

Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency

101 - 167 Lombard Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 0T6 Agence canadienne d'évaluation environnementale

167, avenue Lombard, bureau 101 Winnipeg (Manitoba) R3B 0T6

> CEAA File No.: 4777 / MP-2011-025 MC File No.: 5524.00

June 16, 2011

Ms. Elise Dagdick Manitoba Conservation Environmental Stewardship Division 123 Main Street, Suite 160 Winnipeg, MB R3C 1A5

Dear Ms. Dagdick:

Subject: Duck Mountain Provincial Park ATV Trail – Duck Mountain, MB Parks and Natural Areas Branch

I am responding to the letter of May 19, 2011 from Ms. Tracey Braun, Director, Environmental Assessment and Licensing Branch, to Dan McNaughton, Director, Prairie Region, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (the Agency), regarding the project identified above.

The project information provided by Manitoba Conservation was reviewed by the Agency and was shared with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Environment Canada. Based on the responses to the survey the application of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* (the Act) by a federal authority will not be required for this project. I have enclosed copies of the relevant responses for your file.

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the project information and has indicated it has an interest in this project. EC has provided a letter of comments and advice for the Proponent. EC would like more information on any Species at Risk, rare plants or wetland surveys conducted in the area of the proposed route. EC has noted its concerns in the following four areas:

- 1. Species at Risk
- 2. Water Quality
- 3. Biodiversity
- 4. Wetlands

EC would like to be kept informed of the provincial review process for this project. The contact person for EC for this project is Reg Ejeckam. He can be reached at (204) 984-3522 or by email: Reg.Ejeckam@ec.gc.ca.

Thank you again for your continuing effort to ensure coordination and close communication between provincial and federal levels of government with respect to environmental assessment. If I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me by telephone at (204) 984-3233 or by e-mail at: <u>Heather.Flynn@ceaa-acee.gc.ca</u>.

Sincerely.

Deallin Flynn

Heather Flynn Environmental Assessment Officer

Encl.

c.c.: Ms. Cathy Hummelt – Manitoba Parks Ms. Sherri Clifford – DFO

Mr. Reg Ejeckam - EC



Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency Winnipeg RECEIVED

MAY 3 0 2011

REÇU Agence canadienne d'évaluation environnementale Winnipeg

Environmental Protection Operations Division Prairie & Northern 123 Main Street, Suite 150 Winnipeg, MB R3C 4W2

> Our File No.: 4194-10-5/3200 Your File No.: 4777 / MP2011-025

May 24, 2011

Ms. Heather Flynn, Environmental Assessment Officer, Prairie Region Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency 101-167 Lombard Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 0T6 Canada

Dear Ms. Flynn

RE: Duck Mountain Provincial Park ATV Trail Project

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the Environment Act Proposal (EAP) prepared by Manitoba Conservation, Parks and Natural Areas Branch for the above proposed project. EC is not a Responsible Authority (RA) under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA) because:

- a) EC is not a proponent of the project and is not conducting any act or thing that commits the department to carrying out the project in whole or in part;
- EC is not making or authorizing any form of payment or other financial assistance to the proponent for the purpose of enabling the project to be carried out in whole or in part;
- c) EC does not administer any lands involved in enabling the project to be carried out in whole or in part; and
- d) EC does not issue a permit, license, grant an approval or take any action for the purpose of enabling the project to be carried out in whole or in part.

Environment Canada has reviewed the information for the above proposed project and has determined that EC has an interest in the project and would like to participate in the provincial review of the proposed project consistent with the intent of clause 62 of the Canada-Manitoba Agreement on Environmental Assessment Co-operation.

After the review of the Environment Act Proposal project description, it is not clear to EC whether there was a Species at Risk survey of the proposed trail to determine where there are SAR along the route. In addition there does not seem to be any indication of rare plants survey along the route. EC provides the following comments.

1.0 Species at Risk

Section 5.1 Mapping of the proponent's Environment Act Proposal indicates that, through the use of Geographic Information System (GIS) mapping, locations and places of ecological value will be noted along the trails and will be protected from project development. This section lists critical wildlife habitat,

Canada

rare species, and species at risk, among other things, that will be protected from the impacts of trail development. However, there is no indication that a survey was or will be conducted by a qualified wildlife biologist to identify these. EC acknowledges the intended effort, however, recommends that the proponent conducts a SAR and rare plants survey in order to identify the species at risk and rare species along the proposed ATV route in the Provincial Park.

2.0 Water Quality

With respect to the construction activities, the water related issues indicated by the proponent consider the construction of stream crossings. The proponent indicated that DFO guidelines will be followed for this construction. The proponent is reminded compliance with subsection 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act* is mandatory. It states that:

"Subject to subsection (4), no person shall deposit or permit the deposit of a deleterious substance of any type in water frequented by fish or in place under conditions where the deleterious substance or any other deleterious substance that results from the deposit of the deleterious substance may enter such water."

Given that, despite any approvals that may be issued, there is no authorization for the deposit of materials such as noted above under the *Fisheries Act*; their deposit would be in contravention of this general prohibition. Therefore, given further that subsection 78(6) of the *Fisheries Act* also indicates that:

"No person shall be convicted of an offense under this Act if the person establishes that the person:

Exercised all due diligence to prevent the commission of the offence...."

