## DAILY INDEX Friday, March 25th, 1960, sitting at 9:30 a.m.

Committee Reports: Law Amendments	
Friday, March 25th, 1960, sitting at 2:30 p.m.	
Committee Report: Law Amendments (Mr. Lyon)	1911
Bill 135, reWinnipeg Charter (Mr. Roblin)	1911
Seconds Readings Bill Nos. 47, 135, 137, 138	1913
Third Readings: Bill Nos.7, 44, 69, 76, 101, 107, 109, 110, 111, 114, 115, 117	1915
Nos. 120, 122	1916
Nos. 123, 124, 125, 126, 131, 132, 134, 136, 47	1916
Nos 135 137 138	1917

## THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA 2:30 o'clock, Friday, March 25th, 1960

Opening Prayer by Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Presenting Petitions

Reading and Receiving Petitions

Presenting Reports by Standing and Select Committees

HON. STERLING R. LYON (Attorney-General) (Fort Garry): Mr. Speaker, I beg to present the Ninth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Law Amendments.

MR. CLERK: Your Select Standing Committee on Law Amendments beg leave to present the following as their Ninth Report. Your Committee has considered Bill 94, An Act to amend the Winnipeg Charter 1956, and to validate By-laws Nos. 18152, 18276 and 18311. No. 98, an Act to provide for certain exceptions to the Lord's Day Act, Canada, and has agreed to report the same with certain amendments, all of which is respectfully submitted.

MR. LYON: Mr. Speaker, I beg to move, seconded by the Honourable the Minister of Education that the report of the Committee be received.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and following a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. SPEAKER: Notice of Motion.
Introduction of Bills

HON. DUFF ROBLIN (Premier) (Wolseley): Mr. Speaker, I would like by leave to move the introduction of Bill No. 135 which is now on the desks of honourable members. Perhaps in doing so I should make a short explanation. In the Bill respecting the City of Winnipeg Charter that was before us this morning there was a clause respecting the waiving of the vote of the ratepayers in respect of housing developments. Now there is a companion that was approved by the Committee, that being the case, there is a companion clause in the Housing Act of the Province of Manitoba which needs to be amended because that clause at the present time says that these referenda shall be held. The Bill that I would like to give introduction to at the moment, makes it clear that that reservation does not apply to the City of Winnipeg, and as we've passed the one, it's necessary to pass the other. We held this one pending a decision in the Committee but it's all printed and ready for the members so if I might have leave to do so, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Minister of Labour that leave be given to introduce a Bill No.135, an Act to amend the Housing Act, and that the same be now received and read a first time, second reading immediately.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and following a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR, SPEAKER: Orders of the Day.

HON. STEWART E. McLEAN (Minister of Education) (Dauphin): Mr. Speaker, before the Orders of the Day I should like to lay on the table of the House a Return to an Order of the House No. 12, dated February 3rd, 1960, on the motion of the Honourable the Member for Carillon.

MR. EDMOND PREFONTAINE (Carillon): Thank you very much Sir.

HON. GEORGE HUTTON (Minister of Agriculture) (Rockwood-Iberville): Mr. Speaker, before the Orders of the Day, I would like to lay on the table of the House, a Return to an Order of the House on a motion from the Honourable Member for St. George.

MR. WM. HOMER HAMILTON (Dufferin): Mr. Speaker, before the Orders of the Day I'd like to draw to your attention and to the attention of the members of this House a group of young future farmers of Manitoba sitting in the gallery to your left. This group of young boys and girls are members of the Sperling 4H Calf Club and are here with their leaders, Mr. J. Browning Hooper and Mr. Martin Gehring. We, in our district, are very proud of this group and they consistently win high honours in livestock and showmanship at the Carman Fair each year. Their leaders are to be congratulated and thanked for the time and effort they put forth in the wonderful training of these young boys and girls. We hope this group of boys and girls will enjoy watching part of this session and their tour of this beautiful building, and they'll pay us a visit again.

MR. HARRY P. SHEWMAN (Morris): Mr. Speaker, I would like to go along with the

(Mr. Shewman, cont'd)....remarks of the previous speaker and just wish that there were more of our 4H Clubs would attend in the capacity that the Sperling Club is here this afternoon. I think that if the boys and girls would attend, I don't know whether they'd be any better looking than the ones that are in the gallery today, but I think if they would attend it would make it a lot easier for us to get larger grants and better grants for our 4H Clubs in Manitoba.

