ORIGINAL

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application pursuant to s.13(2) of the Law Enforcement Review Act R.S.M. 1987, c L75

3541

BETWEEN:

M. D.

Complainant,

- and -

CONSTABLE N. B. , # and CONSTABLE B. C. , #

Respondents.

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS - REASONS FOR DECISION as delivered by The Honourable Judge Joyal, held at the Law Courts Complex, 408 York Avenue, in the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba, on the 18th day of February, 2000.

APPEARANCES:

MR. M. D. , In Person.

MR. P. MCKENNA, for Constables N. B. and B. C.

MR. D. GUENETTE, for Acting Commissioner.

```
EXCERPT FROM FEBRUARY 18, 2000
 1
 2
             THE COURT (Orally): This application was brought
 3
 4
    by Mr. D
                      It's an application where obviously he's
    seeking to have me rule that the Commissioner erred in
 5
    declining to take further action with respect to his
 6
 7
    complaint.
             When I examine the record before me, the record
 8
    upon which the Commissioner came to his decision,
 9
    outlined in his letter of January 14th,
                                                 1999 to Mr.
10
             , I can determine the following: The Commissioner
11
12
    did not exceed his jurisdiction; the Commissioner followed
                of
                     natural
                              and
                                   procedural fairness;
13
         rules
    Commissioner acted within the limits of the statute; and his
14
    decision that the complaint was, in fact, a frivolous
15
    complaint, whether that be a matter of fact, law or mixed
16
    fact of law, I am satisfied that based on either a standard
17
    of patently unreasonable or correctness the Commissioner did
18
    not err in these circumstances in declining to take further
19
20
    action with respect to Mr. D
                                        complaint.
             Accordingly, based upon, amongst other things,
21
22
    that those contradictions outlined to me today and the
    record that contains further discussion of such matters, I
23
    find that the determination of frivolousness was not in err
24
    and certainly the Commissioner was not in err when he
25
    declined to take further action with respect
26
27
            ' complaint.
             Accordingly, I will dismiss the request for a
28
29
    review. The decision of the Commissioner is upheld and I'll
    further make an order banning publication of this matter and
30
    obviously the names discussed in this hearing.
31
```

(EXCERPT CONCLUDED)

32

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, LINDA G. CRAIG, hereby certify that the foregoing page of printed matter, numbered 1, are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings recorded by a sound recording device that has been approved by the Attorney-General and operated by court clerk/monitor, Marcy Gaudet, and has been transcribed by me to the best of my skill and ability.

Londa S. Cap.

COURT TRANSCRIBER