It would be prudent for the proponent to demonstrate that all reasonable measures to prevent the deposit of deleterious substances are being exercised. As such, EC recommends that the proponent document the dynamic application of best practicable technology, including mitigation technology and of best management practices for the proposed Duck Mountain Provincial Park ATV Trail Project.

3.0 Biodiversity

EC recognizes the proposed mitigation measures to construct trails away from sensitive areas and suggests that critical wildlife habitat is avoided and fragmentation by ATV trail construction is limited. The proponent is reminded of the importance of protecting natural biodiversity. EC recommends that the proponent follow through with all mitigation measures proposed in the Environment Act Proposal to protect the native prairie species and natural biodiversity within and adjacent to the project areas.

4.0 Wetlands

The Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation (FPWC) promotes "the conservation of Canada's wetlands to sustain their ecological and socio-economic functions, now and in the future." The policy promotes the wise use of wetlands and the promotion of wetland protection through adequate consideration of wetland concerns in environmental assessments of development projects. The policy also promotes the maintenance of the functions and values derived from wetlands throughout Canada, enhancement and rehabilitation of wetlands in areas where continuing loss or degradation have reached critical levels, and utilization of wetlands in a manner that enhances prospects for their sustained and productive use by future generations. It is therefore imperative that the planning and designing of a project is made to avoid, minimize, and as a last resort, compensate any impacts made to wetlands. The proponent did not identify any wetlands in the EAP, however, it is encouraged to be aware of the federal Policy on Wetlands.

EC looks forward to continued dialogue and co-operation with respect to this project. If and when any SAR, rare plants or wetland surveys are conducted, EC would like the opportunity to review these survey reports. If you have any questions, please call Meghan Thomson at (204) 984 3316 or myself at (204) 984 3522.

Sincerely,

Reg Eleckam Senior Environmental Assessment Coordinator Environment Canada Winnipeg, MB Fax: (204) 983-0960 Phone: (204) 984-3522 Reg.Ejeckam@ec.gc.ca

	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency		Agence conadienne d'évaluation environnementale		
	101 - 167 Lombard Winnipeg, MB R3B		101 - 167 avenue Lombard Winnipeg, MB R3B 0T6		
May 19, 2	011				EAA File No.: 4777 / MP2011-0; IC File No.: 5524,00
	D - John Woodward - Jeff Moyer - Danielle Black EA South PNR		- ceaainfo		PARKS - Wendy Botkin AAFC - environmental service AAFC - Bret Ward TC - PNR EA Team CFIA - Scott Thompson
SUBJECT	: Duck Mountain Parks and Natu	Provincial P ral Areas Bra	ark ATV Trail – Duck Mo anch	untain, MB	
FCR RES	PONSE DUE: June	27, 2011			
Conservat	ion (MC) has provide	ed a conv of t			reement on Environmental icensing Branch, Manitoba for raview. The proposal is
olease ind	icate (by checking t	he appropriate	e box) whether or not your	departmen	Vagency:
has, or	anticipates, a respo (i.e. are an RA).	insibility unde	er Section 5(1) of CEAA to	assess the	environmental effects of the
lf yes, i	ndicate trigger:				Yes No
If yes, i to deter Respon	mine whether, with	n vour denart			
If yes, i to deter Respor Specify	mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate:	n your depart quested (subs	mental mandale, you wou	ld be able to nd	offer specialist advice to a
If yes, i Respor Specify require:	mine whether, withinsible Authority if reconstruction of the second seco	n your depart guested (subs	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to	Id be able to nd in a) or b) a	offer specialist advice to a
If yes, i Respon Specify 	mile and an roy, mile whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate: a additional Information blease describe additionation:	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa	Id be able to nd In a) or b) a ard a reques	Ves No
If yes, i Respor Specify requires If yes, p the dete	mile and an ory, mile whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate: a additional Information bease describe additional information: bease of a federal ke to participate in the lease describe require	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa	Id be able to nd In a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d	v offer specialist advice to a No No No No No No No No No
If yes, i Respor Specify requires If yes, p the dete in the al would li If yes, p Please resp	mile and an arry, mile arry, mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate: a additional Informat bease describe additional Information: bease describe additional Information: bease of a federal bease describe requires the to participate in the lease describe requires to the above of the abo	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional Informa trigger, has a he provincial ested involve or M. B.	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in Interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ement I.e. comments for the	Id be able to nd In a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d e Agreemen e provincial	voffer specialist advice to a ves No ves No ves No ves No st within 10 days after making epartmental mandate, and t). Ves No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc.
If yes, i Respor Specify 	mile are trigger: mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate: a additional Information bease describe additional Information: bease describe additional information: bease describe requires the termination: bease describe requires the termination of a federal in the lease describe requires the termination of a federal in the lease describe requires the termination of a federal in termination of a fe	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a he provincial ested involve anvin 5 uestions by Ja ne and mean	Imental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ement I.e. comments for the une 27, 2011. If your resp is of contact for a departme	Id be able to nd in a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d a Agreemen e provincial ponse to any ental repres	ves No offer specialist advice to a Yes No bove. Yes No st within 10 days after making epartmentai mandate, and t). Yes No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc. of the above determinations is entative for the review.
If yes, i Respon Specify 	mile and an arry, mile arry, mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec as appropriate: a additional Informat bease describe additional Information: bease describe additional Information: bease of a federal bease describe requires the to participate in the lease describe requires to the above of the abo	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a he provincial ested involve anvin 5 uestions by Ja ne and mean	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in Interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ement I.e. comments for the	Id be able to nd in a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d a Agreemen e provincial ponse to any ental repres	ves No offer specialist advice to a Yes No bove. Yes No st within 10 days after making epartmentai mandate, and t). Yes No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc. of the above determinations is entative for the review.
If yes, i Respon Specify 	mile and an resp. mile and an resp. mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec. as appropriate: a additional Information: besence of a federal besence of a federal besen	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a he provincial rested involve or to the ne and mean	a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in Interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ement I.e. comments for the une 27, 2011. If your resp is of contact for a departm Telephone: <u>b2.</u> Fax:	Id be able to nd in a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d a Agreemen e provincial ponse to any ental repres	ves No offer specialist advice to a Yes No bove. Yes No st within 10 days after making epartmentai mandate, and t). Yes No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc. of the above determinations is entative for the review.
If yes, i Respon Specify requires If yes, p the dete in the all would li If yes, p Please resp ositive, please ame: S ddress: M	mile and an end of the solution of the solution of a federal additional information in the solution of a federal in the solution of the above quase provide the name in the solution of	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a he provincial rested involve ormans uestions by June and mean L	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in Interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ment I.e. comments for the une 27, 2011. If your resp is of contact for a department 	Id be able to nd In a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d e Agreemen e provincial ponse to any ental repres 2 - 40 73	ves No offer specialist advice to a Yes No bove. Yes No st within 10 days after making epartmentai mandate, and t). Yes No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc. of the above determinations is entative for the review.
If yes, i Respor Specify 	mile and an resp. mile and an resp. mine whether, withinsible Authority if rec. as appropriate: a additional Information: besence of a federal besence of a federal besen	n your depart quested (subs ion to make a tional informa trigger, has a he provincial rested involve or units uestions by Ju me and mean L	tmental mandate, you wou section 12(3) of the Act); a a determination referred to ation requirements or forwa in interest in the project rel review (Clause 38(1) of the ement i.e. comments for the une 27, 2011. If your resp is of contact for a departm 	Id be able to nd in a) or b) a ard a reques ated to its d a Agreemen e provincial ponse to any ental repres	ves No offer specialist advice to a Yes No bove. Yes No st within 10 days after making epartmentai mandate, and t). Yes No Guidelines, review of EIS, etc. of the above determinations is entative for the review.



