Third Session – Forty-Second Legislature

of the

Legislative Assembly of Manitoba

DEBATES and PROCEEDINGS

Official Report (Hansard)

Published under the authority of The Honourable Myrna Driedger Speaker

Vol. LXXV No. 58B - 1:30 p.m., Thursday, April 29, 2021

MANITOBA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY Forty-Second Legislature

Member	Constituency	Political Affiliation
ADAMS, Danielle	Thompson	NDP
ALTOMARE, Nello	Transcona	NDP
ASAGWARA, Uzoma	Union Station	NDP
BRAR, Diljeet	Burrows	NDP
BUSHIE, Ian	Keewatinook	NDP
CLARKE, Eileen, Hon.	Agassiz	PC
COX, Cathy, Hon.	Kildonan-River East	PC
CULLEN, Cliff, Hon.	Spruce Woods	PC
DRIEDGER, Myrna, Hon.	Roblin	PC
EICHLER, Ralph, Hon.	Lakeside	PC
		PC PC
EWASKO, Wayne, Hon.	Lac du Bonnet	
FIELDING, Scott, Hon.	Kirkfield Park	PC
FONTAINE, Nahanni	St. Johns	NDP
FRIESEN, Cameron, Hon.	Morden-Winkler	PC
GERRARD, Jon, Hon.	River Heights	Lib.
GOERTZEN, Kelvin, Hon.	Steinbach	PC
GORDON, Audrey, Hon.	Southdale	PC
GUENTER, Josh	Borderland	PC
GUILLEMARD, Sarah, Hon.	Fort Richmond	PC
HELWER, Reg, Hon.	Brandon West	PC
ISLEIFSON, Len	Brandon East	РС
JOHNSON, Derek, Hon.	Interlake-Gimli	РС
JOHNSTON, Scott	Assiniboia	PC
KINEW, Wab	Fort Rouge	NDP
LAGASSÉ, Bob	Dawson Trail	PC
LAGIMODIERE, Alan	Selkirk	PC
LAMONT, Dougald	St. Boniface	Lib.
LAMOUREUX, Cindy	Tyndall Park	Lib.
	5	
LATHLIN, Amanda	The Pas-Kameesak	NDP
LINDSEY, Tom	Flin Flon	NDP
MALOWAY, Jim	Elmwood	NDP
MARCELINO, Malaya	Notre Dame	NDP
MARTIN, Shannon	McPhillips	PC
MICHALESKI, Brad	Dauphin	PC
MICKLEFIELD, Andrew	Rossmere	PC
MORLEY-LECOMTE, Janice	Seine River	PC
MOSES, Jamie	St. Vital	NDP
NAYLOR, Lisa	Wolseley	NDP
NESBITT, Greg	Riding Mountain	PC
PALLISTER, Brian, Hon.	Fort Whyte	PC
PEDERSEN, Blaine, Hon.	Midland	PC
PIWNIUK, Doyle	Turtle Mountain	PC
REYES, Jon	Waverley	PC
SALA, Adrien	St. James	NDP
SANDHU, Mintu	The Maples	NDP
SCHULER, Ron, Hon.	Springfield-Ritchot	PC
	Lagimodière	PC
SMITH, Andrew		
SMITH, Bernadette	Point Douglas	NDP
SMOOK, Dennis	La Vérendrye	PC
SQUIRES, Rochelle, Hon.	Riel	PC
STEFANSON, Heather, Hon.	Tuxedo	PC
TEITSMA, James	Radisson	PC
WASYLIW, Mark	Fort Garry	NDP
WHARTON, Jeff, Hon.	Red River North	PC
WIEBE, Matt	Concordia	NDP
WISHART, Ian	Portage la Prairie	PC
WOWCHUK, Rick	Swan River	PC

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

Thursday, April 29, 2021

The House met at 1:30 p.m.

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Doyle Piwniuk): Good afternoon, everyone. Please be seated.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Introduction of bills?

The honourable member for St. James.

The honourable member for St. James, if you can unmute your mic.

Mr. Adrien Sala (St. James): I move, seconded by the member for Keewatinook (Mr. Bushie), that the residential–

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Sorry. The honourable member for St. James, you're not wearing a tie for the dress code. So we'll just take a few seconds here—to organize yourself.

Ms. Nahanni Fontaine (Official Opposition House Leader): Deputy Speaker, is there leave of the House to come back to introduction of bills?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is there leave to the House to come back to introduction of bills after ministerial statements, okay? [*Agreed*]

Agreed to have the honourable member come back for-to have the introduction of bills after ministerial statements.

Okay, now we'll go on to committee reports? Tabling of the reports?

MINISTERIAL STATEMENTS

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Honourable Deputy Premier. The required 90 minutes notice prior to routine proceedings was provided in accordance with rule 26-2.

Would the Honourable Deputy Premier go ahead on his statement.

Heart Failure Awareness Week

Hon. Kelvin Goertzen (Acting Minister of Health and Seniors Care): I'm pleased to present this ministerial statement on behalf of the Minister of Health and Seniors Care (Mrs. Stefanson), who has proclaimed May 3rd through 9th as Heart Failure Awareness Week in Manitoba. Heart Failure Awareness Week is observed across Canada to raise awareness of the causes of heart failure and the impact it has on individuals living with heart failure and their caregivers.

There are over 600,000 people in Canada living with heart failure, which affects people of all ages, Mr. Deputy Speaker. People with heart failure experience debilitating symptoms such as shortness of breath, swelling and exhaustion. Sadly, those individuals who are caring for loved ones with heart failure are often overwhelmed and stressed.

The Canadian Heart Failure Society is an organization of volunteer cardiovascular professionals and patients who work to improve care for patients with heart failure, in close collaboration with the Canadian Cardiovascular Society, the Canadian Council of Cardiovascular Nurses, the Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation, the HeartLife Foundation, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada and the Quebec Heart Failure Society.

Heart Failure Awareness Week was initiated by the Canadian Heart Failure Society and its partner organizations and is now marked across Canada as a time dedicated to improving awareness and education on heart failure for Manitobans and for all Canadians, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

On behalf of the government of Manitoba, we would like to thank the Heart and Stroke Manitoba and the heart and failure awareness committee of Manitoba for their dedicated efforts to bring awareness to this important issue and improve the lives of Manitobans coping with heart failure.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

MLA Uzoma Asagwara (Union Station): Mr. Deputy Speaker, next week is the third annual national Heart Failure Awareness Week, hosted by the Canadian Heart Failure Society, along with their partner organizations and a committee of cardiovascular professionals.

Many Manitobans know and love someone who's experienced heart failure. It's a term used to describe a heart that isn't pumping as well as it should to get the body the oxygen it needs. Many people with heart failure can continue to live active, fulfilling lives as long as proper precautions are taken, such as taking heart failure medications and making healthy lifestyle choices.

Another perspective was offered to me by a friend who lives with heart failure and describes it as a pain. They can't get enough blood to their extremities, it inhibits mobility, it affects a person's mental health and there is little that can be done treatment-wise other than invasive surgery.

The Canadian Heart Failure Society provides opportunities for professionals to exchange ideas and knowledge but also, they provide guidance for Canadian professionals and communities in order to address the risks of complications regarding heart failure. Now, not only does it have adverse effects on people, but it also causes increased financial strain on health-care systems. It's therefore even more important to ensure that our health-care providers are raising awareness about heart failure, and that their patients and community members are provided with relevant, culturally appropriate and holistic information that allows them to take the necessary steps to prevent it.

Heart Failure Awareness Week is particularly relevant this year, as COVID-19 has left many people with permanent damage to their heart, lungs and other internal systems. Unfortunately, the Pallister government has made it harder for Manitobans to access emergency care by closing several ERs, which has overwhelmed the capacity of the remaining ERs and led to the resurgence of hallway medicine.

They've also left 1,300 nurse positions vacant in Winnipeg alone. And they failed to produce any plan to address rural and northern vacancies.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member's time is up.

MLA Asagwara: Leave?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Leave to have the member continue?

Some Honourable Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Declined. Permission declined.

Hon. Jon Gerrard (River Heights): Mr. Deputy Speaker, I ask leave to respond to the minister's statement.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Does the honourable member for River Heights have leave to reply to the ministerial statement? [*Agreed*]

Mr. Gerrard: Mr. Deputy Speaker, your heart pumps blood around your body so that your tissues can get oxygen and so that you can live.

Based on national numbers, it's estimated that about 20,000 Manitobans live with heart failure. It's an important condition to be aware of. I join others today to raise awareness of heart failure. Its major causes include coronary artery disease, high blood pressure, faulty heart valves, cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, among others.

Important risk factors for the development of heart failure include, as examples, having a heart attack, having sleep apnea, having obesity. Prevention of heart failure is primarily about reducing risk factors, including reducing smoking, controlling high blood pressure, preventing diabetes and keeping diabetes in individuals who have this condition under good control, keeping physically active, eating healthy foods and reducing and managing stress and treating arrhythmia.

Let us work closely with the Canadian Heart Failure Society to create better awareness of heart failure and its causes and its prevention. And let us take a more vigorous approach to preventing heart failure, as well as providing excellent treatment for those who have it.

Thank you. Merci. Miigwech. [interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

The Honourable Minister for Mental Health, Wellness and Recovery, on a different ministerial statement. The required 90 minutes prior to routine proceedings was provided in accordance with rule 26-2.

Would the honourable minister please proceed with her statement.

Mental Health Week

Hon. Audrey Gordon (Minister of Mental Health, Wellness and Recovery): Mr. Deputy Speaker, I would like to acknowledge that next week, May 3rd to 9th, is the Canadian Mental Health Association's 70th annual Mental Health Week.

CMHA's Mental Health Week helps to raise awareness and promote the importance of mental health. Our government understands that mental health is just as important as physical health, and we know that many people are struggling with the additional pressures caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. That is why our government created the Ministry of Mental Health, Wellness and Recovery-to help ensure Manitobans have access to the care, supports and treatments they need on their journey towards wellness, recovery and healing. In Budget 2021, we also made an initial investment of \$342 million in funding for wellness and recovery programs.

The theme of Mental Health Week this year, understanding our emotions, encourages people to come together to start talking about our emotions, the good and the bad. That is why we believe it is especially important to recognize our emotions and hashtag #GetReal about how you feel during Mental Health Week.

I would like to highlight some of the mental health programs and services available to Manitobans through our investment of \$50.2 million in 30 initiatives. Enhancements to mental health and specialized trauma services have been implemented at the Laurel Centre and Klinic Community Health Centre. These services help individuals address the trauma they have experienced, often resulting in decreased substance use, improved mental health and higher quality of life.

* (13:40)

Expanding the distribution of Thrival Kits in schools for grades 4 to 6 students. The kits incorporate evidence-based mental health practices such as mindfulness, meditation, personal reflection, stress reduction and coping strategies, as well as interpersonal skills development.

I encourage all Manitobans to get involved by using the hashtags #MentalHealthWeek and #GetReal. For more information on Mental Health Week, visit the website mentalhealthweek.ca. This Mental Health Week, don't be uncomfortably numb. Get real about how you feel, and name it, don't numb it.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Mrs. Bernadette Smith (Point Douglas): This past year has shone a light on the mental health of Manitobans, if we-as we've all had the navigate the difficulty of the pandemic. Some of us have lost loved ones to the virus, others lost their job or business, and all of us have struggled in one way or another with isolation from family and friends.

Everyone processes this-these traumas different. Some of us get angry and irritated, others face depression and some begin to feel numb and apathetic. For this year's Mental Health Week, the Canadian Mental Health Association doesn't want people to be uncomfortably numb about their emotions, they want them to hashtag #GetReal about how they feel. Rather than burying their emotions inside, the Canadian Mental Health Association is encouraging Canadians to open up and talk about how they're feeling.

While talking about our feelings is very important, we know that it too often takes more than that to deal with mental health challenges. Poor mental health outcomes are deeply linked to poverty, addictions, trauma and housing.

We know that overdose deaths tragically increased by 87 per cent in our province in 2020, and there is no doubt linked to the pandemic and the strain it's placed upon Manitobans' mental health.