MR. RUSSELL PAULLEY (Leader of the CCF) (Radisson): Mr. Speaker, before the Orders of the Day, I'd like to direct a question to the Honourable the Attorney-General in connection with the Norton Commission Report on the mineral surface rights, etcetera. Does the Minister intend to introduce any legislation at this session, if necessary, in order to provide for legal counsel for those persons who may or may not have had their surface rights taken away from them without the fulfillment entirely of the law to provide for counsel, or to provide that where it is established or may have been established that the rights and privileges of the individuals may have been abrogated as the result of the manipulations of individuals as reported in the Norton Commission and this matter may be resolved?

MR. LYON: Mr. Speaker, I wish to thank the Honourable the Leader of the CCF for giving me notice of this question. I should say to him, first of all, in reply, Sir, that no legislation would be necessary to implement this particular recommendation of the Norton Report. The second retort that I would make to his question would be this, that the matter is still receiving consideration. I think I pointed out during the estimates of my colleague the Honourable the Minister of Mines and Natural Resources some, at least, of the surface difficulties involved in this and some of the other matters such as the question of precedence and so on, which this recommendation would involve, and to explain to him that there has been no final consideration given to this particular recommendation up to this time.

MR. PAULLEY: I would like to ask a supplemental question of the Honourable the Attorney-General. Can be give us any indication of when the consideration in respect of the matters that I raised, will be given in order that the people who are primarily interested in this will know where they stand?

MR. LYON: As soon as possible we will try to do that; so long as the honourable members opposite have us engaged in here it's rather difficult to get around to these things but as soon as possible because, of course, we appreciate that persons will be wanting an answer to that particular question.

MR. E. R. SCHREYER (Brokenhead): Before the Orders of the Day I would like to direct a question to the Minister of Utilities. I moved an Order for Return at the beginning of the week and I'm wondering if I shall get my Return before this House adjourns?

HON. J. B. CARROLL (Minister of Public Utilities) (The Pas): Mr. Speaker, I'll undertake to investigate and advise the honourable member as soon as I can.

MR. PREFONTAINE: Before the Orders of the Day might I ask the Honourable the Minister of Education why he has chosen to give our this report that was tabled this afternoon as of June 30th in each year rather than as of December 30th, in order that I should have a proper comparison with respect to a statement made in the Speech from the Throne, that there was an increase of 30% in rural Manitoba in one year; and I haven't got the comparison. This shows from 1958-1959 in rural Manitoba of 5.8%

MR. McLEAN: Mr. Speaker, as I'm certain the Honourable Member for Carillon knows, the official figures are always taken as of June 30th of each year. We have no author-ity, or any jurisdiction as I understand it, to have figures as of any other date.

MR. PREFONTAINE: A supplementary question? On what authority then was the government able to publish in the Speech from the Throne that there was a 30% increase between 1958 - 59?

MR. McLEAN: Well of course, that information was based on certain investigations and inquiries that were made for our own purposes.

MR. PREFONTAINE: May I ask a supplementary question? Do I take it then that you have the power to seek information for your own purposes, to table it in the House in the form of the Speech from the Throne, and that you have no power to supply me the same information when I ask for it in an Order for Return?

MR. McLEAN: Mr. Speaker, I presume we have the authority to ask the school inspectors to make a count of students every day of the year if we wish to do so. The answer to the

(Mr. McLean, cont'd)....question is, though, that when Returns are requested in this House, unless otherwise specified, they must obviously be based upon official returns that the department receives, and that's the basis of the information contained in the answer for the Return.

MR. SPEAKER: Orders of the Day. The Committee of the Whole House.

MR. ROBLIN: On the question of the business of the House, Sir, may I suggest that we proceed first of all, with the second reading of Bill No. 47. And I would also ask by leave if it is agreeable that we should consider second reading of Bill No. 135, An Act to amend the Housing Act to which I have given a short explanation; Bill 137, An Act to amend The Shops Regulation Act which is on the members' desks; and Bill 138 which I explained pretty thoroughly at the resolution stage and which is also on members' desks. I don't think, Sir, that we will find, perhaps the Shops Regulation Act might be an exception to this comment, but I don't feel that the others will provoke too much discussion and we could refer them to Committee of the Whole and dispose of them this afternoon if the members saw fit. If that's not satisfactory, of course, anybody can adjourn one of those debates and continue it at some other time.