Canadian Environmentai Assessment Agency

101 - 167 Lombard Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 076 Agence canadienne d'évaluation environnementale

167, avenue Lombard, bureau 101 Winnipeg (Manitoba) R3B 0T6

June 16, 2011

CEAA File No.: 4777 / MP-2011-025 MC File No.: 5524.00

Ms. Cathy Hummelt Manitoba Conservation Parks and Natural Areas Branch Box 53, 200 Saulteaux Crescent Winnipeg, MB R3J 3W3

Dear Ms. Hummelt:

Subject: Duck Mountain Provincial Park ATV Trail – Duck Mountain, MB Parks and Natural Areas Branch

The Environmental Assessment and Licensing Branch of Manitoba Conservation forwarded copies of your proposal to the regional office of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (the Agency). Manitoba Conservation requested that the Agency, on behalf of federal government departments, identify any environmental assessment requirements that would exist under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (the Act) with respect to your project.

The project information provided by Manitoba Conservation was reviewed by the Agency and was shared with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Environment Canada. Based on the responses to the survey the application of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* (the Act) by a federal authority will not be required for this project. I have enclosed copies of the relevant responses for your file.

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the project information and has indicated it has an interest in this project. EC has provided a letter of comments and advice for you to review. EC has also indicated it would like more information on any Species at Risk, rare plants or wetland surveys conducted in the area of the proposed route. EC has noted its concerns in the following four areas:

- 1. Species at Risk
- 2. Water Quality
- 3. Biodiversity
- 4. Wetlands

The contact person for EC for this project is Reg Ejeckam. He can be reached at (204) 984-3522 or by email: <u>Reg.Ejeckam@ec.gc.ca</u>.

This letter is not an approval to construct. Provincial requirements will be identified separately following the review coordinated by Manitoba Conservation, to whom the Environment Act proposal for the project was originally submitted. Construction activities should not begin until you receive notification from Manitoba Conservation (Environmental Assessment and Licensing Branch), and all concerns and requirements have been met. If you have any questions concerning the Act or its Regulations, please feel free to contact me by telephone at (204) 984-3233 or by email at: Heather.Flynn@ceaa-acee.gc.ca.

Sincerely, 20thu Flynn

Heather Flynn Environmental Assessment Officer

Encl.

c.c.: Ms. Elise Dagdick - MC