The appropriate response is not to simply call it a tragedy but to take action that tackles the root causes of the addictions crisis. Unfortunately, this government has refused to invest in safe consumption sites. They've sold off hundreds of affordable housing units and they have cut health-care services. Manitobans deserve better.

In times of intense anxiety and stress such as this pandemic has been, it's especially important to protect our mental health. I hope all Manitobans will join me for the 70th annual Canadian Mental Health Week, next week from May 3rd to the 9th. And remember to name it, don't numb it, and to hashtag #GetReal about how you feel.

Miigwech, Deputy Speaker.

Ms. Cindy Lamoureux (Tyndall Park): I ask for leave to respond to the minister's statement.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Does the honourable member for Tyndall Park have leave to reply to the ministerial statement? [*Agreed*]

Ms. Lamoureux: I'm finding now more than ever, throughout this pandemic, that people have been reaching out, expressing their concerns and identifying their own mental health needs that aren't being met. This last introduction of restrictions have created quite the stir, and I've been hearing about the toll these restrictions have been taking on people's mental health.

I do want to emphasize that I am–I strongly, strongly believe we need these restrictions, and I'm hopeful that if we follow them over the next few weeks, it will mean that we'll have a little more normalcy come this summer.

Now, Mr. Speaker, over the last five years, I've been finishing my master's in Marriage and Family Therapy. Through my education, my own experience and through what I've been hearing from my constituents, I know it is absolutely critical that we as elected officials better promote what can be done to help everyone who may be struggling or who may know someone struggling with their mental health.

There are four supports that I want to mention. First, never, ever underestimate the basics. Make sure you're getting good sleep, eating healthy food, getting some fresh air, maintaining healthy hygiene, communicating with people, even over online platforms.

Secondly, there are resources here in Manitoba to be used. We have therapy and counselling resources, support groups, local services, phone and text resources, apps and many various streams and methods where trained professional can help.

Thirdly, we as elected officials could be doing more too. We should have paid sick leave so people do not have to choose between potentially spreading COVID and being able to pay for rent. We should have affordable and available child care for those having to work through the pandemic. And we should have therapeutic services covered under health care, and not just during the pandemic.

Lastly, Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about self-care. I personally have found solace in art and nature throughout the pandemic, and others have found it in music, baking, cooking, bubble baths, bonfires, physical fitness; the list is really endless.

And, you know, I want to be a little bit bossy here. I don't want people to only identify forms of self-care, I want people to actually practise their self-care, talk about your self-care with each other, post about it, the more open we are about how we are taking care of our mental health, the more we're going to practise it and remove the stigma behind it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Bill 227–The Residential Tenancies Amendment Act

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Now we'll go back to introduction of bills.

The honourable member for St. James.

Mr. Adrien Sala (St. James): Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker. Sorry about the mix-up, there. I am happy to report I had my shot today, and I forgot to put my tie back on after that was done. So thanks to the amazing health-care workers who took good care of me there.

So, I move, seconded by the member for Keewatinook (Mr. Bushie), that bill–

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Order.

The honourable member for St. James, again, can you repeat that?

Mr. Sala: Sure. I move, seconded by the member for Keewatinook, that Bill 227, The Residential Tenancies Amendment Act; Loi modifiant la Loi sur la location à usage d'habitation, be now read for a first time.

Motion presented.

Mr. Sala: I am honoured to present Bill 227, The Residential Tenancies Amendment Act, to this House to help better protect renters from above-guideline rent increases in Manitoba.

Bill 227 will help to mitigate rent evictions and tenants being hit with large rent increases for work that is simply needed ongoing maintenance. In those situations where above-guideline increases are still needed, Bill 227 will provide means to limit the immediate financial impact on renters by allowing the increase to be phased in over a period of time to ensure greater affordability for renters.

Bill 227 is necessary as 100 per cent of aboveguideline increases are being approved and renters are now about to face increased costs on their property taxes.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion? [*Agreed*]

MEMBERS' STATEMENTS

Lawrie Kyle

Hon. Ron Schuler (Minister of Infrastructure): The constituency of Springfield-Ritchot is filled with incredible people who have dedicated their lives to serving the community.

Today, I am honoured to recognize Lawrie Kyle, who has been volunteering within the community of Springfield for 54 years and counting. Ever since the volunteering bug hit Lawrie in 1966, he can't help but give his time away to others. In the words of Lawrie, 54 years came and went like that. It didn't even feel like work; it was a way of life. Lawrie has worn many hats in many communities throughout his decades of volunteerism. He volunteered all throughout the Rural Municipality of Springfield, including with the Springfield Curling Club, the Costume Museum of Canada and with the Springfield Archives. These are just to name a few. He has been part of the National Parole Board of Canada and, in 2019, was awarded Volunteer of the Year by the board of the Oakbank-Springfield Kinsmen Seniors Complex.

I have seen Lawrie on a hot summer's day, helping at the annual country fair held by the Springfield Agricultural Society in Dugald. People from far and wide also enjoy his acting talents in the annual Oak Bank Dinner Theatre, which is not just a fundraising event for his church, but also a must see for many Manitobans. Lawrie was instrumental in organizing the creation of 71 seniors housing units in Oakbank, and was involved in planning the first phase of the Dugald Estates.

* (13:50)

Although there's not enough time in the day to go through Lawrie Kyle's entire exceptional history of volunteerism, I hope that I at least scratched the surface on his extraordinary life of community service. While there are many remarkable volunteers throughout this province, there are few that have given as much to their community as Lawrie Kyle.

Thank you to Lawrie Kyle and to all of the volunteers that make up our beautiful province.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Students Create Portable Mental Health Kits

Ms. Amanda Lathlin (The Pas-Kameesak): The COVID-19 pandemic has caused an overall decline in mental health for a lot of people, including many Manitobans. The pandemic has been especially hard on students in Manitoba, given that many can't partake in the extracurriculars they love, such as sports or clubs. There aren't able to see many of their friends or family, and graduation for grade 12 students has been cancelled yet again.

I also know that remote learning has been stressful for many students, especially with all of the technical difficulties I'm sure we've all experienced at some point. Recognizing that the students need mental health supports more than ever, grade 12 psychology students from Margaret Barbour Collegiate Institute in The Pas decided to create a portable mental health kit for everyone in the school.

These kits include a stone to rub away your worries, a balloon to blow your negative energy into and then release, an eraser to show it's okay to make mistakes, a toy to have fun and unwind with, tips on self-care, printed mental health sheets for additional coping mechanisms and more.

The students delivered 400 of these kits on March 23rd and the 24th to individual classes. These kits were purposely delivered around the time of the equinox, a culturally significant day for many that invokes a reawakening of everything in nature and a period of growth.

These 400 kits were prepared and delivered by only 10 students, showing how much effort they all put in to make a difference. These students also wanted to encourage our other students or organizations to complete similar projects to help improve their classmates', co-workers' sense of well-being.

Please join me today in congratulating the grade 12 psychology students Willow Rambow, Jeremiah Tomchak, Jane Dunham, Michael Geswin, Joseph Ducharme, Ella Nagle, Leah Jaegar, Brenden Allen, Walter Bignoria, Sunni Demery, and their–on their outstanding work and great initiative.

Ekosi.

Margaret Barbour Collegiate Institute grade 12 psychology students: Brenden Allen, Walter Bignoria, Sunni Demery, Joseph Ducharme, Jane Dunham, Michael Geswin, Leah Jaegar, Ella Nagle, Willow Rambow, Jeremiah Tomchak

Asian Heritage Month

Mr. Andrew Smith (Lagimodière): Mr. Deputy Speaker, I rise today to recognize Asian Heritage Month in Manitoba. I'm so proud to say that in 2017 our government formally recognized May as Asian Heritage Month in Manitoba, a time when we can reflect on and celebrate the contributions that Manitobans of Asian origin continue to make to the growth and prosperity of this province.

For more than a century, people have come to Manitoba from all over Asia, and have brought with them their rich cultural heritage, which represents many languages, ethnicities and religious traditions. Manitoba continues to be the home of hope, and that is why so many come here, from around the world, to make this province their permanent home, one where they can have the opportunity to reach their full potential.

The people of this vibrant and growing community have contributed to every aspect of life in Manitoba, from arts and science, to sport, business and government, the latter of which includes my esteemed colleague, the MLA for Waverley.

Asian Heritage Month gives all Manitobans an opportunity to learn more about the history of Asian Canadians and celebrate their contributions to the growth and prosperity of our province of Manitoba.

This celebration is a bridge that connects different societies, so they may be able to better understand and learn from one another. Throughout the history of this province, Canadians of Asian descent, with their many achievements and contributions, have made and continue to make Manitoba an amazing place that it has been and continues to be.

I have had the honour to celebrate with many of these communities in the past, and look forward to a time when we can do this again. My constituency of Lagimodière and many constituencies across this province have a growing Asian and South Asian community.

I call on all members to join me in recognizing and celebrating Asian Heritage Month this May, so it may honour Manitoba's Asian communities.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Vaccines for Transportation Sector

Mr. Mintu Sandhu (The Maples): There are many people in Manitoba who have ensured life has continued for all of us throughout the pandemic. This includes people who work in transportation, whether it be a truck driver transporting goods and services, postal workers delivering our mail, transit drivers ensuring our children arrive safely home or taxi drivers taking us from one destination to another.

The work of the transportation sector is essential to our community and economy. Unfortunately, transportation is a sector where we see an overrepresentation of COVID-19 cases, equalling 11 per cent of all cases in the province.

I would like to encourage all people in the sector to get vaccinated as soon as they can. Eligibility is now open to people aged 40 and over, and as well as First Nations people aged 30 and over. Also, there are some people–some eligible peeper–people is 18 and over who live or work in certain communities. More information can be found at protectmanitoba.ca.

Lastly, Manitoba truck-based–Manitoba-based truck drivers have been given an opportunity to be vaccinated in North Dakota while completing their routine trips to and from the United States. This initiative makes upwards of 4,000 trucker drivers eligible for vaccination over the next several weeks. Further to this, the Pallister government should ensure people from out of province are vaccinated so that we can protect locals who work in the transportation as well as all Manitobans.

I encourage truck drivers, taxi drivers and all the people in the transportation sector to vaccinate as soon as possible. We thank you for your work you do and keeping our communities safe.

Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I want to go back to the honourable member for The Pas-Kameesak. She wanted to ask leave, I believe.

Ms. Amanda Lathlin (The Pas-Kameesak): I wanted to ask leave, Mr. Deputy Speaker, to have the names put in Hansard.

Thank you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Okay, was there leave to have names put in Hansard from the honourable member for The Pas-Kameesak, on her statement? [Agreed]

Okay, we'll now go on to the honourable member for St. Boniface.

French Language Education

Mr. Dougald Lamont (St. Boniface): I rise today to speak about the serious changes that Bill 64 makes to our public education system that will affect not just the 200,000 students who are currently in public schools, including my own children, but all future students.

I want to highlight just how destructive this bill is for a part of the education system that changed my life, which is French immersion, which is at risk under this reform.

Le Projet de loi 64 a créé l'illusion qu'il protège l'enseignement en français en épargnant à la Division scolaire franco-manitobaine, ou DSFM, d'être oblitérée comme toutes les autres divisions scolaires.

Mais l'immersion française est au-delà de ce que la DSFM offre. Je suis allé à l'immersion française

dans la Division scolaire de Winnipeg-et mes enfants aussi.

Translation

Bill 64 created the illusion that it was protecting French-language education by sparing the Division scolaire franco-manitobaine, DSFM, from being obliterated along with all the other school divisions.

However, French immersion education goes beyond the DSFM. I went to French immersion in the Winnipeg School Division–and so do my children.

English

In 1996, the PC government of the day adopted a language addition to the education act that stated that French immersion, French first language and basic French had the right to expect funding, programming and curriculum appropriate to students. But instead we've seen that the Bureau de l'éducation française has been all but scrapped, and staff from the BEF who guarantee the quality of course content of French programming have been cut, eliminated and ignored.

