Best practices and perinatal procedures



Louise Dumas, RN, MSN, PhD Université du Québec en Outaouais Québec, Canada



Goal of this presentation

Literature review on actual available evidences, because I believe that

« Mothers can overcome barriers to their intentions for labor/ birth/bonding/breastfeeding experiences, but they should not have to face those barriers brought by non evidence-based healthcare interventions. »

copyright Dumas, 2014

I have no conflict of interests in this presentation

Evidence-based practice What it is: • Professional practice grounded on evidence, primarily research findings Why is it needed: • Optimal efficient quality care ensuring positive health outcomes • Professional status requires professional accountability

Evidence-based practice

How to do it:

- Validate interventions by integrating proven strategies into practice
- Eliminate ineffective or harmful interventions based on intuition, myth, or rituals

copyright Dumas, 2014

Query practices for which no evidence exists

What constitutes an evidence

Serious quantitative data are obtained from :

- RCT randomized controlled trials
- Cohort studies, prospective or retrospective; observational; epidemiological

copyright Dumas, 2014

> Systematic review; Cochrane systematic review





Epidural during labor/birth

- Literature review ≥ 2000
- Any article reporting quantitative data
- Internationally
- In French, English, Spanish
- Search also included references from the retrieved articles

copyright Dumas, 2014

Literature review: difficulties

- rarely real control group; non-compliance
- very small samples; rarely described in details
- different medications/dosages; often combined
- type of labor, rhythm, hard or not... not described
- · type of nursing support not explained
- · baby's neurobehavioral status assessed with different tools
- rare definition of *initiation* of breastfeeding
- breastfeeding assessment, subjective vs different tools
 copyright Dumas, 2014

























- Recent: 2010, Toronto
- · Prospective observational study
- Only mothers with epidural with high doses of bupivacaine and fentanyl
- Multiparas who breastfed before (*m* =11,5 months), with prenatal intention to breastfeed
- Tertiary hospital, high bf support (BFHI)
- · Results: 95% were breastfeeding at one week

<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row>









Results and importance up-to-date

Swaddled babies have worst results on:

- Baby's temperature: worst; swaddled babies had lowest foot temperature especially at foot
 Mother/baby's temperature: no reciprocity as no skin-to-

- Mother/baby's temperature: no reciprocity as no skin-to-skin contact
 Breastfeeding: later, longer to initiate, shortest duration
 Quantity of breastmilk: less is produced
 Engorgement: frequent
 Baby's weight: slower to return to birth weight
 Amount of supplements: more
 Babies supplemented: less weight gain
 Mother's feeling of « blues »: more
 Mother'infant interaction: mothers are rougher at day 4; less sensitive one year later

copyright Dumas, 2014

Other researchers confirm detrimental effects of swaddling Physiological benefits of skin-to-skin vs swaddling: baby's temperature and oxygenationmother's temperature reciprocity of mother-baby temperature baby's glycemia baby's neuro-motor organization baby's decreased pain during painful procedures copyright Dumas, 2014

Other researchers

confirm detrimental effects of swaddling

Psychological benefits of skin-to-skin vs swaddling:

- baby cries less early mother-infant interaction less infant abandonment; less child abuse and neglect more en-face positions, more visual contacts more affectionate contacts from mother mother feels better, less feelings of "low-blues" less postnatal depression greater maternal satisfaction .

- .

Other researchers confirm detrimental effects of swaddling

Benefits of skin-to-skin vs swaddling on breastfeeding:

- innate pre-feeding behavior sequence
- bf initiation and tongue placement
 massage of the breast by baby's hands leads to
- increased oxytocin production
- recognition of mother's milk odor by the baby
- baby's weight
- bf exclusivity
- bf duration ad 6 months

A word on babies' deaths shortly after birth

Reports in the recent literature about babies who died while skin-to-skin either in hospital or home shortly after discharge

copyright Dumas, 2014

- · Scrutiny of those reports shows alarms not justified on skin-to-skin as such
- Factors linked to unexpected deaths within the first week of life (so NOT called SIDS) not taken into consideration
- Known risks: very tired mother, mother under influence of opiates, baby not well placed on mother, unsafe bed or bedding, smoking mother, obese mother
- Anticipatory guidance to parents + close observation

copyright Dumas, 2014

A word on babies' deaths shortly after birth

- Also reports of death when babies were swaddled
- Almost not heard of but real deaths from tight bundling or swaddling or wearable blanket or swaddle wrap

copyright Dumas, 2014

- As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many cases as with skin-to-skin but often with older babies
 As many ca
- Known risks: warm environment, soft bed or bedding (blankets, pillows), bumper pads, stuffed animals, mother or father smoking, sharing bed with adults other than mother
- Again: anticipatory guidance to parents and close observation











Some history

- 1881- Crédé (Germany): original eye prophylaxis with silver nitrate to prevent gonorrhea
- · At the time, serious consequences for newborns and no antibiotics
- But silver nitrate causes chemical conjunctivitis (Wahlberg, 1983) and has no effect on Chlamydia
- 1940s-Replaced by penicillin then erythromycin ointment without
 research results
- 1995-iodine-povidone; more effective clinically (no research results); no pain; stains eyes; not used in North America so much





My clinical suggestions

- Darling & MacDonald recommended:
- > No need for further research of evidence
- Re-examine current North-American recommendations as they have limited or no benefit; cost is high without justification
- Dumas, Savoie & Landry recommended:
- > Lobby for the removal of any prophylaxis
- > Cost containement in healthcare
- Feach parents about eye infection, origin, symptoms, over the counter treatment as in other industrialized countries

copyright Dumas, 2014





So...

