IN THE MATTER OF:

Law Enforcement Review Act Complaint No. 3563

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

An application pursuant to S.13(2) of the Law Enforcement Review Act R.S.M. 1987, c L75

BETWEEN:

L

Complainant,

- and -

CONSTABLE W. K , # and CONSTABLE W. R , # ,

Respondents.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS had and taken before The Honourable Judge Joyal, held at the Law Courts Complex, 408 York Avenue, in the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba, on the 5th day of December, 2001.

## APPEARANCES:

MR. T. KILLEEN, for the Complainant.

MR. P. MCKENNA, for the Respondents.

32

```
DECEMBER 5, 2001
 1
 2
    PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 4, 2001
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. McKenna, Mr. Killeen.
 4
              Mr. Killeen?
 5
 6
              MR. KILLEEN:
                           Your Honour it's Killeen appearing
 7
    on behalf of Mr. L
                             who's present in the court.
    McKenna, of course, is present on behalf of the two officers
 8
 9
    who aren't present. Mr. Wright and Mr. Haslam (phonetic)
    from the Law Enforcement Review Agency are present.
10
              Mr. McKenna and I have resolved this matter in a
11
    fashion satisfactory to those involved and I know that my
12
13
    learned friend wishes a particular phrase put before the
    Court so if you can just --
14
              MR. MCKENNA:
                             Yes, Your Honour it's McKenna for
15
        respondent officers.
16
                                  If,
                                       if you would
                                                       issue a
17
    declaration that the matter has been resolved informally
    pursuant to S.15 of the Law Enforcement Review Act.
18
19
    and just as a housekeeping note, Your Honour, and I didn't
20
    mention this yesterday, but typically in these types of
    proceedings we ask for a ban on publication pursuant to S.25
21
22
    of the Act.
23
              THE COURT:
                           All right, to start with then I'll
24
    order the ban on publication pursuant to that section and I
    take it that implicit in your indication that things have
25
    been resolved informally the commissioner has endorsed or is
26
    it even necessary for him to, to endorse what I understand
27
    he would've been required to endorse had he been looking at
28
29
    this initially.
                             Well, typically the commissioner
30
              MR. MCKENNA:
    will provide some confirmation of that.
31
```

MR. MCKENNA: And, and perhaps it can be done, just on the record right now.

THE COURT: Okay, so all you're seeking --

- 1 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honour, at this stage of the
- 2 proceedings I have referred the matter to the Chief
- 3 Provincial Judge and it's come down to you. So you're --
- 4 it's your declaration today, it's not mine, but, but I
- 5 certainly do not have any objection to what has occurred.
- 6 The complainant is satisfied and that should end it.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay the only question I have in this
- 8 regard and I, I always seem more punctilious then I want to
- 9 be when I'm dealing with this Act, but this comes back to
- 10 what I said yesterday. Is it for me to decide essentially,
- 11 de novo, whether this thing can be resolved informally --
- MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
- 13 THE COURT: -- or do I need nothing at all in
- 14 views?
- MR. WRIGHT: No, you don't need anything from me.
- 16 The decision is entirely yours Your Honour.
- MR. MCKENNA: Your Honour, we've always taken the
- 18 position that that, that, that is the way it ought to go
- 19 and, and -- and in particular with the language in, in S.2
- 20 of the regulation that it may be resolved at any stage of
- 21 the proceedings --
- 22 THE COURT: Right.
- 23 MR. MCKENNA: -- and, and -- and we take that
- 24 position because if, if we were not able to do that then
- 25 this would be probably the only system that I know of where
- 26 something that proceeds to a hearing cannot be pulled back
- 27 by the parties.
- 28 THE COURT: Yeah, I, I think that's a compelling
- 29 rationale. Not only because of the logic you're using but
- 30 because of the wording that actually exist in the
- 31 regulations that I think permit it, so in respect of our
- 32 appearances today then there'll be a declaration that the
- 33 matter has been resolved satisfactorily pursuant to S.15 of
- 34 the Act.

1	Tha	Thanks.					
2	MR.	MCKENNA:	: Thank you,	Your Ho	onour.		
3	THE	CLERK:	Order all	rise.	This	hearing	is
4	adjourned.						
5	MR. KILLEEN: Good morning Your Honour.						
6	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)						

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, ALAIN ROCH, hereby certify that the foregoing pages of printed matter, numbered 1 to 3 are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings recorded by a sound recording device that has been approved by the Attorney-General and operated by court clerk/monitor, Sharleen Reid, and has been transcribed by me to the best of my skill and ability.

COURT TRANSCRIBER

FROM THE OFFICE OF TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES UNIT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES UNIT