We recognize that the more bilingual graduates Manitoba produces, the better our province will be able to compete. But Bill 64 does exactly nothing to guarantee that the students in our schools will have a quality education in both official languages.

That is why Manitoba Liberals believe we should kill Bill 64. It's not reform; it's yet another act of political vandalism by a government that knows the price of everything and the value of nothing.

We owe everyone in Manitoba a better education system than this.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Time for question period.

ORAL QUESTIONS

Manitoba's Workforce Paid Sick Leave

Mr. Wab Kinew (Leader of the Official Opposition): Throughout this pandemic, the Pallister government has continued to be reactive instead of proactive and that continues on the issue of paid sick leave.

We know that yesterday, premiers in British Columbia and in Ontario took concrete steps and announced specific proposals for paid sick leave in their provinces. Fast-forward to today, what does the Premier have? More delays, more words, but no actions. At this stage of the pandemic, we know that paid sick leave is an important part of the overall public health response to combatting the third wave.

Will the Premier simply implement a provincial comprehensive paid sick leave program today?

* (14:00)

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): While the NDP was sitting back saying nothing about it, we were proactive in advancing the idea of a national paid sick leave program.

So, the member's false in his assertions, and we'll continue to be assertive in this issue as soon as in the next hour and a half, as we speak to the Prime Minister and other premiers about this issue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Kinew: Well, you know, with the Premier it's always next hour, you know? The change we need, it's only a day away, as the musical said.

We know that this Premier is continuing tolooking for excuses rather than actually taking action. He has it within his power, he has the resources at his disposal to be able to implement a paid sick leave program that would be easily accessible to all workers, that would allow them to access it through their employers to ensure that there's no disruption of their incomes, and they would just simply see their incomes continue, should they have to self-isolate or, God forbid, even become sick.

Will the Premier stop with the excuses, put together a plan now and ensure that every worker has access to comprehensive paid sick leave in Manitoba?

Mr. Pallister: Well, again, we've been advancing this cause for some months while the member was silent. He's got a cause célèbre this week; he'll drop it and come up with something else next week. We all know that. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: The federal government assured us–all premiers–that they would advance a national sick leave program, and so the premiers took them at their word. They committed \$5 billion to it. That was the promise. Then, last week, we found out that the promise wasn't going to be kept the way it had been made, and they were down to less than a fifth of that money, and they put stipulations in the program they produced, which give it some weaknesses and gaps, to say the least.

But I'm not giving up on the Prime Minister at this point. We're talking to him this afternoon. We got him to change earlier on the issue of the wage subsidy program, where the feds came out and said 10 per cent subsidy would be enough, and we fought them and they went to 75. We made an improvement then. We're not giving up.

The member's about giving up. This government's not about giving up.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Kinew: And now, apparently, the Premier is going to insist that he invented the Internet as well, I think, Mr. Deputy Speaker.

Ontario announced their paid sick leave program yesterday. They introduced the legislation today. British Columbia introduced their proposal for paid sick leave programs.

It's all well and good to have your ongoing dialogue with the Prime Minister, but the people of Manitoba deserve a leader who is going to take action now.

Again, there is, within the resources and within the powers of the Premier, the ability to take action now.

Will he do so? Will he take-[interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Kinew: -concrete steps-[interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Kinew: –immediately to ensure that there's a comprehensive provincial paid sick leave program right now?

Mr. Pallister: If I invented it, he'd probably steal it, Mr. Speaker.

And the fact is we've been fighting for paid sick leave for a long time, so I just have to say to the member opposite, if he doesn't respect the Labour Management Review Committee and wants us to circumvent them–and he's just gone on the record as saying so–I don't agree with him.

So, we've already initiated those discussions-initiated those discussions last week, and we'll continue to advance ideas to fill in a gap. But we want the gap to be narrow, not just in Manitoba, right across the country, because we think all Canadian workers deserve the kind of supports during this pandemic that'll help them to feel safe and secure, and we're going to continue to fight for that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a different question.

COVID-19 Vaccinations Priority for Teachers

Mr. Wab Kinew (Leader of the Official Opposition): Mr. Deputy Speaker, teachers are feeling insulted at this hour, even as we gather here. Now, the reason they're feeling so insulted is because it's not enough for the Premier to have returned hallway medicine to Manitoba, he's also now implemented his highway medicine plan for Manitoba teachers.

He is telling Manitoba teachers to go to another province to get their vaccinations-he is telling Manitoba teachers to go to another country, rather, to go get their vaccinations. He is telling these-*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Kinew: –Manitoba teachers that he doesn't want to prioritize them when it comes to the rollout of vaccines here in Manitoba. Instead, he sends them to the US of A.

We know what is necessary to ensure that schools stay open: prioritizing teachers for vaccines in Manitoba immediately.

Will the Premier comply?

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): Well, you know, Mr. Deputy Speaker, all I can say is that we're not forcing anybody to get a vaccine, but we would encourage it. The member for St. Johns (Ms. Fontaine) has encouraged it. The member for Union Station's (MLA Asagwara) encouraged it. And I applaud them doing that. I think it's important.

We're–we have an opportunity here. The United States has extra vaccines. North Dakota and Manitoba have, under this governor and this Premier, a good relationship to work together. And so if teachers want to get a little faster, get a vaccine, I think that's a good thing, not a bad thing.

So I would say to the member opposite, don't pooh-pooh initiative. You know, a minute ago, he was pleading with us to have more initiative. Now we use the initiative and he doesn't like it. He could talk to any number of several hundred truckers and find out that it's a great, appreciated initiative to be able to get a vaccine, and I think that a lot of teachers will appreciate it too.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Kinew: Well, the right plan would be to prioritize teachers for vaccines right here in Manitoba today. Instead, the Premier goes out and makes his announcement, and teachers are left wondering, is this some sort of bad joke? Teachers are watching his press conference and they feel insulted.

Again, the Premier is instituting a policy of highway medicine in Manitoba. He wants teachers to hit the road and get their vaccinations in another country.

Again, we know that these teachers are important. We want the school kids across Manitoba to continue to receive education, but there are so many holes in this plan that it is hard to even take it seriously.

Will the Premier abandon the folly of his press conference earlier today and simply prioritize all Manitoba teachers for vaccines in Manitoba? [interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: Well, the member is forgetting that we have.

Our vaccine team has made a genuine effort to make sure that, in particular, that teachers and educators, workers in our schools, are able to get access, in particular, in those hot spots the member helped create by totally disrespecting the health rules and by going out and promoting anti-masking behaviour right in downtown Winnipeg, just three weeks ago, immediately followed by a big uptick in the number of cases two weeks later. I wonder if it's coincidental or I wonder if the member did have any influence.

The member likes to argue he has a lot of influence, Mr. Speaker, but now he's arguing he doesn't have any when he goes out and breaks all the health rules. And so I'm–*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: –with the member–I'm–frankly, I'm with the member for St. Johns (Ms. Fontaine). *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: The member for St. Johns said, throw the book at him. She said, punish him. She said, put him in jail. She said, lock him up. And she wasn't just talking–*[interjection]*–she wasn't just talking about that organizer last Sunday at The Forks when she said it. That was another part of the internal dynamic of the NDP that we see over there every single day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Kinew: We already–[interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Order.

The honourable Leader of the Official Opposition, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Kinew: Well, we already knew that the Premier was out of touch with our teachers' needs. We knew that when he asked them to pay out of pocket for basic school supplies. And then when he was pressed on the matter, he doubled down and said, yes, that's okay.

And now he's proposing that he impose a program of highway medicine onto these teachers and force them to hit the road and drive to another country just to get a vaccine that we all know will help bring about the end of the pandemic. There are so many problems with his proposal, I don't know where to begin.

But I guess one question we might rightly ask is: Can teachers claim the cost of travel to North Dakota against the \$1,500 tax credit the government announced?

Shout-out to Brian Gilchrist.

Mr. Pallister: Couple of things, Mr. Deputy Speaker. The member's kind of grabbing for issues, but we do think it's important to incent and to reward an inspired teaching community when they go out of pocket for extra projects, and many do.

And so the federal government launched this initiative back three years ago. He's against it. He's on record saying he's against it. He's saying he's opposed to giving the teachers tax credit for money they spend out of pocket on inspiring their kids. So, I'm glad he took the position. I think he's wrong.

* (14:10)

On the issue of teachers getting access: Prairie Mountain Health, Brandon downtown, we got 18 years of age and up, teachers can get a vaccine; WRHA, Point Douglas north, downtown Winnipeg, Inkster, Point Douglas, Seven Oaks, teachers 18 and up can get a vaccine; we're talking about the Northern Health Region–including Churchill–18 and up, teachers can get a vaccine; AstraZeneca 40-plus at doctors', pharmacies' offices. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: The NDP Leader, he can't be quiet. He has to keep talking because he never gets any substance into his questions, so he has to keep blabbing after to try to make up the gap.

Well, Mr. Speaker, all I can say is we care about teachers. We want them to get vaccinated. That's what we'll be doing. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Order.

COVID-19 Vaccine Eligibility Priority for Teachers and Staff

Mr. Nello Altomare (Transcona): Exposure notices for COVID-19 in schools are growing by the day. École Marie-Anne-Gaboury now has two dozen cases between students and staff. Mitigation in all Manitoba schools should have been done, including vaccination and rapid testing on those without symptoms.

Teachers have lost faith in this government's ability to mitigate the virus in their classrooms. And instead of 'prietorizing' them close to home for vaccine, they got told today that they would have to take a day off and somehow travel to North Dakota in maybe a week or month's time. This is shameful.

Will the minister instead 'prietorize' educators right here at home in Manitoba immediately?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable Minister for Education–and I'd also want to remind, any more heckling here.

Hon. Cliff Cullen (Minister of Education): I do appreciate the member's question. Clearly, we're working very closely with educators across the province, as it relates to the pandemic.

We're working very closely, in communications daily with our public health officials. I know Dr. Roussin indicated just earlier this week that the actual transmission in schools is very minimal. It appears the transmission is happening outside of schools.

So, for the most part, again, I thank our educators for keeping our schools a safe place to educate our students.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Transcona, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Altomare: And I'd like to remind the minister that teachers aren't truck drivers and they don't drive to the border for a living. However, students and

teachers are now facing a new threat with these variants.

Teachers are fed up with this government's inaction and disregard for their needs and instead of action, the Premier (Mr. Pallister) and his minister have cooked up a vaccination plan on the back of a napkin–one that is hours away on the highway. What if they don't have a car? Where are they supposed to find the time? That's not leadership, it's political desperation.

Will the Premier 'prietorize' vaccines for educators right here in Manitoba, everywhere, today?

Mr. Cullen: Obviously, we're working closely with our public health officials. We would like to have more vaccine available here in Manitoba. We're looking at options, in terms of acquiring more vaccine, and we certainly want to make sure that our educators and our staff have that opportunity.

Clearly, we're working with the Vaccine Implementation Task Force as well. I know they're doing good work with the vaccine they have. And again, our priority is about safety for Manitoba students and educators, and we're doing everything we can to make sure they stay safe. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

The honourable member for Transcona, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Altomare: Schools are already going remote because of exposures in the classroom, and they aren't in so-called hot zones. There are mounting cases. Like the rest of the province, cases and test positivity for young people are accelerating. Teachers and school staff are putting it all on the line for our students.

It's time to 'prietorize' them–all of them–for vaccination right here, at home in Manitoba, not upwards of four hours away outside of Canada at some later date. This Premier and this minister are simply out of touch.

Will this government finally commit to vaccination for all teachers and school staff, right here, at home, today?

Mr. Cullen: Well, Mr. Deputy Speaker, obviously we're monitoring this situation very closely. Public safety is certainly paramount for us.

I do want to report that we do have, as over the last two weeks, 416 cases. That's both teachers and students, and that's across 230,000 teachers and students in our public school system. So, actually, the

counts are quite low. We only have four out of the 250 schools are actually on remote learning.

So, I recognize there is growing concern. We are monitoring that concern and, again, public safety is No. 1.