MR. SPEAKER: I take it then that we proceed with second reading of these bills now? MR. ROBLIN: Please.

MR. LYON presented Bill No. 47, An Act to amend the Attorney-General's Act for second reading.

 ${\tt MR}$  . ROBLIN presented Bill No. 135, an Act to amend The Housing Act, for second reading.

MR. SPEAKER: Are you ready for the question?

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, on the Bill before us I note that it's introduced by the Honourable the Minister of Labour. That is in error is it—that the Bill itself should read, by the First Minister?

MR. ROBLIN: If there are no further questions I can answer that and say that owing to the fact that the City of Winnipeg had had some correspondence with me, asking me to do this, I had undertaken to introduce the bill, but I'm afraid I didn't notify my friend at the table, and he assumed that the usual Minister would introduce it, so we'll have to just amend that.

MR. PAULLEY: Yes, that's all right.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. SPEAKER: Second reading of Bill No. 137.

MR. ROBERT G. SMELLIE (Birtle-Russell) presented Bill No. 137, an Act to amend the Shops Regulation Act, for second reading.

MR. ROBLIN presented Bill No. 138, an Act to amend The Loans Act 1959, for second reading.

MR. SPEAKER: Committee of the Whole House.

MR. ROBLIN: Mr. Speaker, before moving this Motion, I should say that in addition to the bills that are listed on the Order Paper, we would suggest that the Committee also consider the three bills that have just had second reading, 135, 137 and 138, and also add from the Committee of Law Amendments Bill No. 47, the Attorney-Generals Act; Bill No. 94, the Winnipeg Charter Act and Bill No. 98, the Lord's Day Act.

So that I would move, seconded by the Honourable Minister of Education that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair and the House resolve itself into Committee to consider the Bills that I have referred to.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, before that question is put, I would like about five minutes in which I would like to bring to the attention of this House a matter which, although it has been brought to the attention of this Assembly already, it's nevertheless gone unanswered. I think it's important enough for me to say a few words about it. And I refer of course to the matter of the crop loss and damage which occurred throughout most of Manitoba...

MR. ROBLIN: I'm sorry Sir, but I'm afraid this is out of order. I don't think that we can discuss a grievance, can we, as I think my honourable friend wishes to do, on going into the Committee of the Whole to discuss bills. I think that would have to be done in Committee of Supply, so while I am subject to your ruling, Sir, I'd suggest that it is not in order at the present time.

MR. SCHREYER: Mr. Speaker, I believe that I am out of order. I assumed that one could bring this up before going into Committee of Supply or Committee of Ways and Means or Committee of the Whole, but I suppose the First Minister is right.

MR. SPEAKER: Out of order when you speak in going into the motion to go into the Committee of the Whole. You would be in order on the Budget Speech though... Are you ready for the question?

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. SPEAKER: The House do now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole House, and would the Honourable Member for St. Matthews please take the Chair.

Bill No. 7, Sections 1 to 9, was read and passed.

MR. PAULLEY: As I take it, we're going down with the third reading of these bills in order as they appear on the Order Paper. Is that correct?

MR. ROBLIN: Yes Sir, the only exception is, is that if we're not -- if we haven't got this Sunday sport amended and whipped into shape, we may leave that in committee until we have, but otherwise I think we will go down the -- I imagine it's in the same order that I read them off.

MR. PAULLEY: Yes, and the same order that they appear on the Orders of the Day, until we at least get down to the ones that were given second reading today.

MR. ROBLIN: Well perhaps Mr. Clerk could tell us if he has them in that order. I believe he has.

Bills No. 44, 69, 76, 101, 107, were read section by section, and passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Bill No. 109, section 1 - (a) passed (b) passed (c)...

MR. KEITH ALEXANDER (Roblin): Mr. Chairman, under (c) 2, I would like to move an amendment on the 22nd line -- insert "three-fifths" before the word "majority".

