

Loris Barsanti

Sent:

March-30-18 11:59 AM +WPG139 - TRC (MR)

To: Subject:

TRC 12-041

I am responding to information sent to me referring to the Rosser Holsteins Ltd. application to expand their present facilities at SW 1/4 06 12 01 EPM.

I live directly north of the facility in the village and can see the barns from my bedroom window. (My property attaches to theirs.) Originally the Holtmanns had their operation quite close to the village. To keep the locals happy they moved the barns to their

present location. They use to have their silage behind my house.

(They ground it up near by). Well, they moved that too. I miss my ski moguls. I liked that cycle, the sweet smell. Yes, it is better for the operation; but a couple of people probably belly ached. Now, all I can do is look out there and see. No odors, no sounds. I am as close as you can get.

What I want you and anyone else who reads this is that the Holtmanns are good neighbors and good business people. They go out of their way to do things right and keep people happy. I have absolutely no complaints about the expansion and support their request. I see it as a benefit to the Municipality of Rosser and the community.

Mr. Loris Barsanti Rosser, MB.



Scott Beaton ·

Sent:

April-03-18 4:46 PM

To: Subject: +WPG139 - TRC (MR) TRC 12-041 - Rosser Holsteins Expansion

To whom it may concern,

I would like to note my support to the proposed expansion of the Holtmann Dairy. I live in the town of Rosser, and as such am one of the neighbors that falls well within the livestock confinement area and associated manure storage buffer. The Holtmanns have been early adopters of technologies to mitigate risks associated with intensive livestock production systems, and have been respectful of their neighbors and the rules around manure spreading rates and setbacks in my experience. As such, I hope that they are able to expand their operation as they see fit in a manner that is sustainable, and consistent with the goals of our local and provincial governments.

The manure separation system used in the Rosser Holsteins operation is a great example of their willingness to take on responsibility associated with phosphorous loading, and cooperate with government to find a solution to manage surface water quality issues. That being said, It has reduced the solid content in the liquid cells, which has virtually eliminated crusting, and contributes to increased air quality concerns downwind on windy days. Studies have indicated that placing shelterbelts around lagoon or storage structure perimeters can reduce wind flow at the liquid level and therefore reducing convection of VOC's from the storage surface may prove effective in odor reduction (Bottcher et al., 1999). Numerical simulation of the effects of tall barriers around manure lagoons predicted reductions in downwind malodorous lagoon emissions of 26% to 92% (Liu et al., 1996). I wonder if the inclusion of a multi species, multi row shelterbelt on the north, west, and south side of the existing and future liquid manure storage areas would help to control odour on windy days, while helping to maintain nitrogen levels and therefore value in the slurry, which is subject to increased volatilization in liquid containment structures that experience turnover due to wind and wave action (Johanneson et al., 2018). I would suggest that a relatively simple and cost effective practice such as this will have substantial effects on the air quality downwind as well as help to show area residents that measures are in place to manage their concerns relating to odour emissions from expanding livestock operations.

Thanks for your time, and consideration. I hope to see earthwork start on Rosser Holsteins expansion in the near future. The Holtmann family have been, and continue to be great neighbors and a pillar in our community.

Scott Beaton Resident, RM of Rosser



Mei-West Ent.

Sent:

To:

Subject:

April-09-18 10:24 AM +WPG139 - TRC (MR) TRC 12-041 Rosser Holsteins

Mei-West Enterprises Inc. in Rosser, MB doesn't have any objection to the proposed expansion at Rosser Holsteins Ltd. We are in favour of the expansion.

Gisela Nolting

Secretary/ Treasurer for Mei-West Enterprises Inc.



Bros a r 994

BECEINED

Att: Livestock Technical Review Committee Re: TRC 12-041 (These are my Views)

I would like to bring to your attention an article in the Stonwall Teulon Tribune dated Nov 7,2013, and later May 5,2016 which shows a proposal for approximately 100 plus residential dwelings, located on the same section of land and less than 1 mile from the barns of the proposed dairy expansion.