Manitoba's Workforce Paid Sick Leave

Mr. Tom Lindsey (Flin Flon): Deputy Speaker, you know, for months now the Pallister government has resisted the demands of workers for provincially mandated and paid sick leave. Federal government plan just doesn't cut it. This Premier has waited and waited.

So far, we've seen other premiers–Premier Ford has stepped up and said, you know what, we're going to implement paid sick leave. Horgan in BC said we're going to–*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Lindsey: –implement paid sick leave.

What happens back here at home, Mr. Deputy Speaker? Nothing.

So, when will this government do the right thing and implement much-needed paid sick leave?

Hon. Scott Fielding (Minister of Finance): As the Premier had mentioned just earlier on in the question period, there's a call with the Prime Minister that he'll be raising with the Prime Minister.

We know that there's lots of gaps within the existing program. And the premiers are united–whether they're NDP, Liberal or Conservative–to push the federal government to make sure the program which they initially talked about–a \$5 billion program–and yet announced their budget \$1 billion–isn't good enough.

And so that's why the Premier will be pushing later on this afternoon at the Prime Minister's call.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Flin Flon, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Lindsey: You know, Mr. Deputy Speaker, I'm not a fan of Conservative governments and I take exception to a lot of things that Liberal governments do, but, you know, when it's time to lead, that's what leaders should do is lead and, at the end of the day, put aside whatever differences they have.

So far, that's not what we've seen from our Premier. He whines. He complains. He blames everybody else, doesn't do anything. So, other leaders, other premiers have seen that it's time to act and implement paid sick leave.

Why won't this Premier and this government do the same and implement paid sick leave today?

Mr. Fielding: The Premier of the province here isn't someone that just talks, like the Leader of the Opposition. He actually gets things done.

When-he worked with other premiers of other provinces in terms of things like the wage subsidy. We know what the federal government introduced in terms of the wage subsidy. Initially, it was going to be 10 per cent. It obviously grew to 75 per cent because of the work that the Premier of this province as well as other premiers who united together.

That process is happening again and the Premier will be talking to the Prime Minister in the next hour about the gaps in the program that exist right now.

Some Honourable Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

The honourable member for Flin Flon, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Lindsey: Mr. Deputy Speaker, 12,000 workers have gotten COVID on the job. At least, that's the number the WCB accepted. No doubt, there's a lot more.

So we know that COVID's happening in the workplace. It's happening everywhere, right?

Manitobans need to stay home when they're sick. They shouldn't have to make a choice of going to work when they're sick or feeding their families. That's just not right. So we need to make sure there's a paid sick leave program introduced now.

So why won't this Premier step up, just for once do the right thing, protect Manitoba workers and implement a paid sick leave program today?

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): Well, instead of the talk, talk, talk that the member and his leader like to do, they could have a chance to walk, walk, walk on this one, because the premiers are all together on wanting the feds to reform this plan and make it work nationally.

But what they have to fight–*[interjection]*–the member needs to listen for a second. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: The member for Flin Flon should listen. He could understand. He could do something productive with his time.

The reason the federal Liberals are going to be able to push this through–*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: If the federal Liberals push this through, it will only be with the help of the NDP. It will only be with the help of the NDP because Jagmeet Singh's propping them up in there. So the only way they can get this ragtag proposal together, which doesn't work for workers, that the member and I agree is bad, is if we get the federal NDP to have an amendment.

* (14:20)

So, why don't the NDP do something productive for once in their lives, back the premiers of BC, Nova Scotia, Newfoundland, the Yukon, Manitoba, back us up instead of trying to score cheap partisan points. Do something for the workers of this country. Do something for a change. Get Singh to amend the bill.

Some Honourable Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Order.

I'm standing. The Speaker's standing. Respect the Chair. And let's have some decorum in this building, here.

Provincial Finances During Pandemic Request for Spending Disclosure

Ms. Nahanni Fontaine (St. Johns): Yikes, deputy premier–Deputy Speaker.

Earlier this week, the Minister of Finance (Mr. Fielding) claimed hiding information was the best practice across Canada. BC, Alberta, Saskatchewan and the federal government all give the kind of information this minister has decided Manitobans aren't allowed to see. He's hiding essential information about the pandemic, while misleading Manitobans about the reason.

He can't even get the research right. I table the research to prove that he is utterly wrong.

Why is the Minister of Finance hiding information from the people of our province?

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): Yes, it's under point-of-privilege consideration. I don't think it's appropriate for the member to misrepresent the reality of

the situation, though, in her preamble and use her time in that way. But she does regularly disrespect the rules of this House, and she's just done it again. [interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

The honourable member for St. Johns, on a supplementary question. *[interjection]* Order.

Ms. Fontaine: To be fair, our questions have been vetted, and we understand that they are not in contravention of the matter of privilege, so-*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Ms. Fontaine: –there's nothing stopping the Minister of Finance from getting up today and giving Manitobans the essential information that belongs to them about the finances of the Province.

He claimed he doesn't want to because other provinces don't give the information; I just proved that's wrong. The minister can't even get his facts straight. It's sad when a minister intentionally misleads Manitobans during a pandemic.

Will the minister stop playing games and give Manitobans the information that rightfully belongs to all of us?

Mr. Pallister: I should mention, Mr. Deputy Speaker, best practices do not include trying to white out an email that accuses women trying to fundraise for a battered woman's association of being do-good, ignorant white people, and that's what the member was involved in.

So that-we aren't going to do that. We've got more proactive disclosure here in this government than the NDP ever had.

The member's wrong, puts false information on the record, but it is her habit to disrespect the rules of this place, and you're seeing it again right now.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. I just want to–just tell the–all the members here to have some caution here, where we're going, what direction we're going.

So, the honourable member for St. Johns, on a final supplementary question.

Ms. Fontaine: Well, let's talk about secrecy.

It's the practice of this PC government, in particular this Premier and every single one of his Cabinet, to hide information from Manitobans, whether it's the text of bills, making it harder to access FIPPA or a whole other means.

Now we learn that the Minister of Finance (Mr. Fielding) is justifying wrong facts why we cannot get the information that Manitobans rightly deserve.

Will the minister end his game and give Manitobans the information that rightfully belongs to all Manitobans on the spending, or lack thereof, of this government during the pandemic? *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Order. Order.

Mr. Pallister: She's caught because she's sitting-to her immediate left is someone who ran for public office while failing to disclose his own personal record. And so there's-there is who she's led by; that is the leader she follows. This is the method that she subscribes to-the member who wrote a book and ascribed his recovery to the book, as its reason for being-writing and then left out seven of eight criminal charges. Now, that's what we've got here.

Here's a letter from John Williams. The Province of Manitoba put out this press release because John Williams sent it to us: I am absolutely awestruck and dumbfounded to have this monumental honour bestowed upon me, to be recognized in this way for my volunteer efforts on behalf of wildlife and their habitats. It's completely unexpected. It's one of the greatest distinctions a conservationist could receive. I'm extremely humbled and grateful.

Well deserved, Mr. Williams. Thank you. Congratulations, and thank you very much for your years of service to the people of Manitoba.

Education Property Tax Rebate Request for Support of Bill 229

Mr. Mark Wasyliw (Fort Garry): Thank you, Mr. Deputy Speaker. [interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Wasyliw: When you are a Premier as unpopular as ours, it's understandable why you'd want to include self-promoting material along with a tax rebate.

However, that doesn't make it right, and Bill 71 would seek to include materials supplied by the minister with the education property tax rebate, likely to include the government's self-promoting political propaganda. That's just wrong.

Bill 229 would prohibit the name, image or title of a Cabinet minister, including the Premier, from

being used on any material included with a cheque mailed by the government or any government agency.

Will the minister leave partisan politics out of taxation and support Bill 229?

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): I'm–just want an update from the member on how he's doing with the arm wrestle with his leader and who's getting the bigger subsidy. I know they're both bigger than mine, and the fact of the matter is, Mr. Deputy Speaker, that we're correcting years of mistakes by the NDP in not recognizing that two houses on the same street paying the same tax doesn't reflect the reality of what's inside in terms of earning power.

And so we're raising education from income tax. Income tax is the way that we'll fund education in the future. The member opposite's heard of it. I'm not sure if he's paid it–*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: –but the member opposite's heard of it. And so he needs to understand that this is the fair and right way to do things while he lips from the other side.

He needs to understand that the old way the NDP did it forced seniors out of their homes, put pressure on people who are struggling and was wrong, and we're going to correct that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Fort Garry, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Wasyliw: You know, what the Premier just doesn't understand is that none of us should be getting this rebate, and if there is going to be a rebate, it needs to go to the most vulnerable Manitobans, who-especially renters, small-business owners and people with modest homes. So this just shows how out-of-touch this Premier is.

So why rebate cheques? Well, he knows his popularity is plummeting and he's attempting this lastditch effort to buy popularity with a \$1.3-million price tag for taxpayers, and Manitobans aren't convinced. One commentator said this: Don't buy my vote with my own money. Fund education properly instead. Indeed.

Now, I know this is a head-scratcher for the Premier but, on this side, we get it. *[interjection]*

Will this Premier take the partisan politics out of the rebates-

April 29, 2021

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member's time is up.

Again, I just want to remind everyone too that, you know what, I–it's very hard to hear the person, especially when they're on Zoom. So if everybody can have, like–at least when the person's actually speaking on Zoom, so that they–Hansard can hear and everyone else can hear.

Mr. Pallister: Let's just be clear: the member is out of touch.

His party's out of touch, and they show it when they're against taking \$40 million away from a topheavy administration and giving it to the front line– and giving it to the front line where the students are; when they speak in favour of 400 junkets by trustees; when they speak in favour of a 40 per cent tax increase in one of the most depressed areas of our province–which the member foisted on people then, when he was a trustee.

You know, these are the out-of-touch actions of an out-of-touch government with out-of-touch opposition with out-of-touch members. And I can say to the member opposite that we are going to make sure there's more resources on the front line for teachers and that taxes to fund education are there, and they're raised from income–a progressive way to do it–not from property tax.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Fort Garry, on a final supplementary question.

Mr. Wasyliw: Well, Mr. Deputy Speaker, no school trustee ever wants to raise taxes, and only reason they've done it in the last five years is year over year over year cuts by this Premier and his Cabinet to education.

So it's completely inappropriate of this government to use publicly funded tax mail-outs as an opportunity to promote themselves, their political agenda or their party. It's a sign of this government's growing political desperation.

Bill 229 calls this government's bluff, and they still refuse to support it. Without their unanimous support of this bill, it's more clear than ever that this government cares more about political games than they do about Manitobans.

Will the Premier support Bill 229, yes or no?

* (14:30)

Mr. Pallister: The member who's crying the crocodile tears about how hard it is to raise taxes was awfully good at it. He did it year after year after year after year. He got 40 per cent increase in there in just seven years. Now he tries to blame our government for his tendency to jack up taxes when we are funding education–per capita basis–better than every other province but one.

So we're leading the country in funding education, health care and social services and we're reducing taxes because the taxes–*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Pallister: –that he put on Manitobans were oppressive, hurtful and wrong, and we're going to remedy that by making sure there's more money on the kitchen tables of the families the NDP have been raiding for years.

And that member was a big part of it even before he got involved provincially. So, boy, is he the–in the right party now.

COVID-19 in Schools Remote Learning

Mr. Dougald Lamont (St. Boniface): We have hundreds of cases of COVID in schools.

When this government denies transmission is taking place in schools without backing it up with any evidence, it undermines what little credibility this government has left.

I'll read an email we received from a teacher in a hot zone: Schools are being lectured by this government for shutting down when the case count becomes unmanageable because the government won't shut the schools down, and so the divisions made their own decisions to do so. They are now being lectured for doing this. I am watching colleagues within my own building come to work every day completely terrified for their own health and safety. End quote.

Is this government going to stop putting politics ahead of public health and move all Winnipeg schools to remote learning, as the Manitoba Teachers' Society and their own plan from September recommends?

Hon. Cliff Cullen (Minister of Education): You know, clearly, we're taking advice from our public health officials. These are the experts when it comes to public health.

