 73,882        | 9,890         | 1,373        |
| Maximum Week  | 364            | 258  | 34,248        | 43,003        | 86,005        | 10,300        | 1,828        |
| Maximum Day   | 526            | 250  | 44,522        | 55,903        | 111,807       | 13,390        | 2,377        |

The NEWPCC is a high purity oxygen activated sludge treatment plant with a relatively short hydraulic retention time (HRT). This HRT limits the sludge retention time (SRT) at which the plant can be operated because high SRTs would result in extremely high MLSS concentrations. At these concentrations, solids loading to the secondary clarifiers would overload this unit process. Presently, the NEWPCC is operated at sludge retention times of 2.0 to 2.5 days. Analysis summarized in Section 4.0 illustrated that to achieve an ammonia concentration of less than 2.0 mg/L during the summer months, the plant SRT would have to be increased to greater than 8 days during this period. Longer SRTs are required during the late winter and spring months due to the low wastewater temperatures.

There are six HPO bioreactors, arranged in three pairs. Each pair of reactors has a dedicated set of secondary clarifiers. It would be possible to restrict the flow to some of the process trains so that they could achieve nitrification while increasing the flow to the remainder of the reactors. In Section 4.0, it was determined that each bioreactor could reasonably handle 5.6 percent of the flow, while maintaining nitrification. At the same time, modifications would need to be made to allow pH modification. This modification would be done by opening the last zone so excess CO<sub>2</sub> could be removed

by stripping. Hence, if one bioreactor was controlled to achieve nitrification, 5.6 percent of the flow would be nitrified and the remainder would be treated in the other five bioreactors to meet conventional standards. If two bioreactors were converted, 11.2 percent of the flow would be nitrified and the remaining 88.8 percent of the flow would be treated conventionally. Table 5.2 illustrates the predicted effluent characteristics for the NEWPCC with one, two, and three nitrifying bioreactors.

**Table 5.2: Secondary Effluent Ammonia Concentrations – Optimization Options**

| Number of Nitrifying Reactors | Number of Conventional Reactors | Effluent Ammonia concentration, mg/L (Summer) |              |          |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|
|                               |                                 | Nitrifying                                    | Conventional | Combined |
| 1                             | 5                               | 1.0   | 19.0         | 18.0     |
| 2                             | 4                               | 1.0   | 18.5         | 16.5     |
| 3                             | 3                               | 1.0   | 18.0         | 15.2     |

To achieve the conversion of the plant summarized above, it would be necessary to operate the conventional bioreactors at more aggressive loading rates. Without any allowance for nitrification, it would be possible to operate the bioreactors at an SRT of 2.0 to 2.5 days without overloading the secondary clarifiers. With an increasing number of bioreactors operating in nitrifying mode, there would be less bioreactor capacity available for conventional treatment. To ensure that the secondary clarifiers were not overloaded, the SRT would have to be lowered to decrease the solids inventory in the treatment system. For this analysis, it has been assumed that the limiting MLSS during maximum month summer conditions would be 3,500 mg/L. With one nitrifying bioreactor, the SRT could be maintained in the 2.0 to 2.5 day range. For two nitrifying bioreactors, the SRT would be lowered to a maximum of 2.0 days. An SRT of about 1.5 days would be considered the maximum possible if three bioreactors were converted to nitrification. An SRT below 1.5 days could not be accommodated while maintaining adequate process robustness. Hence, converting three bioreactors to nitrifying mode would not be feasible due to the impact on clarification in the conventional bioreactor-clarifier sections of the plant.

At lower SRTs, there is greater biological sludge generation. When greater biological sludge is produced, there is a parallel increase in sludge management costs.

With the maximum of two bioreactors nitrifying, the effluent ammonia concentration would be lowered approximately 2.5 mg/L, or about 13 percent. This reduction in effluent ammonia is minimal. However to achieve this reduction, the secondary treatment plant would have to be segregated into two separate and distinct operations. Some minor modifications would be required in the nitrifying sections to minimize pH depression so that nitrification was not inhibited. The conventional and nitrifying operations would have separate secondary clarifiers and RAS streams. More importantly, the operating parameters used for each would differ substantially.

The potential reduction in ammonia concentrations achievable with NEWPCC optimization is minimal, while some capital costs would be incurred and operating complexity would be substantially increased. For these reasons, it is not recommended that plant optimization at the NEWPCC be considered a feasible option and it will not be pursued further.

### 5.3 OPTIMIZATION OF THE SOUTH END WATER POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE (SEWPCC)

Flows and loads used to assess potential optimization strategies for the SEWPCC are based on those summarized in Section 2.0. Projected primary effluent characteristics for the four seasons of the design year (2041) are repeated in Table 5.3.

