SUMMARY OF COMMENTS / RECOMMENDATIONS

PROPOINENT: RALPH REDFERN
PROPOSAL NAME: CANCADE COMPANY LIMITED.
CLASS OF DEVELOPMENT: CLASS 1
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT: MANUFACTURING AND INDUSTRIAL PLANT
CLIENT FILE NO: 4344.00

OVERVIEW:

A proposal dated March 28, 1998, was received from Mr. Ralph Redfern on behalf of Cancade Company Limited on June 15, 1998, for the operation of truck body and trailer manufacturing and distributing facility located at 1651 – 12th Street, on Lot 2 Plan 35414 BLTO, in NW ¼ 11-10-19 WPM, in the City of Brandon. The development involves the storage, handling and processing of metal materials to manufacture truck bodies and trailers. Processes include welding, cutting, layup, cleaning, sandblasting and painting metallic components. There is potential for air borne emissions and noise. Plant operation will be from 8:00 a.m. till 5:00 p.m. Monday to Friday with some seasonal overtime and Saturday operation.

This proposal was advertised in the Brandon Sun on Saturday, June 27, 1998, and was placed in the Western Manitoba Regional Library, the Centennial Public Library, Manitoba Eco-Network and the Environment Library (Main). The proposal was sent to the Technical Advisory Committee on June 15, 1998. The public and TAC comment closing date was July 24, 1998.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no comments from the public.

COMMENTS FROM THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

1. Manitoba Culture, Heritage & Citizenship – Historical Resources Branch – has no concerns with regard to this project’s potential to impact heritage resources.

   No response necessary.


   No response necessary.

3. Manitoba Environment – Management Division - Air Quality Management Branch – has the following comments:

   - what measures are in place to minimize the release of fugitive dust from the sand blasting operation
   - are there any opportunities to recycle the sand blasting material
   - what type of filter is used in the paint booth, what particle size does it capture, how often is it changed
   - the odour nuisance clause should be included in the licence
   - the noise nuisance clause should be included in the licence

   The above concerns were sent to the proponent for reply. The relevant issues have been addressed in the licence.
4. **Environment Canada – Canadian Environment Review Agency** – state that no environmental assessment of this project will be necessary under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*. Environment Canada indicated a willingness to offer specialist advice.

   *No response necessary.*

5. **Manitoba Natural Resources – Policy Coordination Branch** – has no concerns.

   *No response necessary.*

6. **Manitoba Environment - Operations Division – Park-West Region** – have the following comments:

   - there have been complaints of dust and noise from this facility in the past by neighbouring properties
   - dust and noise emissions should be limited at the property line
   - sandblasting should be confined to an indoor location only

   *These issues have been addressed in the licence.*

7. **Manitoba Agriculture – Soils and Crops - Soil Resource Section** – did not respond.

   *No response necessary.*

8. **Manitoba Highways and Transportation - Highway Planning and Design** – has no concerns with this development.

   *No response necessary.*

9. **Manitoba Industry Trade and Tourism** – did not respond.

   *No response necessary.*

10. **Manitoba Health - Public Health - Environmental Unit** – has the following comments:

    - dust emission control and monitoring for silica sand, welding smoke/dust, exhaust from paint booth
    - sound control and monitoring
    - availability for review of emergency response plan
    - prevention of solvents or other products used or contaminated wastewaters from entering sewage disposal and municipal ditch systems through diking or other appropriate containment measures
    - maintain current inventory lists in designated areas
    - gasoline and diesel regulations

    *Those relevant comments have been addressed in the Licence or are addressed by other Regulations.*

11. **Manitoba Labour - Workplace Safety and Health Division** – did not respond.

    *No response necessary.*
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PUBLIC HEARING:

Public hearings were not requested nor convened.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

A Licence considering the above relevant concerns as well as those of the Approvals Branch be prepared and issued. Responsibility for enforcement of the Licence may be transferred to the Region.

PREPARED BY:

Richard Johns
Environment Officer
Municipal & Industrial Approvals
August 12, 1998

Telephone: (204) 945-7023
Facsimile: (204) 945-5229
E-mail: rjohns@env.gov.mb.ca