I get the issue. I get the concern in the community. The reality is, Dr. Roussin said just this week, very little transmission within schools themselves. We've got protocols in place to keep both teachers and staff and students safe. We're taking advice every day from April 29, 2021

public health officials that are making recommendations to school boards as well.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, I referenced earlier, really, in terms of our 230,000 people involved in education, both teachers and staff, only 400 cases over the last two years.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, our schools are remaining safe.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for St. Boniface, on a supplementary question.

Mr. Lamont: Mr. Deputy Speaker, this government has hundreds of thousands of rapid tests we could be using in schools, but they won't.

They could be setting up vaccination clinics in school gyms in hot spots to vaccinate every person working in school. They won't, though there are over 100,000 vaccines available.

Vaccines are critical, but they won't work instantly. They still take time to have a full effect.

I quote the teacher again: Please, from a teacher who is terrified to go to work every day, from a mother who wants to live to see her children grow up, from a human being who wants all of our children in schools to be safe, please have schools be temporarily shut down until we can get these case numbers under control again.

Is this government going to help–going to keep forcing teachers, workers and students into COVID hot zones without protection and without vaccinations, or will they keep–break the chain of transmission with remote learning?

Mr. Cullen: Mr. Deputy Speaker, I–just reading the actual–the MTS magazine this morning, and they quote here: Virtual classrooms should never be used as an alternative to in-class learning, as it is a poor substitute. Optimal student learning occurs when a teacher and a student are together. Virtual schools should only be an option when there is no alternative. That's the advice from MTS.

We're also taking advice from public health officials, Mr. Deputy Speaker. Our government takes public safety very seriously.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Tyndall Park, on a final supplementary question.

COVID-19 Vaccinations Priority for Education Staff

Ms. Cindy Lamoureux (Tyndall Park): Teachers, school administrators, EAs, parents, students, all involved in our education system, including the Manitoba Teachers' Society, have repeatedly been calling for all people working in schools to be given priority access to COVID vaccines for weeks.

Today, the Premier said teachers can go to North Dakota to get their vaccine. This is ridiculous. There are over 100,000 vaccines sitting in freezers here in Manitoba today that should be used.

Why is the Premier encouraging travel instead of prioritizing those working in our school system with Manitoba's vaccine supply?

Hon. Brian Pallister (Premier): Why is the federal Liberal government have us ranked between 40th and 50th on available vaccines? Why are we behind Guernsey? Why are we behind so many other countries–dozens of countries on vaccine availability?

That's why we've taken measures to protect ourselves here in Manitoba and in Canada, to launch domestic production, because we want to make sure that the vaccines are available and that we're not put in this situation ever again–or, in fact, possibly later this year or early next year when we need boosters.

And so I would encourage the member to speak up to those in Ottawa she is acquainted with, and I would also encourage her to encourage Ottawa to come up with a national sick leave program while she's at it.

Criminal Justice Supports Budget 2021 Funding

Mr. Len Isleifson (Brandon East): Our-[interjection]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Isleifson: –government recently announced further funding from Budget 2021 to enhance criminal justice supports right here in Manitoba.

Can the Minister of Justice please update the House on this very important initiative?

Hon. Cameron Friesen (Minister of Justice and Attorney General): I thank the member for Brandon East for the question.

Improving the criminal justice system is something our government takes seriously. That's-*[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Mr. Friesen: –why we're providing \$5.2 million through Budget 2021 to support key justice initiatives that will protect Manitobans, increase access to justice and further modernize the provincial justice system.

We are strengthening judicial resources by hiring two additional full-time judges. We're hiring two Crown attorneys to provide 24-hour service on call. And we're also providing Legal Aid Manitoba with an additional \$2.3 million to provide private bar lawyers working with Legal Aid to ensure all Manitobans can access legal services regardless of their financial situation. *[interjection]*

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order.

Smoking and Vapour Products Legislation Request to Withdraw Bill 56

Mrs. Bernadette Smith (Point Douglas): Bill 56 has become a controversial piece of legislation, with opposition even from the Canadian Cancer Society. That's because most people, other than members opposite, recognize how important meaningful and respectful consultation is when introducing legislation that will impact First Nation communities.

This government has failed to consult First Nations leadership about Bill 56, and it will likely be challenged in court.

Will the minister withdraw Bill 56 today, yes or no?

Hon. Audrey Gordon (Minister of Mental Health, Wellness and Recovery): As you know, cigarette smoking is one of the leading causes of preventable deaths in Manitoba. And this is a shocking statistic: over 2,000 individuals die in this province annually as a result of smoking.

We are going to continue to extend our reach to First Nations communities and leaders to engage with us on this very important piece of legislation, and we look forward to creating equity here in our province for non-smoking environments for our children and our young people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The honourable member for Point Douglas, on a supplementary question.

Mrs. Smith: Grand Chief Garrison Settee of MKO has written this minister, and I'll table the letter.

Again, they implore this minister not to proceed at this time. They say it is astounding that this government would develop and table this legislation without first meaningfully engaging with First Nations, and they are all-and this is all the more troubling that the text of the bill was withheld for months without being distributed-months in which they could and should have consulted. The approach of this government, he says, is misleading and undemocratic.

Will the minister admit that this is the wrong approach and withdraw Bill 56?

Ms. Gordon: Mr. Deputy Speaker, in the spirit of reconciliation, we have reached out to all three grand chiefs, as well as all of the 63 First Nation communities, to have engagement and discussion and dialogue around this very important piece of legislation, and we continue to respect the local First Nation leaderships and communities' ability to make their own laws that align with their views on smoking.

And I hope those views with—would align with the communities that have already established bylaws to restrict smoking in their—on their reserves and work with our government to ensure equitable access to smoke-free environments in their communities.

Thank you.

* (14:40)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Time for oral questions has expired.

PETITIONS

Epilepsy Treatment

MLA Uzoma Asagwara (Union Station): I wish to present the following petition to the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba.

These are the reasons for this petition:

(1) One in 10 Manitobans will have a seizure in their lifetime, and the incidence of epilepsy in the Indigenous populations is double the national average. Epilepsy occurs just as often as breast and lung cancer world-wide.

(2) COVID-19 has cancelled epilepsy surgeries booked for Manitoba patients elsewhere in Canada because they cannot receive this standardly routine surgery in the province.

(3) Manitoba is the only province which has an inappropriate hospital environment to perform most epilepsy surgeries because it conducts epilepsy monitoring on orthopedics ward with orthopedic staff, instead of an epilepsy ward with trained epilepsy staff. (4) Patients in Manitoba have to wait three or more years for epilepsy surgery, which has resulted in them having to continue to suffer uncontrolled seizures, struggle with mental health issues, including depression, anxiety, headaches, general poor health and even death, in some cases.

(5) Since an epilepsy neurologist resigned in 2012, more neurologists have resigned due to dealing with old and failing equipment, which has resulted in sending patients out of province, costing the provincial government millions of dollars.

(6) Epilepsy surgery is extremely effective, resulting in patients requiring less medication, sometimes becoming seizure-free, enabling them to return to work, drive and live fulfilling lives.

We petition the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba as follows:

(1) To urge the Minister of Health and Seniors Care to open a genuine, four-bed epilepsy unit, similar to the one recently opened in Saskatchewan, at the Health Sciences Centre, with modern equipment and adequate epilepsy neurosurgeons, neurologists, nurses, clerks and technicians.

(2) To urge the Minister of Health and Seniors Care to formally establish an epilepsy program to ensure that all epilepsy staff can deliver care to patients in a co-ordinated fashion.

This has been signed by many Manitobans.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In accordance with our rule 133-6, when petitions are read, they must deem to be received by the House.

Mr. Ian Bushie (Keewatinook): I wish to present the following petition to the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba

These are the reasons for this petition:

(1) One in 10 Manitobans will have a seizure in their lifetime, and the incidence of epilepsy in the Indigenous populations is double the national average. Epilepsy occurs just as often as breast and lung cancer world-wide.

(2) COVID-19 has cancelled epilepsy surgeries booked for Manitoba patients elsewhere in Canada because they cannot receive this standardly routine surgery in the province.

(3) Manitoba is the only province which has an inappropriate hospital environment to perform most

epilepsy surgeries because it conducts epilepsies monitoring on an orthopedics ward with orthopedic staff, instead of an epilepsy ward with trained epilepsy staff.

(4) Patients in Manitoba have to wait three or more years for epilepsy surgery, which has resulted in them having to continue to suffer uncontrolled seizures, struggle with mental health issues, and including depression, anxiety, headaches, general poor health and even death, in some cases.

(5) Since an epilepsy neurologist resigned in 2012, more neurologists have resigned due to dealing with old and failing equipment, which has resulted in sending patients out of province, costing the provincial government millions of dollars.

(6) Epilepsy surgery is extremely effective, resulting in patients requiring less medication, sometimes becoming seizure-free, enabling them to return to work, drive and live fulfilling lives.

We petition the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba as follows:

(1) To urge the Minister of Health and Seniors Care to open a genuine, four-bed epilepsy unit, similar to the one recently opened in Saskatchewan, at the Health Sciences Centre, with modern equipment and adequate epilepsy neurosurgeons, neurologists, nurses, clerks and technicians.

(2) To urge the Minister of Health and Seniors Care to formally establish an epilepsy program to ensure that all epilepsy staff can deliver care to patients in a co-ordinated fashion.

This has been signed by many Manitobans.

Vivian Sand Facility Project-Clean Environment Commission Review

Hon. Jon Gerrard (River Heights): I wish to present the following petition to the Legislative Assembly.

The background to this petition is as follows:

The Vivian sands project is a proposed silica sand mine and processing plant to be built in the RM of Springfield. The overall project includes mining claims of over 85,000 hectares, making it the largest claim ever given to a single company in Manitoba's history. It is larger than the city of Winnipeg, which is 46,410 hectares.

The amount of dry, solid sand mined, produced per year, according to the EAP, is 1.36 million tons, and much of this sand will be used in fracking. A major concern of the proposed mine and plant is that, if developed, it could contaminate the Sandilands aquifer, including both carbonate and sandstone aquifers, which covers much of southeastern Manitoba. It has excellent water quality and is the water source for tens of thousands of Manitobans, including many municipal water systems, agriculture, industry, private wells and an abundance of wildlife and ecosystems. Further, people in the Indigenous communities that are potentially affected by this were not afforded the required Indigenous consultation from either federal or provincial government officials.

The sustainable yield of the combined sandstone and carbonate aquifers has still not yet been established by provincial authorities.

The mine could cause leaching of acid and heavy metals and pollute the aquifer, as it will go down 200 feet into the Winnipeg formation of the sandstone aquifer. There is concern that the shale, which separates the carbonate and sandstone aquifers–sand and pyritic oolite itself contains sulphides–will, when exposed to injected air from the CanWhite Sands extraction process, turn to acid.

An additional concern with the proposed mine and plant is the potential to pollute the Brokenhead River and the aquatic food chain leading to Lake Winnipeg.

Residents in the area have also expressed fears of being overexposed to silica dust during production, as there has been a demonstrated lack of safety and environmental procedures by the CanWhite Sands Corporation during the exploratory drilling phase. Signage and fencing has been poor; identifying and required mine claim tags were missing; there were no warnings for silica dust exposure and no coverings to prevent exposure of the silica stockpiles to the elements.

Residents' concerns include the fact that boreholes, which should have been promptly and properly sealed, were left open for a year. The drilling of hundreds of improperly sealed boreholes yearly create significant risks of surface contamination, mixing of aquifer waters and drainage of surface fecal matter into the aquifer.

There is also a risk of subsidence around each borehole as a result of sand extraction.

There are also potential transboundary issues that need to be addressed as the aquifers extend into Minnesota. This project should not proceed, as no licensing conditions and mitigation measures will alleviate the risk to all Manitobans and the environment since CanWhite Sands Corporation plans to use an unprecedented mining technique with no established safe outcome. The corporation has gone on record indicating that it does not know how to mine for the silica in the water supply and need to develop a new extraction methodology that's never been done before.

