



March 2, 2021

600\607\607.06\01\211\Notice of Alteration - Town of The Pas Lagoon.docx

Ms. Shannon Kohler  
Manitoba Conservation and Climate  
Environmental Approvals Branch  
1007 Century Street  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
R3H 0W4

via courier

T-607.06

Dear Ms. Kohler,

Re: Town of The Pas Lagoon – Notice of Alteration

The Town of The Pas is requesting approval from Manitoba Conservation and Climate for a minor alteration to the Town of The Pas lagoon Licence (No. 3313). The alterations include:

- Changing the SAGR liner material from HDPE geomembrane to in-situ and re-worked clay.
- Including a provision in the Licence for Emergency Discharges during wet weather flows.
- Addition of a 2<sup>nd</sup> baffle curtain in the Town of The Pas Lagoon.

As per the requirements of the Notice of Alteration, enclosed are:

1. Notice of Alteration Form
2. Four (2) bound hard copies of Notice of Alteration report
3. One (1) electronic copy (CD) of the Notice of Alteration report.

The results of this notice conclude that there will be no significant impacts to the environment as a result of the proposed upgrades. The Town of The Pas would like to obtain approval for the proposed upgrades as soon as possible so they can tender the project by March 31, 2021 and complete construction by March 31, 2022.

If there are any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

JR Cousin Consultants Ltd.

[Redacted signature]

David Kelly, P.Eng.  
Municipal Engineer

enc. Two (2) bound copies and one (1) electronic copy (CD), NOA form

cc. Mr. Sam Mirza-Agha, Town of The Pas – via e-mail

JRCC.ca

Notice of Alteration Form



Client File No. : <b>144.40</b>	Environment Act Licence No. : <b>3313</b>
Legal name of the Licencee: <b>The Town of The Pas</b>	
Name of the development:	
Category and Type of development per Classes of Development Regulation: <b>Waste Treatment and Storage - wastewater treatment lagoon</b>	
Licencee Contact Person: <b>Mr. Sam Mirza-Agha</b> Mailing address of the Licencee: City: <b>The Pas</b> Province: Postal Code: <b>R9A 1K8</b> Phone Number: <b>204-627-1100</b> Fax: <b>204-623-5506</b> Email: <b>sam@townofthepas.ca</b>	
Name of proponent contact person for purposes of the environmental assessment (e.g. consultant): <b>David Kelly, P.Eng</b>	
Phone: <b>204-489-0474</b> Fax: <b>204-489-0489</b>	Mailing address: <b>91a Scurfield Blvd. Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3Y 1G4</b>
Email address: <b>dkelly@jrcc.ca</b>	
Short Description of Alteration ( <i>max 90 characters</i> ): <b>Change SAGR liner material from HDPE geomembrane liner to clay. Wet Weather flows</b>	
Alteration fee attached: Yes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No: <input type="checkbox"/>	
If No, please explain:	
Date: <b>February 23, 2021</b>	Signature: Printed name:
<p><b>A complete Notice of Alteration (NoA) consists of the following components:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Cover letter</b></li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Alteration Form</b></li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>2 hard copies and 1 electronic copy of the NoA detailed report (see "Information Bulletin - Alteration to Developments with Environment Act Licences")</b></li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>\$500 Application fee, if applicable (Cheque, payable to the Minister of Finance)</b></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Submit the complete NoA to:</b></p> <p>Director Environmental Approvals Branch Manitoba Sustainable Development 1007 Century Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3H 0W4</p> <p><b>For more information:</b></p> <p>Phone: (204) 945-8321 Fax: (204) 945-5229 <a href="http://www.gov.mb.ca/sd/ea/">http://www.gov.mb.ca/sd/ea/</a></p>	
<p><b>Note: Per Section 14(3) of the Environment Act, Major Notices of Alteration must be filed through submission of an Environment Act Proposal Form (see "Information Bulletin – Environment Act Proposal Report Guidelines")</b></p>	



February 23, 2021

600\607\607.06\01\211\Notice of Alteration - Town of The Pas Lagoon.docx

Ms. Shannon Kohler  
Manitoba Conservation and Climate  
Environmental Approvals Branch  
1007 Century Street  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
R3H 0W4

via courier

T-607.06

Dear Ms. Kohler,

Re: Town of The Pas Lagoon – Notice of Alteration

The Town of The Pas is requesting approval from Manitoba Conservation and Climate for a minor alteration to the Town of The Pas lagoon Licence (No. 3313). This Notice of Alteration (NOA) report and attached form includes information on the proposed alterations, which include constructing the submerged attached growth reactor (SAGR) cells with a clay liner instead of a geomembrane liner, and the alteration of the lagoon overflow system. These upgrades have been previously discussed with Asit Dey of Manitoba Sustainable Development, to determine requirements of the NOA.