In the first article in the Stonewall Teulon Tribune dated Nov 7, 2013 on page 10, there is a quote from Beverly Wells the former CAO for the RM of Rosser stating "Both of these properties are owned by farmers that farm immediately beside the property, it was their idea to proceed with these subdivisions. It wasn't Council's. It was their idea ,Wells said.

I believe it is very irresponsible for anyone to have requested a residential subdivision in 2013 on the same parcel of land, and now in 2018 wants to almost double his animal units from 1200 to 2000 on the same parcel. These individuals should make up their minds wether they want to be developers or farmers. There has been lots of cost incurred by this R.M. for planners and engineers , and all these cost are past on to all ratepayers (myself included.)

I would like say that all the blame should not be with this farmer, but rather a large portion should go to our own R.M. for encouraging and allowing cattle farms mixed in with residential. The Town of Rosser was built around farms, that was back in the days when there was a only few homes in town, not the 100 plus now being proposed. May I point out farm odors are not limited to the barns where cattle are kept. In recent years a nearby Hutterite Colony requested a expansion of their poultry barns, in this R.M. we had public hearings, they had to have so much land to spread manure ,etc. etc. It seems that rules are not applied equally or fairly in some cases.

I am personally not opposed to this expansion, however whatever the rules are they should apply to everybody equally. If a choice has to be made between the cattle expansion, and residential subdivisions so be it, and if the government decides they can co-exist good enough, but I would not recommend moving there.

In closing I would like to point out that I have been opposed to the residential subdivisions in the town of Rosser, for the following reasons (1) There are 3 cattle farms in this town, one of them being the one with the proposed expansion. (2) one of the area of the subdivisions is next to a cattle farm, and across the road from Dorsey Hydro Station (a major hydro converter station).(3) CPR main line runs thru Rosser and another one of these subdivision is alongside this rail line. After all my objections on Feb 27,2017 SIPD endorsed the Rural Settlement center of Rosser Secondary Plan Bylaw No. 1-16. This gives Rosser the authority to give 3rd reading to this by-law thereby approving these residential subdivisions.

Thank You

George Bourgouin (5 Attachments)

Rosser Resident

Deorge Boengain Opril 16. 2018 Box 21 House dele

MB KOUIGO



Attention Residents of the Rural Municipality of Rosser

Share Your Views

concerning the proposed expansion of a dairy operation - TRC- 12-041

The Manitoba government has received a proposal from Rosser Holsteins Ltd. to expand its dairy operation from 1200 to 2000 animals (1200 to 2000 Animal Units) at SW 1/4-06-12-01 EPM, located approximately six miles south of the community of Gross Isle or approximately one mile south of the community of Rosser.

If you would like to share your opinion concerning this proposal, you are invited to contact the Manitoba government by sending either a letter or an email with your name clearly identified to (TRC@gov.mb.ca) specifically referencing TRC 12-041 no later than April 27, 2018. After this date, the proposal will be dealt with by the Livestock Technical Review Committee.

Information submitted in response to this proposal is considered public information and will be made available to the proponent and placed on the public registry.

For more information

If you would like more information on this topic, please visit http://www.gov.mb.ca/ia/livestock/public_registries,html or the South Interlake Planning District at 285 Main Street, Box 1219, Stonewall, Manitoba, ROC 220,

Technical Review Co-ordination Unit Municipal Relations 604-800 Portage Avenue Winnipeg, MB R3G ON4 Fax: 204-945-5059



10 The Stonewall Teulon Tribune November 7, 2013

Rosser resident concer

Council also considers taking over a portion of Highway 236

By Jennifer McFee

The RM of Rosser may soon expand with new development, but at least one resident is experiencing growing pains.

Rosser resident George Bourgouin is concerned about two proposed subdivisons in the municipality. For Bourgouin, these developments would be too close for comfort to the railway tracks and to Manitoba Hydro's Dorsey converter station.

