

From: Mavis Druzyk
To: [+WPG139 - TRC](#)
Subject: Proposed expansion of livestock, Reference TRC 12-116
Date: August 6, 2025 11:14:02 AM

Municipal and Northern Relations

Hello this email is in regards to Ridgeland Holding Co.Ltd.

I have serious concerns with the expansion of livestock at Ridgeland Colony.

Water usage is extremely high for the year, with the dry year we are having , I am experiencing a lot of particles in my water, also reminds me of the other situation of Sio Silica, Save the water.

I notice there is confusion in numbers of increase 12,100 to 40,000, hoes on to say chicken-broiler and layers 93 to 266 animal units. Next sentence "this will bring the total animal units from 924 to 1,097. Numbers are not adding up

There are days the wind is in tge right direction and it is unbearable to be outside, I gag , therefore no enjoying sitting outside, I moved here and it was there so I thought live with it, however with addition numbers being added? I am sure it will increase the horrible scented air.

I am all about those that want to improve and expand there farms, but i feel make sure it is proper placement.

Another thought that grasses my mind is the Colony along 317.

From: Mavis Druzyk
To: [+WPG139 - TRC](#)
Subject: Re: Proposed expansion of livestock, Reference TRC 12-116
Date: August 6, 2025 11:25:02 AM

Sorry I hit send too soon, this increase is right along a creek.

I have seen a few times hoses have been reeled out along the creek and go under the bridge, wondering if that is part of waste management?

Is there any other animals besides livestock being held outside of barns as my thoughts is it will bring wildlife to the area

Thank you Mavis Druzyk

Sent from my Bell Samsung device over Canada's largest network.

67085 Spruce Road
Cooks Creek, MB, R5M 0G1
August 17, 2025

Technical Review Coordination Unit
Municipal and Northern Affairs
604-800 Portage Avenue
Winnipeg, MB, R3G 0N4

Dear TRC:

Re: TRC 12-116

Here are my comments on TRC-116:

Site Assessment Form:

1. The applicant states that the "Requirements for the broiler flock expansion will need to be evaluated in the future." This statement compromises the validity of any Condition Use permit by virtue of its ambiguity. This is an application, or it is not.
2. Will the 20,000 laying hens (aka "layers") be confined to individual cages, or will they be "free run"?
3. A question asking whether the project is in a flood prone (once every 5 years) zone was answered in the affirmative. This is alarming in its contemplation.

RM of Springfield Conditional Use Application:

1. The rational for this project cites a growing population. However, no trend analysis is offered as evidence. No numbers are offered to support the claim.
2. The rational also refers to the need for more revenue, but no comparative financial statements or budgets are included.

Poor process invariably leads to poor results. The above deficiencies are not the fault of the applicant. They result from decades of uninspired analysis and reporting from government. That is, the bar is now very low and applicants are okay with that.

I continue to be angered that the Public Comments will not be published until after the deadline for comment. Inevitably, there will be interested citizens who would benefit from the opinions of other submissions. Some would be moved to comment themselves to add to the range of opinion. Why is this a problem for the TRC?

You do not have my permission to redact my name or address. I will not shun democracy for manufactured alarmism. Since when has it become evil to interact? You should only censor names and addresses upon request.

Yours truly,

C. Hugh Arklie,