MR. R. O. LISSAMAN (Brandon): Mr. Chairman, Bill No. 109, an Act to amend the Brandon Charter. I'm in quite agreement with the amendment if only to be consistent with my arguments in committee this morning.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?

Mr. Chairman presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the amendment carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Bill No. 109, 2, subsection (a) clause 2, as amended - passed. By-law enacted under clause (d) in subsection 9 has no effect on this. It is submitted to a vote of "three-fifths" of the ratepayers and receives assent of the majority of the ratepayers actually voting thereon.

MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, that is, insert "three-fifths" before "majority" which means an assent .. of three-fifths majority.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Section 2, - 49 (1) passed (2) passed ...

MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, under section 49 (2), I would move the same amendment on the eighth line that "three-fifths" majority.

MR. CHAIRMAN: "Three-fifths" majority the ratepayers actually voting thereon.

Mr. Chairman put the question and after a voice vote declared the amendment carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Preamble passed, Title passed. The Bill be reported, as amended. Passed.

Bills No. 110, 111, 114, 115 were read section by section, and passed.

Bill No. 117, Section 2 to subsection (3) (d) was read clause by clause and passed.

MR. A. J. REID (Kildonan): Section 3, is that as amended? Section 3 in Bill No. 117. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. REID: There's a Section there 843 dealing with St. James and East Kildonan; is that amended?

MR. CHAIRMAN: That's okay. Preamble passed; Title passed....

HON. MAURICE E. RIDLEY: ......on Bill 117 now? Well, in regards to Bill No. 117, I have an amendment to make also. Section 2 of the Bill, as you know, was a request from the Municipal Advisory Committee and the Civic Service Board themselves. Now it was brought up in Committee last night that probably we should have had resolutions from the Union of Municipalities and the Municipal Secretary-Treasurers, so I would move that No. 2 of the section of Bill 117 be deleted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The whole section two? You mean the whole section two?

MR. RIDLEY: Section two of the whole Bill, yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, there's nothing left--

MR, RIDLEY: Then section three will become section two.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, yes.

MR. RIDLEY: ..... and you have--

MR. CHAIRMAN: The amendment in section 3 becomes section 2.

MR. RIDLEY: Yes, and you have an amendment there--

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, we have the amendment here.

Mr. Chairman presented the motion and following a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. D. L. CAMPBELL (Leader of the Opposition)(Lakeside): Mr. Chairman, the Honourable Member for Carillon is not in at the moment. I'm sure if he were, he would want to express his appreciation to the Honourable the Minister for the very courteous and effective consideration that he gave to his request. I would like to do that on his behalf.

MR, CHAIRMAN: The Bill be reported.

Bill No. 120, section 1 to section 7 read section by section and passed.

MR. J. M. FROESE (Rhineland): Mr. Chairman, under section 8 (i), it states that theof not more than \$2 for each delegate where the Board employs not more than two teachers and
the following section says \$5 for those that employ more than that. Why differentiate between
the two? I think the cost is the same and that the figures should therefore be the same. And I
would like to make an amendment that in (i) that the 2 should be changed to 5, so that it would
read \$5 the same as in the next section.

MR. McLEAN: Mr. Chairman, this amendment has been made at the request, as I recall it, of the Manitoba School Trustees' Association, who passed a resolution asking for this change. Now, I have no views whatsoever concerning it and the Honourable the Member for Rhineland is in a much better position to know than I, the reason for asking for it in its present form. If the Committee wishes to change it, that's fine with me, but I just make that comment that it is in the form as requested.

MR. FROESE: Mr. Chairman, might I be permitted a question? Is this not permissive legislation or is this mandatory?

MR. McLEAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, that I don't--I should have brought the Act with me. My impression is that it is permissive; it applies only to fees that are paid by people actually attending a convention.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The amendment is that in section 8, double a (i), the first line be \$5 instead of \$2.

Mr. Chairman put the question and following a voice vote declared the motion lost.

Bill No. 120, section 8 to 42 read section by section; Bill No. 122, section 1 to section 3 read section by section and passed.