#### SAGR Liner Material

The Licence states in Clause 29 that the liner material is to be constructed from HDPE geomembrane. Geotechnical testing was conducted in 2018 in the area of the proposed SAGR cells and it has been found that there is suitable clay for use as a liner, the report and soil testing results are attached. The bottom liner of the cells will be made of in situ clay which will have a hydraulic conductivity of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/s or less at all locations. The side walls of the SAGR cells will be constructed of a reworked clay liner, with a minimum of 1 m thickness, and a hydraulic conductivity of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/s or less. Please see attached JRCC's Lagoon geotechnical Investigation Letter report for information on the testing completed.

#### Emergency Discharge

To accommodate peak wet weather flows which may be experienced in the lagoon an emergency overflow discharge will be required. Due to the elevations of the gravity sewer system which feeds into the lagoon the full freeboard space cannot be used without the possibility of backups in the sewer. The volume which can be accommodated by the freeboard without affecting the gravity sewer is approximately 8,100 m<sup>3</sup>.

The lagoon will have a lift station installed to pump effluent from the existing lagoon to the new SAGR cells. Due to limitations in pump sizing, it is proposed to have an overflow pipe in the lift station for sewage to bypass the SAGR as an emergency discharge. As the effluent discharged through the overflow will have passed through the aeration cell, it will have been treated for BOD, TSS, and phosphorus, but may not meet ammonia or disinfection Licence limits.

The daily lagoon flow data from 2018-2020 was reviewed to determine the peak week and peak day flows. Based on that review, the design average day flow is 9,430 m<sup>3</sup>/day, and the design peak week is 21,500 m<sup>3</sup>/day. It is proposed to discharge all peak flows higher than 21,500 m<sup>3</sup>/day after the 8,100 m<sup>3</sup> ponding volume has been used.

When the 2018-2020 lagoon flow data was scaled from current year to design year flow, there were seven peak events that exceeded the peak week pumping rate. Of those seven peaks, five were accommodated by the 0.3 m of freeboard to the overflow pipe as proposed. The other two days would require a partial emergency discharge through the overflow pipe to avoid backups in the Town's sewage collection system. The Town of The Pas lagoon generally experiences its peak flow events during summer months, when sewage treatment processes that rely on biological reactions are better suited to handle peaks because their reaction kinetics are better in warmer months.

#### Baffle Curtain

At the time the EAP was prepared, the proposed design included the addition of a baffle curtain to provide more consistent flow through the existing lagoon, resulting in less short-circuiting, more efficient treatment and higher CBOD removal. As the design has progressed to the selection of treatment equipment, the successful proponent, Nexom, has proposed a second baffle curtain.

The lagoon will be configured to have a complete mix aeration zone, followed by a partial mix aeration zone and a settling zone. This configuration will provide more efficient BOD treatment, which allows for a more efficient SAGR footprint after the lagoon. The aeration system will be configured so that the Town can operate the complete mix zone as a partial mix zone in early years, provided that effluent parameters are still met, to reduce power consumption and operating costs. Overall, this change has no impact on the Town's ability to meet the effluent quality described in the current Licence. Please see the attached JRCC plan L2 showing the proposed lagoon layout

Please provide approval for the proposed alterations.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

JR Cousin Consultants Ltd.

  
David Kelly, P.Eng.  
Municipal Engineer

enc.

Town of The Pas – Letter Report for The Pas Lagoon Upgrade Geotechnical Investigation, JRCC, October 25, 2018  
Plan L2 – Proposed Lagoon Upgrade layout Plan

cc. Mr. Sam Mirza-Agha, Town of The Pas – via e-mail



October 25, 2018

600\607\607.05\02\Lagoon\The Pas Lagoon - Geotechnical Investigation Letter Report.docx

Mr. Sam Mirza-Agha  
Town of The Pas  
Box 870  
81 Edwards Avenue  
The Pas, Manitoba  
R9A 1K8

T-607.05

P&R 14.73 JRCC

Via email

Dear Mr. Mirza-Agha,

**RE: Town of The Pas - Letter Report for The Pas Lagoon Upgrade Geotechnical Investigation**

JR Cousin Consultants Ltd. (JRCC) conducted a geotechnical investigation for the Town of The Pas, as part of The Pas wastewater treatment lagoon expansion project. The geotechnical investigation occurred on NW 2-56-26 WPM, on forested land located to the east of the existing lagoon site. The test hole location plan is attached. The soils were investigated for the construction of a liner for lagoon SAGR cells to service the Town of The Pas.

This report outlines the findings of the geotechnical investigation conducted at the proposed lagoon upgrade site, and evaluates the soils to determine their suitability for use as cell liner material, as well as any potential difficulties associated with the construction works, related to soil or groundwater conditions.

