Financial Performance of the Agriculture Sector in Manitoba

Manitoba’s agriculture sector continues to evolve while farm businesses are becoming more sophisticated and capital-intensive with growing debt and asset values. As a result, financial analysis has become an important tool for farm business management more than ever before. The purpose of this report is to provide analysis of the key farm financial ratios at the sector level against which Manitoba producers could evaluate their own farm-level financial performance.

Balance Sheet of the Agriculture Sector in Manitoba as at December 31st, 2019 (Thousands of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>% change 19/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>47,795,234</td>
<td>49,441,996</td>
<td>50,608,043</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>4,762,020</td>
<td>4,800,425</td>
<td>4,715,995</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>1,624,106</td>
<td>1,849,799</td>
<td>1,903,443</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeding livestock</td>
<td>1,390,497</td>
<td>1,206,302</td>
<td>960,063</td>
<td>-20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>5,672,948</td>
<td>5,897,390</td>
<td>6,034,148</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm real estate</td>
<td>33,771,436</td>
<td>35,067,427</td>
<td>36,412,547</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term assets</td>
<td>574,227</td>
<td>620,653</td>
<td>581,847</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>8,605,370</td>
<td>9,196,187</td>
<td>9,964,898</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>1,777,185</td>
<td>1,791,514</td>
<td>1,888,800</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term liabilities</td>
<td>6,828,185</td>
<td>7,404,672</td>
<td>8,076,098</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td>39,189,864</td>
<td>40,245,809</td>
<td>40,643,145</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Canada, Table 32-10-0056-01

Manitoba’s total farm assets reached $50.6 billion in 2019, an increase of 2.4 per cent compared to the previous year. Farm real estate (farmland and building), which accounted for 72 per cent of the total farm assets, also increased by 3.8 per cent in 2019 compared to 2018. Total farm liabilities in 2019 is close to $10 billion, an increase of 8.4 per cent from the 2018 level while farm equity increased by just one per cent to $40.6 billion. The relatively higher interest rate in 2019 may have contributed to the total farm liability to grow at a higher rate than total assets and farm equity.

Financial Ratios

More and more farm operators and financial managers use financial ratios to assess, benchmark, and monitor farm profitability and overall financial performance. Creditors and investors use financial ratios to understand the profitability and risk of farming when they make lending or investment decisions.

Financial ratios commonly used to assess the strength of industry include:

- Liquidity: Current Ratio
- Solvency: Debt-to-Asset Ratio
- Profitability: Return-on-Asset Ratio
- Financial efficiency: Operating Expense-to-Revenue Ratio
The validity and usefulness of financial ratios largely depend on the accuracy of financial data, from which the ratios are generated. As farm financial statements reflect all the production, operating and financial decisions, they often provide the most useful data about a farm operation.

Since no single ratio can give an absolute picture of farm business performance, it is important to monitor and analyze different financial ratios and their trends over time in order to identify areas of strength and weakness for a better farm business management.

Trend analysis is commonly used to compare a farm’s current performance to its past performances. In this analysis, the direction of the trend often carries more weight than the absolute values of financial ratios. Additionally, comparative analysis can be carried out to compare a farm’s performance to other similar operations in the industry or to the industry average. A comparative analysis must be done with great care, as the degree of accuracy and consistency of financial data can vary considerably from one operation to another. Often, combining trend and comparative analyses gives a more complete understanding of a farm’s financial position and overall performance.

**Liquidity**

Liquidity refers to the degree to which short-term debt obligations can be paid from cash or other assets. Current ratio is the most commonly used measure of liquidity. This ratio compares current assets (e.g., cash, accounts receivable, inventories) to current liabilities or debt (e.g., accounts payable). It indicates a farm’s ability to meet its debt obligations coming due within the next year. A low current ratio (often below one) may indicate that a farm is developing a cash flow problem. On the other hand, a high current ratio (often greater than three) may indicate that a farm is not using cash efficiently, as a large portion of its assets is tied up in conservative investments with lower rates of return.

The overall current ratio of Manitoba farms in 2019 was 2.50, lower than the last five-year average but higher than the fifteen-year average. In 2019, for every dollar debt obligation in the next year, Manitoba farms had 2.50 dollars of assets (current assets) that they can cash out within the next year. In 2019, Manitoba farms had the second-highest current ratio in the Prairie Provinces and higher than the national average.