MR. A. E. WRIGHT (Seven Oaks): Mr. Chairman, in regard to section four, I move seconded by the Honourable Member for Winnipeg Centre that section 4 be amended by deleting from the second line thereof the words "as amended by this Act" and by deleting from the fourth line thereof, the words "as so amended". These are considered superfluous, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman presented the motion and following a voice vote declared the motion lost.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now the section as amended as follows: Where there is any conflict between section 8 of the Consolidated Act and any provision of the Public Schools Act, the provision of section 8 of the Consolidated Act prevails, and has fullest effect. Section 6, 7, 7(1).

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, on section 7 I have a further amendment. The parties concerned feel that it's deemed necessary to make further explanation in regard to section 7, and I move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Winnipeg Centre that subsection 1 of section 7 of the Bill be struck out, and the following subsection substituted therefore: "Notwithstanding subsection 1 of section 470 and clauses (e) and (f) of subsection 1 of section 473 of the Public Schools Act, the Board of the Seven Oaks School Division No. 10 shall in the year 1960 and in each year thereafter apportion the amount to be raised in that year by a general levy on the taxable property in the Seven Oaks School Division No. 10 as determined by the Minister under clause (b) of subsection 1 of section 473 of the Public Schools Act together with any other amount required to be paid in that year to the division by the municipality that or a part of which,

(Mr. Wright, cont'd.).. comprise the division proportionately on the basis of the balanced assessments of those municipalities or parts thereof, that comprise a division, calculated in the same manner as balanced assessments are calculated under the Public Schools Act; and adjusted on the basis of the variations between the taxable assessment of real property in those municipalities or parts thereof, at the time the latest equalized assessment was made; and the taxable assessments of real property in those municipalities or parts thereof as they stand on the day the Board affixes the budget for the division for the year for which the apportionment is made".

MR. CHAIRMAN: Amendment--passed. Bill No. 122, sections 7 to 9 read and passed. MR. T. P. HILLHOUSE, Q.C. (Selkirk): Mr. Chairman, with the consent of the Committee I wonder if we could revert back to Bill 109, the Act to amend the Brandon Charter. The Honourable Member for Roblin moved an amendment to subsection 3--(c) rather of section 1 and he added the "three-fifths" before majority. Now I think it was his intent to have 60% of the people voting in favour of the by-law before it would carry, but the way that reads now there would have to be a 60% majority, of a three-fifths majority. That's the way it reads. And I think it should read, "the assent of three-fifths of the ratepayers actually voting thereon". But I doubt very much if the amendment means that. I think it means a majority of 60%, and the same in subsection 2 of 49 (n).

MR. ALEXANDER: ..... to withdraw some of the derogatory comments I've made about lawyers in the past.

MR. ROBLIN: Can we take it that we'd better go back to that Bill and have it properly amended and put in shape so you know exactly what's required here?

MR. CHAIRMAN: ..... three-fifths of the majority of the ratepayers actually voting.

MR. HILLHOUSE: You could clear it up by three-fifths-"the assent of three-fifths of
the ratepayers voting thereon"; strike out "majority"; I'll be .....

MR. CHAIRMAN: So that it reads now, "..... enacted under clause (g) of subsection 1 has no effect unless it is submitted to a vote of the ratepayers and receives the assent of three-fifths of the ratepayers actually voting thereon". Agreed?

Bills Nos. 123, 124, 125, 126 were read section by section and passed.

MR. W. B. SCARTH, Q.C. (River Heights): Mr. Chairman, there's a typographical error in the tenth line of 25.

Bills Nos. 131, 132, 134, 136, 47 were read and passed; Bill No. 94.....

MR. MORRIS A. GRAY (Inkster): Mr. Chairman, I was unfortunately one minute late after the Honourable the Attorney-General introduced the report of the Law Amendments Committee, and I was too late to move that this Bill be referred back to committee. The only chance I have now in protest against elimination of section 5, and all the amendments in connection with section 5, is right here now. I feel, Mr. Speaker, this so-called progressive age, I think that the honourable members of this committee--not of this committee but of the Law Amendments Committee--made a grave error in depriving more than two-thirds of the people who are making direct, probably not with taxation, contribution to the welfare of the city. Take in my own personal case, the city discriminated against me. My home was purchased years ago in the name of my wife -- I was afraid that in case the time will come when they could call the "late Mr. Gray", I did not want the mortgage comoanies to come in and take away the home-let her have a roof over her head. I cannot -- I'm paying the taxes; I'm making my contribution to the welfare of this city and I cannot express my opinion of spending money, my money, the money of the tenants, the money of the people for--and have an opinion about it. And very often we see that when we have a referendum on a school which has happened on very important matters, the ratepayers--many of them do not live in the city at all, do not make any contribution with the exception of the investment they have made in their buildings -- can come here, exercise their franchise against me, vote here on election day or referendum day, and then go back to Honolulu or Bermuda or what have you, and stay there again, depriving the hundreds and hundreds of tenants of expressing their opinion. And then they had to come to the legislature to ask for sanction to the money bylaws because the ratepayers who are not interested--I'm underlining this--as much as the tenants are. The question of those who say that there is a man who does not pay any taxes has more interest in the city affairs, the referendums we have had lately in the last ten or 15 years since I remember, did not prove the case--not at all.