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Canada-Manitoba Soil Survey

Based on existing soil reconnaissance survey mapping, the soils in the area of the lagoon expansion consist of:

- Lamb Lake Complex – Organic soils developed on mesic forest peat, overlying extremely calcareous, loamy, moderately stony till. The topography is level to depressional, and the drainage is poor to very poor.
- Orok Complex - Organic soils developed on mesic forest peat, overlying moderately to strongly calcareous, clayey to loamy lacustrine sediments. The topography is level to depressional flat, and the drainage is poor to very poor.
- Cayer Series – Terric Mesisol soils developed on mesic fen peat, overlying moderately to strongly calcareous, loamy lacustrine sediments. The topography is depressional to level and the drainage is very poor to poor.

### 1.2 Land Use Classification

Based on the soil survey report, these soils have an agricultural land use capability classification of Class 4W to 6W, which is land that has severe limitations for producing forage crops due to excessive water, and soils capable of producing perennial forage crops. Class 4 lands are considered to be in Water Quality Management Zone N2, while Class 6 lands are considered to be in Zone N4 under the

*Nutrient Management Regulation (Reg. 62/2008)*. Zone N2 lands do not have any restrictions on developing wastewater treatment lagoons, which will result in the application of nutrients to the soil through effluent discharge. However, Zone N4 lands are considered sensitive lands that should not receive applications of nutrients.

## 2.0 GEOTECHNICAL FIELD INVESTIGATION

An onsite investigation of geotechnical conditions was conducted by JR Cousin Consultants Ltd. on April 26, 2018. A drill rig was used for drilling the test holes under the direct supervision of JRCC personnel. During the site investigation, six test holes were drilled to a maximum depth of 4.4 m, based on refusal. The test holes were drilled in the lagoon expansion area, to the east of the existing lagoon cell, which was recently cleared forested land. The test hole locations are shown on Plan 1.

The subsurface soil profile within each test hole was logged, water conditions were noted, and representative soil samples were collected as the soils varied along the profile. The samples were visually field-classified and confirmed through laboratory analysis. Shelby tubes of undisturbed in situ soil and bulk soil samples were collected for analysis of soil permeability. Following completion of the test holes, an assessment of the short term groundwater conditions was completed by measuring the static water level in the test holes. All test holes were then backfilled with bentonite. Details of each test hole soil profile, including depth and description of each soil layer and comments on groundwater levels can be found in the test hole logs attached.

### 2.1 Soil Profile

Based on the soils observed in the test holes, the subsurface soil profile was fairly consistent across the site. The general soil profile consisted of surficial peat, followed by a layer of high plastic clay, overlying a silty clay till material. Test hole one (TH1) located on the southwest corner of the property did not have a layer of peat or high plastic clay, and TH6, also in the southwest section did not have a layer of high plastic clay. The layer of high plastic clay material had an average thickness of 0.7 m. The following table summarizes the general soil profile observed across the potential lagoon area.

Primary Soil Type	Average Depth Range of Soil Layer	Secondary Soil Characteristics
Peat	0 m – 0.5 m	Organic
Clay (High plastic)	0.5 m – 1.2 m	Silty
Silt Till (medium plastic)	1.2 m – 4.4 m	Clayey

### 2.2 Groundwater and Bedrock

Short-term water accumulation was recorded in the test holes prior to backfilling by measuring the height of standing water in the test holes. The groundwater levels recorded in the test holes can vary based on seasonal conditions, i.e. snowmelt and high precipitation during rainy seasons. Groundwater levels were measured at depths ranging from 0.5 m to 2.7 m below the surface. Refusal was encountered in all of the test holes at depths ranging from 2.4 m to 4.4 m below the surface.

If site construction works occur, contractors should be made aware of the geotechnical conditions encountered onsite, as dewatering and slope stabilization may be required during construction, depending on the depth of excavation required.

### 3.0 LABORATORY TESTING, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Representative soil samples from the potential lagoon upgrade area were submitted to Wood Environment and Infrastructure for testing and analysis. The following is a summary of the testing results, while the detailed laboratory results are attached.

Three bagged samples were analyzed for the following:

- Atterberg Limits (plastic limit, liquid limit, and plasticity index, ASTM D4318)
- Soil Classification (ASTM D2487)
- Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)
- Particle Size Analysis (Hydrometer test, ASTM D422).

Two Shelby tube samples and one bulk sample from representative soil layers, were tested for:

- Hydraulic Conductivity (ASTM D5084) (in situ and reworked).