**Table 5.3: Projected Primary Effluent Characteristics for the Year 2041 – SEWPCC**

| Periods       | Flow (ML/d) | TSS (kg/d) | BOD (kg/d) | TKN (kg/d) | TP (kg/d) |
|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| <b>Winter</b> |             |            |            |            |           |
| Average       | 75          | 6,050      | 12,415     | 2,637      | 478       |
| Maximum Month | 79.3        | 6,390      | 14,140     | 2,800      | 522       |
| Maximum Week  | 81.5        | 6,045      | 19,320     | 3,328      | 704       |
| Maximum Day   | 125         |            |            |            |           |
| <b>Spring</b> |             |            |            |            |           |
| Average       | 112.6       | 9,515      | 14,350     | 2,538      | 542       |
| Maximum Month | 151.6       | 12,230     | 18,725     | 3,065      | 768       |
| Maximum Week  | 205.3       | 16,045     | 30,275     | 4,218      | 1,210     |
| Maximum Day   | 270         |            |            |            |           |
| <b>Summer</b> |             |            |            |            |           |
| Average       | 94.2        | 7,825      | 12,870     | 2,317      | 427       |
| Maximum Month | 109.9       | 8,620      | 23,300     | 2,537      | 684       |
| Maximum Week  | 144.5       | 10,795     | 30,315     | 3,171      | 843       |
| Maximum Day   | 270         |            |            |            |           |
| <b>Fall</b>   |             |            |            |            |           |
| Average       | 84.5        | 7,195      | 12,565     | 2,426      | 433       |
| Maximum Month | 105.1       | 8,600      | 14,375     | 2,730      | 488       |
| Maximum Week  | 119.9       | 8,945      | 16,975     | 3,064      | 539       |
| Maximum Day   | 200         |            |            |            |           |

The SEWPCC is a high purity oxygen activated sludge treatment plant with a relatively short hydraulic retention time (HRT). This HRT limits the sludge retention time (SRT) at which the plant can be operated because high SRTs would result in solids loading to the secondary clarifiers that would overload this unit process.

Presently, the plant is operated at sludge retention times of 2.0 to 2.5 days. Analysis summarized in Section 4.0 illustrated that to achieve an ammonia concentration of less than 2.0 mg/L during the summer months, the plant HRT would have to be increased to about 6.2 hours to maintain a minimum SRT of approximately 8.0 days during this period. Longer SRTs were required during the late winter and spring months due to the low wastewater temperatures. One of the four bioreactors has a volume of approximately 1,620 m<sup>3</sup>, so would be able to treat approximately 6.3 ML/d, or about 7 percent of the 2041 design flow. One of the small clarifiers would be dedicated to this flow while the remaining three (two existing and one new) would be dedicated to the conventional bioreactors. Channel and piping changes would be required to accommodate this change.

In this scenario, 93 percent of the flow would receive conventional treatment in the remaining existing bioreactors and three secondary clarifiers – two existing and one new unit. In the short term, it would not be necessary to expand the plant further. However, the conventional plant would not be able to handle the 2041 flows and loads. Either additional bioreactors would have to be added or the secondary clarifier planned in the short term would have to be substantially increased in size.

Without any allowance for nitrification, the SEWPCC effluent ammonia concentration is expected to be approximately 17.5 mg/L. With 7 percent of the flow being nitrified to a residual concentration of about 1.0 mg/L, the combined effluent ammonia concentration during the summer months would be approximately 16.2 mg/L, a reduction of 1.3 mg/L from the baseline condition. Given the potential cost of the modification, the added operational complexity, and the fact that the plant would have to be further expanded in the near future to accommodate growth, this option does not appear feasible and will not be pursued further.

#### **5.4 OPTIMIZATION OF THE WEST END WATER POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE (WEWPCC)**

The WEWPCC differs from the other two wastewater treatment plants – it is an air activated sludge facility designed with a longer hydraulic retention time. Accordingly, it is better able to sustain the longer solids retention times necessary to achieve nitrification. As shown in Section 4.0, no additional tankage is required to attain ‘best practicable’ ammonia removal. As is shown in the later Section 10.0 – Moderate Ammonia Removal at the WEWPCC, continued use of the existing lagoon to polish the plant effluent achieves some level of nitrification. Because the plant is able to remove ammonia without very costly modifications, optimization is not a priority at this facility. Refer to Section 4.0 for the modifications necessary to achieve less than 2.0 mgNH<sub>3</sub>/L.