It should be noted that these current ratios do not reflect those of individual subsectors (e.g. grains, dairy). Rather, these ratios provide relevant information about the financial health of the agriculture industry as a whole, as a higher current ratio indicates less risk of fulfilling short-term debt obligations.

---
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Source: Statistics Canada, Table 32-10-0055-01
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Solvency

Solvency indicates a farm’s ability to meet long-term debt obligations. It is concerned with the level of equity and debt of the farm. The most common measure of solvency is debt-to-asset ratio, which indicates the portion of assets financed through debt rather than equity.

A higher debt-to-asset ratio indicates more risk. As the debt-to-asset ratio increases, farm management flexibility decreases and earnings are more stressed to service debt. Monitoring the debt-to-asset ratio is very important in agriculture, as a farm’s cash flows are subject to seasonal fluctuation due to variation in prices and output level. On the other hand, a low debt-to-asset ratio indicates a farm’s flexibility in paying back its long-term debt and being able to borrow more if a need arises.

Manitoba farms reported a debt-to-asset ratio of 19.7 per cent in 2019, meaning that there are 19.7 cents of liabilities (debt) for every dollar of assets on the balance sheet of all Manitoba farms. This debt-to-asset ratio is slightly higher than the last five-year average (18.4 per cent) but lower than the fifteen-year average (21.4 per cent). It is also higher than the 2019 national average (16.7 per cent). In 2019, Saskatchewan farms had the lowest debt-to-asset ratio of 13.9 per cent.

Financial risks are relatively low when a debt-to-asset ratio is less than 30%. The fact that all provinces had a debt-to-asset ratio below that threshold in 2019 indicates that the agriculture sector in Canada is financially in a stable position.

Source: Statistics Canada, Table 32-10-0056-01

Manitoba Agriculture and Resource Development, Foresight and Analysis Section, 2020-09-17
**Profitability**

Profitability is one of the most important measures of farm financial performance. It measures the farm’s ability to generate profit from its land, labour, and other capital resources. While a farm can operate on break-even or negative returns in the short run, it needs profits to sustain its business, service debt, build equity, and support a family in the long run.

A key financial ratio to measure profitability is a return on assets, which evaluates total farm income against total assets employed to generate this income. Unpaid family labor is deducted from the total farm income, as it represents a non-cash expense. This adjustment helps to compare farms which pay family wages to those that do not.

In 2019, the return on assets for Manitoba farms was 2.2 per cent, the second-highest among the Prairie Provinces. This ratio was lower than both the five-year and fifteen-year average. In 2019, the national average return on farm assets was 1.8 per cent. Saskatchewan farms have the highest five and fifteen-year average return on farm assets.
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Source: Statistics Canada, Table 32-10-0058-01

**Financial Efficiency**

Financial efficiency evaluates how efficiently a farm uses its productive capacities (e.g., inputs, overheads, finance, and machinery) to generate revenue. A key indicator of farm financial efficiency is the operating expense-to-revenue ratio. This ratio provides an answer to the question “how much does it cost a farm to generate $1.00 in revenue?” It is derived by dividing total operating expenses (excluding interest costs and depreciation) by gross revenue.

An efficient farm operation would have an operating expense-to-revenue ratio of less than 65 per cent, while an average operation would have a ratio between 65 per cent and 80 per cent. A farm operation with an operating expense-to-revenue ratio above 80 per cent is generally considered inefficient. However, when examining farm’s financial efficiency using operating expense-to-revenue ratio other factors such as land ownership and farm size should be taken into consideration.
For instance, larger farm operations can survive with higher operating expense-to-revenue ratios because of their larger volume of outputs.

In 2019, Manitoba farms had an operating expense-to-revenue ratio of 80.3 per cent, the same as Alberta and the national average. Saskatchewan farms consistently had the lowest operating expense-to-revenue ratio over years. In all the Prairie Provinces and at the national level, the operating expense-to-revenue ratio in 2019 was higher than the five-year and fifteen-year average. However, the five-year average expense-to-revenue ratio shows improvements in financial efficiency compared to the fifteen-year average for all three Prairie Provinces as well as for the national average.

Source: Statistics Canada, Table 32-10-0052-01
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