Contamination of the aquifers and the environment is irreversible and there are many surface sources of high-purity silica that can be extracted without endangering two essential regional aquifers.

We petition the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba as follows:

To urge the provincial government to undertake a combined review of the Vivian Sand Facility processing plant and the mining/extraction portion of the operation as a class 3 development with a review by Manitoba's Clean Environment Commission to include public hearings and participant funding.

* (14:50)

To urge the provincial government to halt all activity at the mine and plant until the Clean Environment Commission's review is completed and the project proposal has been thoroughly evaluated.

Signed by James Brink, Susan Tilleman, Michael Kanellis, and many, many other Manitobans.

Thank you.

Lead Water Pipes

Mr. Jim Maloway (Elmwood): I wish to present the following petition to the Legislative Assembly.

The background to this petition is as follows:

(1) Two thousand seven hundred and fifty-five homes in the Elmwood-East Kildonan area have lead water pipes connecting their basements to the Cityowned water pipes at their property line. Homes built before 1950 are likely to have lead water pipes running to this connection.

(2) New lead level guidelines issued by Health Canada in 2019 are a response to findings that lead concentrations in drinking water should be kept as low as reasonably achievable, as lead exposures are inherently unsafe and have serious health consequences, especially for children and expectant mothers.

(3) Thirty-one per cent of Winnipeg's 23,000 homes with lead water pipes connecting

basements to the City-owned water pipes at their property line were found to have lead levels above the new Health Canada lead-level guidelines.

(4) The City of Winnipeg has an inventory of which homes and public buildings, including schools and daycares, that have the lead water pipe connection to the City's watermain and will only disclose this information to the homeowner or property owner. The cost of replacing the lead water pipe to individual homeowners is over \$4,000.

We petition the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba as follows:

To urge the provincial government to immediately contact all home and property owners in Manitoba with lead water pipes connecting to the City watermain line and provide full financial support to them for lead water pipe replacement so that their exposure to lead levels is reduced, their health is better and costs to our provincial health-care system are also reduced.

And this petition is signed by many, many Manitobans.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Any further petitions? Any grievances?

ORDERS OF THE DAY

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Hon. Kelvin Goertzen (Government House Leader): Could you please call for debate on No. 71 second reading?

DEBATE ON SECOND READINGS

Bill 71–The Education Property Tax Reduction Act (Property Tax and Insulation Assistance Act and Income Tax Act Amended)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: We're going to have to call on debate for number–second reading on Bill 71, The Education Property Tax Reduction Act, property tax and installation assistance act and the income tax amended act, and it's in the honourable name of the member for Flin Flon. He has 29 minutes remaining.

Mr. Tom Lindsey (Flin Flon): Just 29 minutes remaining.

So, you know, we talked a little bit about the reasoned amendment previously, and really, the government should have passed that, because it was quite a reasonable amendment. So now we're back to debating the actual substance of the bill-or the lack of substance in the bill.

It's easy for this government to say, well, we're just going to do away with the education property tax, and everybody's going to say, yay, I paid less taxes. But what the government hasn't said, even though we've repeatedly brought it to their attention, is what they will replace that lost revenue with.

So, we're left to be concerned that they won't replace that lost revenue, because what we've seen from this bunch when it came to health care was cut, cut, cut, cut. What we've seen with infrastructure from this bunch is cut, cut, cut, cut. We've already seen this bunch crank up the cost for post-secondary education– students having to pay more to get to university, which bears a 'dispropropriate' impact on people from the North again because they have to pay more for that education, but they also have to pay more for lodging, food, transportation, which this government doesn't take into account, nor do they care, because what we've seen with everything from this government, is they really don't care about the North or people in the North.

Health care, certainly, has been devastated by this Pallister government and the deputy premier, who was the first Health minister under this government to attack northern health care.

So you're wondering, Mr. Deputy Speaker, no doubt, what does this have to do with Bill 71. Well, it bears a striking resemblance what they're planning with education with what they did to health care.

We know that health care has been devastated. We know that they've cranked up prices for postsecondary for folks. So what's the plan for education in the province? We've seen their Bill 64; we see that it takes complete control away from local boards, local communities, local people, so I'm sure, in their minds, this is all about saving money. It's not about providing proper, better education for people.

When you look at the new proposed northern school division it's three quarters of the province. It runs from border to border to border. It's impossible for a school division–one single school division–to properly recognize the distinct requirements of all those communities within Manitoba School Division, which is why, when you look at how the Frontier School Division is structured today, there's what, five different divisions within that division, because they realized that just having one voice, potentially in Winnipeg, or any one community, for that matter, wasn't going to properly represent all of those communities, all of those distinct areas.

Mr. Dennis Smook, Acting Deputy Speaker, in the Chair

So now we see with this bill that they propose doing away with the property tax, the education portion of the property tax, that there's going to be a huge sum of money disappear from the provincial coffers.

And disproportionately that huge sum of money is going to be rewarded to the folks that need help the least, the people down on Wellington Crescent in their million-dollar homes. It's not going to help the folks in Lynn Lake, for example, that might have a \$20,000 home. They're going to get a small portion rebate and they're going to lose their local voice in their school, all in the interest of this Premier (Mr. Pallister) and his caucus saving money–nothing more.

So we know that out-of-province landlords-who knew-were going to shovel a bunch of tax dollars back to help them. How's that going to help Manitoba kids have a better education? Well, it's not. It's all about this Pallister government looking out for their friends.

* (15:00)

We know that agricultural corporations are going to get a huge payback, and the concept of doing away with the education portion of the tax isn't necessarily bad in and of itself. It should be done over a period of time, but the most important thing is, there should be– part of that whole plan is, how will education be properly funded going forward?

We know that the costs are generally going to go up, not down, unless you start doing away with something within the education system–shutting down schools, laying off teachers, getting rid of EAs, jamming more kids into a classroom. All the things that are going to make it worse for the public education system.

Now, I suppose some of the folks that are reaping the biggest benefit from this tax cut will put their kids in private school because they'll have more money to do that now, won't they? So they won't notice the cuts. They'll get the biggest reward from the tax cut and notice the degradation of education the least.

So, really, that's the whole basis of our concern with this particular bill, is it rushes to do something that should be done more gradually, and it completely, utterly fails to mention or say anything about how education will be funded going forward.

Where is that money coming from, now that-one of them stood up here today, I forget-might have been the Premier, might have been the Deputy Premier, who knows. Said, well, it'll be funded from general revenue.

But general revenue isn't going to have that tax dollar that's being given back to folks, because this Premier is going to send a cheque out with his name on it–well, maybe not. Maybe common sense will prevail across the way and they'll agree to pass our bill that prohibits the Premier from putting his name and the Finance Minister from putting his stamp on a letter that goes out with these pork-barrel cheques.

Because that's all it is, right? Pork-barrel politics at its finest. They know they're losing in the polls, so the crassest way to try and convince people is to throw money at them. And that's all this bill is—it's taking money away from education and trying to get the PC government re-elected.

Most Manitobans, Mr. Acting Deputy Speaker, are smarter than that. But there's so many Manitobans struggling throughout this pandemic–and particularly because of the actions or lack of actions on the part of this government–that they'll be happy to accept whatever meagre dollars they can get to try and stave off hunger, losing your house and all the rest of these things that are happening to real people during this pandemic.

What a horrible time to have the biggest tax giveaway in Manitoba history when, really, we should be figuring out how to stimulate an economy. And the best way to do that has been proven time and time and time and time again, is through public spending to get the gears greased, to get things moving.

But that's not what this bunch is going to do, right? They're going to just make life less affordable for average Manitobans while looking after their pals, to the detriment of everybody else in Manitoba.

You know that they had the opportunity yet again to do something good for Manitoba. They could have introduced legislation that showed a path to how they were going to reduce, in realistic terms, the education property tax.

But along with that, they could have realistically introduced legislation that showed how they were going to have the necessarily funds available to properly fund public education; to make sure that our kids, our grandkids, had access to teachers, educational assistants, resources, schools, proper class sizes; to make sure that our teachers had the resources to do their jobs properly so that they didn't have to take money from their wages, that had been frozen for multiple years by this government, to try and provide the resources that the kids needed in those schools.

But this government is fine with people paying out of their own pocket, as long as it doesn't come out of the government's pocket. Well, unless it's coming out of the government's pocket to help their wealthy friends-then they're okay with that.

You know, we've-starting to see a new government, a new perspective down in the states that recognizes the importance of stimulus packages to get an economy going after a recession like we've seen with COVID.

But this government is still stuck in Reagan era mentality, where cuts, cuts, cuts were all that mattered. Whether it was Reagan or Thatcher in England, they decimated their countries; decimated things like education, health care, transportation–all of those things that governments needs to be so actively involved in.

And yet, this government is stuck in a time warp of some sort that they haven't recognized that that kind of economic thinking has come and gone and proved to be completely wrong–proved to be completely devastating to an economy.

So, I'll end by simply saying that really and truly, this government should stop, put the brakes on, come up with a real plan–a real plan that talks about how they're going to reduce the property tax, how they're going to replace those funds in general revenue, how they're going to properly fund education, how they're going to properly fund health care, how they're going to properly fund all the services that a government should be properly funding.

Instead, they're going to decimate services, like we've seen already with health care, with infrastructure and with everything else. Education is next on the chopping block.

So, Mr. Deputy–Acting Deputy Speaker, I'll end my remarks there because I'm sure others want to express their ideas about how this government could have, should have done things, but didn't.

Thank you.

Mr. Jamie Moses (St. Vital): I'm just going to take a few minutes to talk about Bill 71.

* (15:10)

You know what, I do want to reiterate some of the points that we heard from the member from Flin Flon. This is a big question about the future of our province. We know changing our education system impacts– will impact generations of kids to come.

And, you know, at great length, we've spoken about the inequities in Bill 71 and the fact that wealthy Manitobans will benefit more from this bill than middle-income and lower income individuals. The renters won't see a benefit, and in some cases, will even pay more than the wealthiest Manitobans. And we know that it's not fair; that's been spoken to at length.

What the member from Flin Flon mentioned that I will also want to talk about is just the mere fact that this tax cut is being proposed and the fact that there is no proper explanation about how our provincial services are going to be affected.

You know, this is-this year alone, this has cut 25 per cent off of the school property taxes, roughly, you know, \$200 million or so. Where is that money going to come from to be replaced in our education system? Honestly, the minister hasn't clearly defined and described that. The Premier (Mr. Pallister) hasn't done that either, and no member of Cabinet has clearly described where they're going to make up that \$200-or-some-odd million that are going to be refunded, a lot of which is going to go to the wealthiest Manitobans.

Now, you know, we're left to wonder and speculate where that money is going to come from. Is that money going to come straight out of our education system and we're going to see massive cuts to the services that teachers can provide, perhaps even layoffs of teachers, closings of schools?

We don't know, because when you're taking \$200-or-so million out of our education system, how are teachers and classrooms going to make up for that? How is our system going to become–remain sustainable when we're removing such a large chunk of funding, such a large portion of the dollars that they need to teach our children and set them up for success in their future?

Or, the other possibility is the government is going to take that money, a portion of that money, from other critical services that we rely on in Manitoba, services such like–such as our health-care system. Is it going to mean that this tax cut that goes to the wealthiest Manitobans is going to mean we have less services in our health-care system and less nurses to help people through the ending days of our pandemic–hopefully, the ending days of our pandemic?

Does it mean that we'll have longer wait times in our emergency rooms or longer lines for–long wait times for surgeries? Because we know how tight and stretched our health-care system is now, and only imagine what will happen once there's a huge cut as a result of Bill 71.

Or perhaps it's not going to be health care. Perhaps it's going to be child and family services. Perhaps it's going to be housing, and we'll see another crisis occur in our province where people will be desperately in look for services, desperately in need of housing services or social programs or family programs that will no longer be funded by this province because they chose to prioritize the wealthiest in our community over the people who needed the help the most.