"Hazardous/flammable products are moved by rail now more often than in the past, and at a higher rate of speed going through Rosser. It is now more important than ever to keep residential development away from these tracks," he wrote in an email to The Tribune.

"I would like to point out that any children at tending Rosser school from the eastern subdivision will have to cross those tracks morning and night as will arrange the second statement of the secon

night, as will any parents working or shopping in Winnipeg. It's just another potential hazard that does not need to exist."

As well, the Dorsey Station is a key component to hydroelectric power in the province, Bourgouin added.

"Allowing any subdivisions in close

proximity is not good planning, as it may effect future development by Dorsey because of complaints by adjoining residents about health issues, noise, traffic, et cetera," he said.

He is also concerned that the RM is in the process of taking over a portion of Provincial Road 236 from Road 221 to Highway 6.

Rosser's chief administrative officer Beverley Wells said council aims to

> take over this section of highway for maintenance issues.

"They believe they can do a better maintenance job of it," Wells said.

"Also, a portion of it is in the rural settlement centre of Rosser, and it will restrict our residential development if we don't have ownership of it."

She also explained that the secondary plans for the future subdivisions were

done in June.

by farmers that farm immediately beside the property. It was their idea to proceed with these subdivisions. It wasn't council's. It was their idea," Wells said.

"Those are all rural settlement areas. Those areas are already designated as

"IT'S JUST ANOTHER POTENTIAL HAZARD THAT DOES NOT NEED TO EXIST."

New developments

lanned for Rosser

By Natasha Tersigni

ning process for new developments to meet with community members and property owners to start the plan-At the end of June, Rosser council set to be built in the Village of Rosser. brought in planners and consultants

with participants who came out to American planner Randal Arendt from Greener Prospects, who worked Among those present was renowned

development ideas for the meeting to design

nowned planner by "We went to a re-

A RENOWNED "WE WENT TO

PLANNER"

to include the people in the village, the developers, the council ments. He came, and his way of working is the named of Randall es in small rural settle-Arendt who specializ-

an interest, and that really appealed to us," said RM of Rosser Reeve Franand anybody who has

many houses the new developments will have or when exactly construction will start to take place.

our zoning bylaws are passed, and hopefully that is going to be within the next week. And then after that, it is really in the hands of the landowners "We have to get the secondary plan passed, and that has to go to a public hearing and the provincial government. We won't be doing that until

want to move forward," and the partner developers as to how quickly they said Smee.

will help grow the RM of Smee said this new development housing

really have residential ing to get more houses in Rosser because we don't "Since I became reeve, I get asked over and over again when are we go-Rosser.

developments in Rosser and we want to have more. It is a general desire on



TRIUNE PHOTO BY NATASHA TI

After consultations with the council, engineers, property own community members, these are the preliminary sketches that (er Randall Arendt drew for future developments planned for of Rosser. TRIBUNE FILE

or future developments planned for the RM of Rosser after a se These are the preliminary sketches that designer Randall Arenc

ans to expand rural settlement centre of Rosse

BY GLEN HALLICK

ghallick@postmedia.com

a public hearing on the Council for the RM of nity of Rosser. There was ary Bylaw at the April 28 Rosser took a step towards Rural Settlement Cenexpanding the commutre of Rosser Secondcouncil meeting.

verter Station, and along includes residential areas along Provincial Road 236 west of the Dorsey Con-The expansion of Rosser ipal office and the school. PR 221 west of the munic

plan, spoke about some of Jessica Manness, the engineer who assisted in creating the secondary the details.

sprawl, create a walkable ate a village atmosphere green community, but at the same time maintainto minimize (urban) "We are looking to cre-

serving as much of the prime agricultural land," ing the community's agricultural heritage and pre-Manness said.

cle water, such as runoff, into a reservoir rather than having it run into the She noted the plan will include measures to recyditches.

ment also includes shelter belts, multi-use trails and The proposed developcommunity gardens.

"Basically, everything bon footprint and aimed at a carbon neutral area," would be developed in a way to minimize the car-Manness said.