(Mr. Gray, cont'd.).. And I feel this is a very, very regressive legislation; I feel that it's an insult to two-thirds of the population of the City of Winnipeg who are making the contribution. The departmental stores pay taxes. Where do they get the money to pay the taxes? The business people on Portage Avenue pay taxes. Where are they getting the money to pay the taxes? The same with the homeowners or the landowners. So I feel that this is a very, very great error, and therefore I move, Mr. Chairman, that section 5 with all the subsections pertaining to section 5, be reinstated.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any question?

MR. SMELLIE: Mr. Chairman, if we follow my honourable friend's argument to its logical conclusion, then all of the people from my constituency who shop in the stores on Portage Avenue and are therefore paying taxes to the City of Winnipeg, should also have a vote in this matter, and should be given some consideration.

MR. GRAY: Mr. Chairman, we're dealing now with the City of Winnipeg Charter. And let me tell you something, that I have very seldom, if ever, objected to any Bill before this House coming in from another municipality; and why the other municipalities take the trouble and have the nerve to dictate to Winnipeg—legally yes—morally absolutely, no. So your argument doesn't mean very much because we go to your constituency and probably do a little purchasing too. This is a reciprocity, but that has nothing to do. We're dealing with the City of Winnipeg proper.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You've heard the amendment that section 5 be reinstated.

Mr. Chairman put the question and after a voice vote declared the motion lost.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Standing vote please.

MR. CLERK: 8--ayes; nays--14.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I declare the motion lost.

Bill No. 94 was read section by section and passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Bill No. 98--

MR. ROBLIN: I suggest we do not proceed with 98 at the moment, Sir. Leave it in committee. We're not ready with those amendments.

Bills Nos. 135, 137, 138 were read section by section and passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Call in the Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Committee of the Whole House has considered Bills Nos. 7, 44, 69, 76, 101, 107, 110, 111, 114, 115, 120, 123, 124, 125, 126, 131, 132, 134, 136 without amendments; and Bills Nos. 109, 117, 122 with amendments, and directed me to report the same and ask leave to sit again.

MR. W. G. MARTIN (St. Matthews): Mr. Speaker, I beg to move, seconded by the Honourable Member for Winnipeg Centre that the reports of the committee be received.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. ROBLIN presented Bill No. 7, an Act to amend the Motive Fuel Users Tax Act for third reading.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and after a voice vote declared the motion carried.

MR. SPEAKER: Third reading of Bill No. 44.

MR. PAULLEY: Mr. Speaker, before we proceed with third readings, I wonder—I'm not sure offhand if those bills which have amendment, that where there is amendment, the words 'by leave' be inserted there for the purpose of the record.

MR. ROBLIN: Mr. Speaker, I think the point of order is well taken and I believe that you, Sir, know what they are, and in your usual custom, will say "by leave".

Bills Nos. 44, 47, 69, 76, 94, 101, 107, 110, 111, 114, 115, 123, 124, 125, 132 and 134 were read a third time and passed; Bills Nos. 109, 117, 120, 122, 126, 131, 135, 136, 137 and 138 were read a third time and passed by leave.

MR. ROBLIN: Mr. Speaker, if we've finished with third readings I'll now move the adjournment of the House so we may return to the Law Amendments Committee. I move, seconded by the Honourable Minister of Industry and Commerce that the House do now adjourn.

Mr. Speaker presented the motion and following a voice vote declared the motion carried and the House adjourned until 8:00 o'clock this evening.