So is that the decision that our government is making? Because they clearly haven't articulated their plan. They haven't said how they're going to make up the money. And it is left–leaving all of us in Manitoba to wonder, to truly wonder, what the downstream impacts of Bill 71 will be. Or perhaps, as the–I believe the Premier (Mr. Pallister) alluded to today in question period, that the remaining funding will come from general revenue and perhaps the income tax–it will come from income tax.

So does that mean the Premier is looking at increasing or changing our provincial income tax system in our province? And if so, if that's his plan, well then, please tell Manitobans what that plan is and what that change or income tax system will look like. Will it be done in such a way that is equitable for Manitobans with a–where the wealthiest Manitobans pay a larger proportion and then low-income individuals in our province can pay a–their fair share. Or will it be done the same way, with the same approach that they're taking on Bill 71, where it's a blanket number across the board, which we know would impact the poorest Manitobans the most.

That seems to be the approach that they've done a lot of their policies with recently. We see that blanket approach regardless of need or ability to pay taken in Bill 71. We've seen that with other business programs, a blanket amount across the board regardless of need or industry. We've seen that blanket approach taken in many other areas. And that's such a cop-out in terms of policy development, saying that a one-size-fits-all approach—you know, that's not the way that our unique and specific issues in our province should be dealt with.

The nuance that people are facing, the nuance issues that people are facing, deserve and require a nuanced solution. And so for this government to put forward this plan that shows no consideration for the variety of issues and the complexity of, not only our taxation system, the income divide in our province or, quite frankly, the education system or the variety of services our province deliver to people who need them, is shocking and disappointing.

You know, it's shocking because in this day and age, we-many people feel that we should have and ought to have a government that knows Manitobans' priorities better, but sadly, it's not surprising because year after year with this Pallister government, we've seen this track record play out. It's a broken record at this point, and we have, and Manitobans have, had quite enough of it.

And so I'll just conclude my brief remarks by saying to all members who are listening, that your constituents deserve better than this Bill 71. And so I urge you in the remaining days, in the remaining time we have left to debate this, to actually be a voice for those constituents. Maybe take up some time in debate today and truly express their feelings on Bill 71 and the impacts that it would have on their lives.

Talk about the feelings of the renters in your community and how this bill will impact them because it's not going to be good for the renters in your neighbourhood, and each and every member in this Chamber has renters in their constituency. So talk about their feelings. And once you do that, I think you'll have a strong realization about the many, many negative impacts of Bill 71. And at that point, I urge you to vote against it.

Thank you very much, Mr. Assistant Deputy Speaker.

Ms. Malaya Marcelino (Notre Dame): You know, earlier this morning–I understand because it's maybe the last day before break week, it just seems to be very raucous inside the Chamber today. And this morning even, I think one of the PC MLAs said that, you know, the wrangling that's going on is tantamount to class warfare.

I don't think we need to be this dramatic. I think we're already living in a pandemic, which is dramatic enough for everybody, and I think we can all agree on that and that we can maybe just try to do our best here in the Chamber and be leaders. And so if we're debating Bill 71, you know, it's not about class warfare, I think what we're just trying to hammer out here is what is really the best type of taxation system for our province at this point in history.

* (15:20)

I just wanted to begin my remarks by kind of leaving off where I was at last time, when I was talking to the-talking about the amendment. Ensuring that the tax system can raise the appropriate amount of revenues in a fair and equitable manner is of central importance to the functioning of a modern state, and especially in times like this when there's a public health crisis and an economic crisis, when governments need to step up and serve people. And flat taxation and rebates, like what this government is proposing through Bill 71, is-as opposed to progressive taxation rates and rebates, you know, this is a problem because it causes more income inequality among Manitobans.

And earlier this morning we heard PC MLAs in the debate about the Premier's (Mr. Pallister) signature on cheques. You know, they were saying, there's nothing wrong with a flax–flat tax rebate. But there is something wrong with it because it causes more income equality among Manitobans.

Progressive tax rates and rebates would result in a more just distribution of income and direct improvement in the life of low-income households. A good tax system, including tax rates and tax rebates, must be progressive for reasons of fairness and justice, but also on economic grounds-because when you're raising the same percentage off income and tax, that should require less sacrifice from those who are already able to provide for a comfortable life than from those who are struggling to make ends meet.

Again, in economics, this is called the marginal utility of money, and it declines as income rises. That is, the perceived and actual benefit derived from an extra dollar of income is much higher for someone panhandling on the street than for someone driving past in an AMG.

Progressive taxation opportunities can improve social mobility. In a hypothetical world where market income is distributed equally, there would be no need for progressive taxation. Government revenues could be raised optimally with a single tax rate, also known as a flat tax, like what the PCs are proposing in Bill 71. This is a flat taxation system. But in the presence of significant income inequality, like what we have here in our province, progressive taxation ensures that necessary revenues are collected with a smaller shared sacrifice, than a flat tax system would impose.

Progressive taxation, not flat taxation, reduces the incomes of the richest, generates revenues that can be used to reduce inequality through public expenditures on the provision of public services and infrastructure that have greater relative benefit to low-income households. And even if the rich had a moral claim to their high incomes, there are good reasons why redistribution benefits society as a whole.

We know that income inequality is rising. The average income of the top 10 per cent of Canadians was ten times higher than the bottom 10 per cent, and the richest 1 per cent of Canadians received almost one third of all income growth in the past two decades. Incomes at the top have more than doubled over the last 30 years.

What is also particularly relevant for tax design is the sharp growth in inequality that's been driven largely by changes in the labour market, specifically, the widening gap between wages of part-time and temporary and contract workers at the bottom, and soaring compensation packages for business executives at the top.

In his book, Capital in the Twenty-First Century, economist Thomas Piketty made the connection between inequality and tax policy explicit. He said, the resurgence of inequality after 1980 is due largely to the political shifts of the past several decades, especially in regards to taxation and finance, end quote.

In Manitoba, since then, those shifts took two main forms. The first was a shift of the tax bill from business to families through large reductions of corporate income taxes and a proliferation of business subsidies and tax credits. The second was a shift of the tax bill from higher income to middle- and modestincome families.

In the most comprehensive study of tax incidence in Canada by Marc Lee finds that the tax system is progressive only up to the middle of the income distribution, then it flattens out and actually becomes regressive at the very top.

So, as a result, Canada's top 1 per cent faced overall tax rates lower than those of households bottom 10 per cent, and the highest tax rates are found in the middle to upper-middle of the income distribution. Tax rates at the bottom incomes have actually increased due to a number of small changes in aggressive taxes, including consumption, payroll and property taxes and other provincial taxes and fees. And the peak tax rates are faced by households in the middle of the income distribution and they pay about 6 percentage points more of their income tax than both those at the top and at the bottom.

And this pattern violates the taxation principle of vertical equity. And I mentioned before that we haven't had a major tax reform commission since 1966, so, obviously, it's time to do that again and make sure that we can try to reform our taxes and make them more fair.

Personal income tax remains relatively progressive with income brackets that apply higher tax rates as individuals earn higher amounts of income, but that's only one of the several taxes that people pay. Other taxes are regressive, which is to say that lower income families pay a higher effective tax rate than those with higher incomes. And, again, Bill 71 is one of those regressive types of taxes because the penalties are going to be paid for by those at the lower end of the spectrum of income.

A key step towards more progressive taxes would be to ensure that wealthier individuals contribute their fair share to the public. With this flat tax rebate, the PCs are doing the opposite. The wealthy get the breaks and the lowest incomes get all the clawbacks or get the smallest gains. I mentioned last week about the couple in Gimli, a senior citizen couple that I met. They are very good at finance and they were able to calculate that their education rebate would be \$30.

It is a very wrong, unfair and punitive what this PC government is doing with Bill 71 in clawing back that tax credit that renters receive for their rent payments. You know, this morning, you know, during the debate regarding the moral validity of the Premier (Mr. Pallister) spending \$1.3 million on postage on cheques being sent out with his name it and promotional partisan material on it, we heard the PC MLAs reference provisions in Bill 71, and they argued that there's nothing wrong with the flex-tax rebate, dishing out unequal outcomes.

And the PC MLAs said that those who have paid the most for education taxes should get the most rebates. They said that those who have paid little for education taxes should get little amounts in rebates. What's wrong with that, he said. And *[inaudible]* went further and said, and those who are renters, since they do not explicit *[inaudible]* education taxes, should get their rental rebates removed.

I'd like to point out that that piece that was said this morning about rental clawbacks is completely false. First of all, renters usually incur increased costs of their landlords, in the form of higher rent payments. I've never heard the opposite to be true, that landlords would pass on any savings to renters in the form of lower rent payments. And secondly, Bill 71 will be removing the rebates that renters depend on. It's usually about \$700 for most renters and that is not providing, you know, quote, unquote, kitchen-table tax relief for renters. And some of these renters have been the most hard hit by COVID, the recession and infection.

Third, even though this government is nominally saying that there will be a rent freeze for three years, it doesn't mean that this is what is happening in practice; in fact, the opposite. Through freedom of information requests, the public now knows that in 2020 the government's Residential Tenancies Board approved 100 per cent of all landlord applications for above-rental rate guidelines; specifically that the freedom of information request yielded that in the 2019-2020 fiscal year, the Residential Tenancies Board approved 310 applications of above-rate guidelines out of the 310 applications that were submitted.

Those 310 applications referred to 20,400 rental units and out of those 20,400 rental units, 2,700 of those units, and people paying for this, saw more than 20 per cent increases in those increased rates.

So this is why we just can't roll with it and believe, you know, when the PCs say that they will nominally freeze rental rates for some time because there are loopholes and back doors that lead to 100 per cent rental increases for tens of thousands of renters.

* (15:30)

But let's get back to, again, what the PCs said this morning about there being nothing wrong with wealthier people or people who pay more in education taxes getting higher rebates than, obviously, poorer people or people who pay less in education taxes getting smaller amounts or even rebate clawbacks, as in the case of renters. You know, the PCs have heard earlier arguments about regressive, you know, versus progressive taxation, but it still doesn't move them.

Bill 71's flat rebate—which is a regressive tax, instead of a progressive tax rebate—will contribute to more inequality in Manitoba. And why should we be concerned about more inequality in Manitoba? When

the gains from economic growth are concentrated at the top, as they have been in, you know, in–for the last few decades, most Manitobans will have the diminished capacity to purchase the output of goods services. The result is that new investment and economic growth stagnate, and this, in turn, means that unemployment and underemployment remain at very high levels.

Another consequence of stagnation is that growth in government revenues is also stalled and it becomes more and more difficult to maintain existing programs, let alone those–you know, let alone introducing much-needed new programs like universal child care or a comprehensive system to address mental health and addictions, like what the VIRGO report recommended.

These conditions create a situation where it becomes difficult to sustain the important physical and social infrastructure of society, and this leads to instability. Even the OECD, the Organization for Economic Co-operation Development, recognizes that quote, history shows a clear association between inequality and instability. End quote.

And in light of this danger of growing instability, it makes great sense for governments to pursue measures to reduce income inequality.

A second aspect to the case for reducing income inequality is that the current structure of income is determined by government policies that favour the wealthy at the expense of working poor and the poor. One of the best things about living in Manitoba is the chance that someone born in poverty in this province will have the opportunity to make something of herself if she and her mother have access to decent housing; they're able to live in a safe community; if they have access to early childhood education and nutrition programs; a chance at gaining excellent public education; a chance to get post-secondary training; a chance at a healthy life and ability to access health resources.

All these valuable public services are provided by community when you share resources through taxation and redistribution. And with these opportunities, this young child, this young girl can grow into an adult with decent work opportunities and the ability to support herself and her family and pay taxes back to the community.

Taxes are what we pay for in a civilized society. Taxes are what we pay to ensure equality of opportunity and equality of outcome. The taxes we pay contribute substantially to our standard of living by providing us with some of the best public services in the world.

Canadian economists such as Hugh McKenzie and Richard Shillington have measured the quote, unquote fiscal bargain, where the balance between taxes and public services benefited by household income. And the authors found that for two thirds of Canadians, their benefit from public services adds up to more than 50 per cent of their household's total earned income. Looking at Manitobans' median income households, our benefit from public services amounts to \$41,000 every year. And Hugh McKenzie and Richard Shillington's study shows how powerful a role public spending plays in ensuring that the majority of Manitobans enjoy a better quality of life.