Infrastructure would wastewater systems. Solid include a water and waste would go into holding tanks on each lot.

compromises most of The plan would also ment area, which largely entail a general develop-

rected into the retention. ponds that are part of the the runoff would be rediagainst the plan at the meeting, one resident face runoff. Ken Mulligan asked where the water that now runs onto low laying land would go once that Mulligan said he has land adjacent to one low-laying parcel. Manness said Although no one spoke was concerned about surand is filled with homes. Rosser as it currently is. secondary plan.

from government departdian Pacific Railway and Rosser CAO Bev Wells read several letters, most ments, as well as Cana-Manitoba Hydro.

One government department was Maniwrote the retention ponds ada Geese and in turn toba Conservation, which could attract Giant Canpose problems for resi-

PHOTO BY OLEN HALLICH the Rosser Solid Waste Transfer Facility. r. Collection and waste transfer through Solid waste management? مينون لي Capital Infrastructure Plan reservoir, and booster pumps: Regulate water pressure to the homes Charle Columnia Domestic water pipes, novide fire protection.

The Capital Infrastruture Plan for the proposed development of Rosser. "(Such) could be adversely affected by raildents.

Manitoba Hydro reminded council of the 150-metre buffer required around the Dorsey Converter Station.

way's letter stated.

with any future growth of CPR wrote they are particularly concerned

serious accident on the ems could arise with a station. Bourgin suggested efforts be redirected to Rosser. He stated probrailway or at the converter ations and the CPR's main line that runs through develop Grosse Isle rather than Rosser. adamantly opposed the secondary plan. George Bourgin wrote residential development should not One resident, in a letter, be close to livestock operway operations," the rail-

of the JAIRY aperation Pakcel of land of the parificaci This is the one on the same ex pans.or



South Interlake Planning District

285 Main Street • P.O. Box 1219 • Stonewall, MB ROC 220 T: 204-467-5587 • F: 204-467-8383 • E: info@sipd.ca

February 27, 2017

Rural Municipality of Rosser P.O. Box 131 Rosser, Manitoba ROH 1E0

Attention: Mrs. Bev Wells, CAO

Dear Bev:

RE: Notice of SIPD Board Order to the Rural Municipality of Rosser

Rural Settlement Centre of Rosser Secondary Plan Bylaw No. 1-16

Objector: Mr. George Bourgouin

Further to a Public Hearing held by the South Interlake Planning District (SIPD) Board on February 17, 2017, concerning objections to the approval of the Rural Settlement Centre of Rosser Secondary Plan Bylaw No. 1-16, I respectfully enclose a Board Order confirming the Rural Settlement Centre of Rosser Secondary Plan Bylaw No. 1-16 as prepared, and further authorize the Rural Municipality of Rosser to give Third Reading to the said Bylaw.

Should you require additional information or clarification regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

Eric Shaw MCIP, RPP General Manager

South Interlake Planning District

Enclosure

cc: George Bourgouin, Objector

Jessica Manness, JME World Consultants

Ottilie Murray, Community and Regional Planning Branch (Interlake)



Scott & Muriel < April-26-18 9:08 AM

Sent: To:

+WPG139 - TRC (MR)

Subject:

Proposed dairy expansion TRC 12-041

I am writing in response to the proposed expansion of Rosser Holsteins at its existing location.

Considering that the average dairy farm in Manitoba consists of approximately 150 cows, this is already a very large dairy operation, which has expanded greatly since its start.

We live in the village of Rosser and along with our neighbours have already been adversely affected by the very bad odour from the waste generated by this many animals. At times we are not able to open our windows or be outdoors because of it.

A further expansion which almost doubles the number of animals (or units) would obviously make this situation worse!

I also have real concerns about the possibility of our water sources being contaminated by the increased waste that would be produced. And the almost certain devaluation of our existing residential property values.

The potential problems and possible health risks of living in close proximity to such very large dairy facilities, such as is being proposed, is very well documented.

Muriel Broadfoot

F . Rosser, MB.

ł