#### SUMMARY OF COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS

**PROPONENT:** CEDA - REACTOR LTD.

**PROPOSAL NAME:** Hazardous Waste Transfer Station

CLASS OF DEVELOPMENT: DGH&T ACT

TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT: N/A CLIENT FILE NO.: 4239.00

#### **OVERVIEW:**

The Application dated February 14, 1997 was received on February 17, 1997.

The Application relates to the parking of trucks on a bermed, cement pad which is fenced. The trucks are to be parked at the facility until they contain a full load of hazardous waste contained in 45 gallon drums, at which time they will be driven to Alberta for disposal of the hazardous wastes.

The proposal was advertized in the Winnipeg Free Press on Saturday March 22, 1997, and was placed in the following public registries: Centennial Public Library, Manitoba Eco-Network, and Main Registry.

The closing date for public response was April 18, 1997.

The proposal was sent to TAC on March 17, 1997 with a response closing date of April 18, 1997.

The Draft Order was sent to TAC on May 13, 1997 for review, with a closing date of May 23, 1997.

### **COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC:**

There were no comments received from the public in response to the advert.

# COMMENTS RE THE PROPOSAL FROM THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

#### **Department of Environment**

# **Environmental Operations, Winnipeg Region**

**Dangerous Goods Section** had the following comments;-

1) In the Order, specify the maximum storage time a vehicle may be parked at the facility before either unloading to another vehicle or transporting the load to another location.

- 2) In the Order, specify the types of wastes that can be stored in the vehicles.
- 3) What type of after hours security are they planning to utilize?

...../2

-2-

# **Environmental Operations, Winnipeg Region**

### **Dangerous Goods Section**

Disposition. The Order will address the first point, the types of waste will be the same as indicated on the Carrier Licence and the question about security is addressed in section 7 of the Proposal .

#### **Environmental Quality Standards Branch**

## Air Quality Management had the following comments ;-

- 1. <u>Section 6.0 Description of Previous Studies and Activities</u>: It is not clear as to why *the Environment Act* application and environmental assessment for the Apotex fermentation facility was included with this proposal for Ceda-Reactor. There does not appear to be any linkage between the two proposals.
- 2. <u>Section 7.0 Description of Proposed Development and Method of</u> Operation: No information was provided on:

types and quantities of materials to be stored at the site;

maximum number of trucks that can be parked on the site;

number of staff to be on site and hours that staff will be present each day; and

equipment to be kept on site to handle spills.

Disposition. The concerns were forwarded to the Applicant and addressed satisfactorily in the letter dated April 24, 97.

#### **Department of Natural Resources**

Policy Coordination Branch had no concerns.

#### **Department of Culture Hertitage and Citizenship**

<u>Historic Resources Branch</u> had no concerns with regard to the project's potential to impact heritage resources.

#### **Department of Health**

<u>Public Health Branch</u> had no concerns, at this time, respecting environmental health.

# **Department of Urban Affairs**

<u>Urban Planning & Development Branch</u> had no comments.

..../3

-3-

<u>Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency</u> - noted that after a review by all departments with a potential interest, application of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act will not be required.

# <u>COMMENTS RE THE DRAFT ORDER FROM THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY</u> <u>COMMITTEE:</u>

#### **Department of Environment**

**Environmental Operations, Winnipeg Region** 

Dangerous Goods Section had no comments.

#### **Department of Natural Resources**

Policy Coordination Branch had no concerns.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING:**

There were no public concerns received, and the concerns from TAC were addressed by the Applicant and resolved satisfactorily. A public hearing is not required.

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Draft Order should be reviewed by the Director, finalized and then issued.

The Order when issued should be assigned to the Dangerous Goods Section of the Winnipeg Region for enforcement.

#### PREPARED BY:

Adrian Jackson P.Eng.

# Municipal, Industrial and Hazardous Waste Approvals

May, 1997

Telephone: (204) 945-7108 Fax: (204) 945-5229