In closing, you know, in general there is a need for tax reform to ensure that our communities are supported by a fair, equitable tax system. The PCs have failed to ensure this with their tax rebate, whether it's a flat tax rebate, and it's not fair to all Manitobans. The wealthiest Manitobans will make significant gains.

Those with the lowest incomes and middle incomes will either see their existing rebates clawed back or will receive small amounts. Those who have been hardest hit by the pandemic will not see gains from this regressive tax rebate.

This flat tax rebate will create more income inequality in Manitoba, and that is not good for a number of reasons. For these reasons, on this side of the House, we can't support this set of tax reforms because this bill fails to ensure an equitable distribution across income groups, and it makes life less affordable for renters in Manitoba.

Bill 71, as it stands, will put money on the tables of the wealthiest Manitobans, and the rest of us will get the crumbs that fall on the floor under the Premier's (Mr. Pallister) kitchen table, and some of us-specifically those that rent-will even get those crumbs swept away.

Thank you, Mr. Assistant Deputy Speaker.

Ms. Amanda Lathlin (The Pas-Kameesak): Once again, it's an absolute honour to put some words on record as the MLA for The Pas-Kameesak.

I'm here to speak in support-the amendments on my side of the House with the opposition NDP. I want to clearly say that the only thing that I do understand about this bill is that I extra do not understand this government's intentions and damage to this province's education system, and Bill 71 is a sure, direct approach in doing so.

So, this tax cut is a bad idea. As a parent, I'm quite worried about where this shortfall in revenue is going to come from. And regarding to our children's education; my children's education. To me, Mr. Deputy Acting Speaker, *[inaudible]* in wolf's clothing. This is not going to help us.

Now, you may think this is going to help us, but this tax cut will just absolutely diminish our children's education when we are already-are struggling in a diminished education system in this province. And now, during a global pandemic, right? A global *[inaudible]*.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): Could I ask the member from The Pas-Kameesak to repeat that? Because we were not able to get the last couple sentences.

Ms. Lathlin: Okay. Again, it-how is this loss of revenue going to support our children with additional needs? How is this support-this loss of support going to hire more EAs that is truly needed in our schools?

How is this loss of revenue going to support to hire more teachers? How is this loss of support and revenue going to help with child poverty and mental health?

For example, Mary Duncan School is a school in my constituency in The Pas that has a special place in my heart. How is this school going to survive?

This is a school that welcomes students where other schools in our region may have given up on them. So, Mary Duncan School is a place where these students can gather, get an education in a culturally safe place. This school–I cannot imagine how it's going to continue running after this revenue is taken away from this school, that is truly needed, in every single community in our province.

I also want to say that, you know, Bill 71 has serious implications for education funding in our province. *[inaudible]*

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): Could you repeat the last couple sentences because we are not able to hear you?

Ms. Lathlin: –public finances and loss–about this government playing with public finances.

Can you hear that?

This isn't the first time the Pallister government has-

* (15:40)

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): Your–the member for The Pas-Kameesak, the–your video is not showing us, and the audio is–the member from The Pas Kameesak?

Ms. Lathlin: Can you hear me now, Mr. Acting Deputy Speaker?

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): Yes.

Ms. Lathlin: Okay. Let me round this up about–once again–about how this government is playing with public finances.

Let me repeat, again, it's misleading Manitobans about public finances to mask the true scale of the cuts they're making to our public services such as our schools. Manitobans want to trust that their government is being transparent and responsible with taxpayer dollars, but that simply isn't happening under the Pallister government.

Again, I just want to touch back about public services with our education. I, once again, I have to put on record that this government has no respect for teachers and our students, and this has been clearly demonstrated the past couple of weeks with these, you know, mindless bills, 64 and 71.

Even the Premier's (Mr. Pallister) comments were quite troubling, as a parent, as-you know, talking about reimbursing our teachers \$150 after telling them to own up and train our children.

And North Dakota? Holy smokes. That was very insulting to tell our teachers to gather, travel, perhaps, you know, violating travel restrictions, when-instead of making it easier and responding to the school divisions' letters asking for-to be vaccinated. Those are being ignored. Now he's telling them to go to North Dakota.

And with that, this bill hinges future funding for education on economic growth, which is completely irresponsible in these unpredictable times, a global pandemic. And, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Bill 71 is the final insult.

Ekosi.

Mr. Matt Wiebe (Concordia): I appreciate the opportunity to put a few words on the record and maybe today even be able to hear myself think and speak, in contrast to yesterday, when, you know, I

guess the words that I was putting on the record got the members here in the Chamber on the government's side a little riled up. And I'm not surprised, because if I had to go to my constituents, explain to them how, for many of them, this supposed tax decrease is actually an increase for them, how they're paying more at the end of the day on their education property tax, I think I'd be a little bit worked up too.

If I had to go tell them, at the same time, that if you are getting a benefit, it's probably not that substantial, and that we're also giving the Premier (Mr. Pallister) his cheque of \$4,000 on top of his second property and his third property and on and on down the line–I don't know how many–how–pieces of property the–the Premier has.

An Honourable Member: Wait, wait, we're not giving it. He's giving it to himself.

Mr. Wiebe: You know what, the member for Flin Flon (Mr. Lindsey) makes a good point, you know.

It's not members on this side of the House that are agreeing to give the Premier his cheque; it's the Premier, and it's his entire Cabinet who are walking in lockstep with him to make sure that his signature gets signed on the bottom of that cheque, that he gets that money, he gets his \$4,000 rebate while the rest of Manitoba pay less.

I also want to acknowledge my colleague from The Pas-Kameesak, who rightly put the focus on the educational outcomes of our kids and how, after five years of cuts from this government–and we're seeing test scores have gone down. Year after year after year they're getting worse under this government's watch.

Now their proposal is, well, we're just going to cut a whole bunch of money from the education budget on top of what we were already cutting. And hope and pray and, you know, and wish that this deficit financing situation that they've painted themselves into will just somehow continue. That the Liberal government in Ottawa will continue on and that Justin Trudeau can continue to keep this government afloat and keep paying for this government's massive cuts to our education system, our health-care system and so on down the line.

Well, Manitobans see through that.

Every member here next week has a chance during constituency week to, well, I wouldn't say go knock on doors, because I don't think we're supposed to be doing that right now, but what you can do, is you can pick up the phone and maybe call some parents, maybe call some teachers, call some educators in your constituency and see what they think about this plan.

And if they were to do that I–you know, I got my doubts, I've got to be honest but I got my doubts that they would, but if they did do that they would hear absolutely clearly that what people are asking for is good investments in our education system, a strong education system here in the province and fairness when it comes to taxation–not giving the rich, not giving our Premier a \$4,000 cheque but ensuring that every Manitoban pays their fair share and gets a break where they need it.

I'll end my comments there. Mr. Deputy Speaker, I think it's clear: this side of the House we will be voting against Bill 71.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): Are there any other members who wish to speak to this bill?

Is the House ready for the question?

Some Honourable Members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): The question before the House is second reading of Bill 71, The Education Property Tax Reduction Act, property tax and insulation assistance act and income tax amended.

Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt this motion?

Some Honourable Members: Agreed.

Some Honourable Members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): I hear a no.

Voice Vote

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): All those in favour of the motion, please say yea.

Some Honourable Members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): All those opposed, please say nay.

Some Honourable Members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): In my opinion, the Yeas have it.

Recorded Vote

Ms. Nahanni Fontaine (Official Opposition House Leader): A recorded vote, Deputy Speaker.

The Acting Speaker (Dennis Smook): A recorded vote has been requested. Call in the members.

Mr. Doyle Piwniuk, Deputy Speaker, in the Chair

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The one hour provided for a ringing of the division bells has expired. I am therefore directing the division bells to be turned off and the House proceed with the vote.

The question before the House is debate–second reading of Bill 71, Education Property Tax Reduction Act.

Division

A RECORDED VOTE was taken, the result being as follows:

Yeas

Clarke, Cox, Cullen, Eichler, Ewasko, Fielding, Friesen, Goertzen, Gordon, Guenter, Guillemard, Helwer, Isleifson, Johnson, Johnston, Lagassé, Lagimodiere, Martin, Michaleski, Micklefield, Morley-Lecomte, Nesbitt, Pallister, Pedersen, Reyes, Schuler, Smith (Lagimodière), Smook, Squires, Teitsma, Wharton, Wishart, Wowchuk.

Nays

Adams, Altomare, Asagwara, Brar, Bushie, Fontaine, Gerrard, Kinew, Lamont, Lamoureux, Lathlin, Lindsey, Maloway, Marcelino, Moses, Naylor, Sala, Sandhu, Smith (Point Douglas), Wasyliw, Wiebe.

Deputy Clerk (Mr. Rick Yarish): Yeas 33, Nays 21.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The motion is accordingly passed.

House Business

Hon. Kelvin Goertzen (Government House Leader): Mr. Speaker, I'd like to announce that the Standing Committee on Social and Economic Development will meet on Monday, May 10th, 2021 at 6 p.m. to consider the following: Bill 71, the education property tax act, property tax and insulation act, assistance act and income tax act amended, and Bill 223, The Spirit Bear Day Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It has been announced by the honourable Government House Leader that in the Standing Committee of Social Economic Development will meet on Monday, May 10th, on 2021 at 6 p.m. to consider the following: Bill 71, The Education Property Tax Reduction Act (Property Tax and Insulation Assistance Act and Income Tax Act Amended); and Bill 223, The Spirit Bear Day Act.

* * *

Mr. Goertzen: Could you canvass members to see if it is the will of members to call it 5 p.m.?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it the will to the House to canvass to call it 5 o'clock? [*Agreed*]

The hour being 5 o'clock, the House is adjourned and stands adjourned until Monday, May 10th, at 1:30 p.m.

And have a good constituency week, everyone.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

Thursday, April 29, 2021

CONTENTS

Lathlin

Wiebe

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS			
Ministerial Statements			
Heart Failure Awareness Week Goertzen Asagwara Gerrard	2849 2849 2850		
Mental Health Week Gordon B. Smith Lamoureux	2850 2851 2851		
Introduction of Bills			
Bill 227–The Residential Tenancies Amendmer Act	nt		
Sala	2852		
Members' Statements			
Lawrie Kyle Schuler	2852		
Students Create Portable Mental Health Kits Lathlin	2853		
Asian Heritage Month A. Smith	2853		
Vaccines for Transportation Sector Sandhu	2854		
French Language Education Lamont	2854		
Oral Questions			
Manitoba's Workforce Kinew Pallister	2855 2855		
COVID-19 Vaccinations Kinew Pallister	2856 2856		
COVID-19 Vaccine Eligibility Altomare Cullen	2858 2858		
Manitoba's Workforce Lindsey Fielding Pallister	2859 2859 2859		

Provincial Finances During Pandemic			
Fontaine	2860		
Pallister	2860		
Education Property Tax Rebate			
Wasyliw	2861		
Pallister	2861		
COVID-19 in Schools			
Lamont	2862		
Cullen	2862		
COVID-19 Vaccinations			
Lamoureux	2863		
Pallister	2863		
Criminal Justice Supports			
Isleifson	2863		
Friesen	2863		
Smoking and Vapour Products Legislation			
B. Smith	2864		
Gordon	2864		
Petitions			
Epilepsy Treatment			
Asagwara	2864		
Bushie	2865		
Vivian Sand Facility Project–Clean Environment Commission Review			
Gerrard	2865		
Lead Water Pipes			
Maloway	2866		
ORDERS OF THE DAY			
GOVERNMENT BUSINESS			
Debate on Second Readings			
Bill 71-The Education Property Tax Reduction			
Act (Property Tax and Insulation Assistance Ac and Income Tax Act Amended)	ct		
Lindsey	2867		
Moses	2869		
Marcelino	2870		

2873

2874

The Legislative Assembly of Manitoba Debates and Proceedings are also available on the Internet at the following address: http://www.manitoba.ca/legislature/hansard/hansard.html