- Informed choices/support for birth least technicalized as possible-more human-more respectful
- Many non-pharmacological means available; if epidural, at least after 5 cm and changes in position even so
- * Respect parents' informed choices- be objective
- * Guide partner to assist mother
- Support mother and partner: birthing of a family
 BE PRESENT, REAL PRESENCE





















My opinion Combination of methods as researcher, clinician and BFI lead assessor Simplest means with no known contradindications: skin-to-skin and breastfeeding Best results Breastfeeding and skin-to-skin (Bilgen et al., 2001; Gabriel et al., 2014-superior al., 2012-Cochrane) e and skin-to-skin; Ors et al., 1999; Shah et Cochrane reviews are in this same line: « If available, breastfeeding or breast milk should be used to alleviate procedural pain in neonates undergoing a single painful procedure compared to placebo, positioning or no intervention. Administration of glucose/sucrose had similar effectiveness as breastfeeding for reducing pain. » barrate 00% 2007; Sucrose and skin-to-skin (Chermont et al., 2009) We don't know long-term effects of sweet solutions: ?? link with obesity by developing sweet buds??? copyright Dumas & Lemire, 2014



My suggestion to be evidence-based.....

Hospitals and maternities should implement

copyright Dumas, 2014

The Ten Steps for the Success of Breastfeeding (WHO/UNICEF)

It is: Quality of care and services Based on evidences Respecting informed choices of all mothers Encouraging continuum of care/services

copyright Dumas, 2014

It includes...

- Education of all healthcare providers
- Prenatal information on known risks of medicated birth and on breastfeeding management
- * Intranatal support with non-pharmacological means
- * Immediate and uninterrupted skin-to-skin care with mother
- * Delayed interventions to promote health and bonding
- * Close support/promotion/encouragement of breastfeeding
- * Anticipatory guidance to parents/partners

Provision of care/services after discharge

copyright Dumas, 2014

When I started this presentation... I said...

«Mothers can overcome barriers to their intentions for

labor/birth/bonding/breastfeeding experiences,

but they should not have to face those barriers brought

by non evidence-based healthcare interventions.»

This is my conviction as a woman, as a mother, as a nurse, as a BFI lead assessor, as a professor, and as a researcher















1	
/ U	Ipadhyay A, Aggarwal R, Narayan S et al. (2004). Analgesic effect of expressed breast milk in procedural pain in term neonates : a
ra	andomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Acta Paediatr, 93, 518-522.
• V	elandia M,Matthiesen A-S, Uvnäs-Moberg K & Nissen E (2010). Onset of vocal intercation between parents and newborns in skin-to-
si	kin contact immediately after elective cesarean section. Birth, 37 (3), 192-201.
• V	olmanen, P, Valanne, J & Alahuhta, S. (2004). Breast-feeding problems after epidural analgesia for labour: a retrospective cohort
S1	tudy of pain, obstetrical procedures and breast-feeding practices. Int J Obstet Anesth, 13 (1), 25-29.
• Y	oung D (2009). What is normal childbirth and do we need more statements about it?. Editorial, Birth, 36(1), 1-3.
· N	Vahlberg, Vivian (1982). Reconsideration of Credé prophylaxis: a study of maternity and neonatal care. Acta Paediatrica Scandinavia,
S	upplement, 0300-8843 ; 295, pp1-73. Wahlberg, V. (1982). Reconsideration of Crédé prophylaxis. Doctoral thesis to Karolinska
In	istitutet, Stockholm
· N	valker, R, Turnbull, D & Wilkinson, C. (2004). Increasing caesarean section rates: exploring the role of culture in an Australian
C	ommunity. Birth, 31 (2), 117-124.
· N	verssman A, Aranovitch M, Blazer S et al. (2009). Heer-lancing in newborns: behavioral and spectral analysis assessment of pain
0	ontrol methods. Pediatrics, doi:10.1542/peds.2009-0598, online anead of print)
· •	Veisman SJ, Bernstein B & Schechter NL (1998). Consequences of inadequate analgesia during paintul procedures in children. Arch
P	ediatr Adolesc Med. 152, 147-149.
· vi	Viberg, B. (1990). The first hour of life. Doctoral thesis, Department of Applied Psychology, Umea University, Sweden
· v	Wattheen, AM, Wantberg, V, Matthesen, AS, Eneroth, P, Uvnas-Moberg, K, Werner, SI & Winberg, J. (1990). Short-term effects of early
10	ucking and rough of the hipple on maternal behaviour. Early numer bevelopment, 21, 153-165.
· vi	Vieczorek, PM, Guste, S, Baiki, M, Shah, V & Carvaino, JCA. (2010). Breasheeding success rate aner vaginal derivery can be night
10	espire the use of epideral remains, an observational control study in a divisite priestar, 19, 21/3/211.
· vi	Vikiund, I, Norman, M, Ovnas-Noberg, N, Kansjo-Alvidson, AB & Andolir, E. (2007). Epidural analgesia: breast-reeding success and victori forcem. Nicketing 25: 231–29.
10	saled latons, moviney, 20, e5150.
	misuri, work, wachtinar, o, cooper, ow, bick, b, morrer e a cheminar, h, norr dre cowert story group, (2010), epideral anagesia not breastering a randomised controlled trial of endural techniques with and without fantanul and a non-anitriar commission ancient
	a prosting of 145 152
	Idean M. Markithur C. Conner GM & Shennan A. from the COMET study group (2009). Amhulation in labour and delivery mode:
	randomicad controllad trial of high-free ve mobile antiviral analysis. A naetheria & 266,272
· 7	hang J. Yancey, MK, Klebanoff, MA, Schwarz, J.& Schweitzer, D. (2001). Does endural analgesia protong labor and increase risk of
-	assaran deliven/2 & natural experiment Am / Obstat Gunarn/ 185 (1) 128-134
	copyright Dumas, 2014