A summary of the laboratory results are as follows:

Sample ID	Soil Classification	Hydraulic Conductivity
TH2 0.4 m – 1.5 m	CH – high plastic clay and silt	-
TH2 1.5 m – 4.2 m	MH – medium plastic silt and clay	$1.99 \times 10^{-8}$ cm/sec [reworked]
TH5 1.5 m – 2.1 m	CH – high plastic clay and silt	$1.71 \times 10^{-8}$ cm/sec [in situ]
TH6 0.5 m – 1.1 m	MH – medium plastic silt and clay	$2.28 \times 10^{-8}$ cm/sec [in situ]

The laboratory indicated that soils with the following characteristics would provide a liner with a hydraulic conductivity of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec or less:

- Liquid limit of 30% or greater
- Plastic index of 10% or greater
- 30% or more passing a number 200 mesh sieve
- 20% or more of clay particles.

The soil samples submitted had liquid limits ranging from 21% to 68%; plastic indices ranging from 9 to 46; and clay contents ranging from 23.9% to 77.8%. Based on the above results, the bagged samples from TH6 0.5 m – 3.0 m and TH2 1.5 m – 4.2 m (medium plastic silt and clay) did not meet the criteria stated above, however did meet the permeability requirement ( $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec or less) for a lagoon liner in an undisturbed state and after reworking, in both samples submitted. The bag sample results indicate that the medium



plastic silt and clay soils were marginal and may not consistently meet the permeability requirements. Additional permeability samples in the medium plastic silt and clay layer were attempted, but could not be obtained or utilized, due to the dense nature of the material.

## 4.0 LAGOON CELL LINER REQUIREMENTS

### 4.1 Current Guidelines

In accordance with the Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship Information Bulletin *Design Objectives for Wastewater Treatment Lagoons* (2014), a soil liner is to be a minimum of 1.0 m thick and have a hydraulic conductivity (i.e. the potential rate of fluid movement through the soil) of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  m/sec or less. This low permeability rate is to protect the underlying groundwater from lagoon effluent seepage. The liner of a lagoon can be constructed using in situ (undisturbed native) soils, if the soils can consistently achieve a hydraulic conductivity of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec or less in their in situ state. If in situ soils cannot achieve the required hydraulic conductivity, the lagoon liner can be constructed by excavating and reworking suitable clay type soils to form the liner.

If the clay content of the soils is so low that even when excavated and reworked, the soils cannot consistently achieve a hydraulic conductivity of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec or less, a liner constructed of high plastic clay from a borrow pit, or a synthetic geomembrane liner would be required.

### 4.2 Lagoon SAGR Cell Liner Design

Based on the results of the onsite investigation and laboratory analysis, the excavated soils in the lagoon SAGR expansion area would likely achieve a permeability of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec or less, after reworking and compacting and potentially in an in situ state. Based on the laboratory results, the construction contractor would need to ensure that the soils forming the horizontal and vertical cell liners would be constructed near the optimal soil moisture content, without being too wet or too dry.

### 4.3 Utilization of Onsite Soils

The surficial peat material should be stripped from the expansion cell construction area and stockpiled for use as top dressing on the top and outer slopes of the SAGR cells. The high plastic clay soils would be suitable for construction of the cell liner wall, while the medium to plastic silt and clay soils below this would be suitable for use in construction of the reworked vertical wall liner and horizontal floor liner.

There is always a risk associated with utilizing excavated soils for the construction of a lagoon liner after reworking and compacting, due to the possibility of unsuitable soils discovered during construction, such as sand and stone. Any unsuitable material (sand or stone seams) discovered during construction would need to be removed from the lagoon liners to prevent the possibility of preferential flow paths through the liners. Based on the site observations and laboratory results, the risk associated with construction of the lagoon in the suitable areas tested is low, however stones were observed in the medium plastic silt and clay layer, which may cause preferential flow paths.

Further discussion would be needed with Manitoba Sustainable Development during the design phase of the project to confirm the suitability of utilizing a clay liner for these cells.

## 6.0 CLOSURE

The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the results of the site investigation and laboratory analysis. In addition, soil and groundwater conditions between test hole locations were generalized to provide an overall assessment of the geotechnical site conditions. If conditions appear different from those encountered at the test hole locations as described in this report, or if the assumptions stated herein are not in agreement with the design, JRCC should be informed so that the recommendations can be reviewed and adjusted as required.

The geotechnical site investigation was conducted to identify soil conditions suitable for use as a SAGR cell liner for the Town of The Pas lagoon. Although no environmental issues were identified during the geotechnical investigation, it does not necessarily follow that such issues do not exist. If the client or any other parties have any environmental concerns regarding the proposed site and works, an appropriate environmental assessment must be conducted.

It is not uncommon for soil conditions to be highly variable across a site. Previous construction activities and placement of fill at a site can augment the variability of soil conditions, especially surficial soil conditions. A contingency must be included in any construction budget to allow for potential variations in soil conditions, which may result in modification of the design and construction procedures.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.


Yours truly,

**JR Cousin Consultants Ltd.**



Oswald Wohlgemut, M.Sc.  
Environmental Scientist

**Reviewed by:**



Jason Cousin, P.Eng.  
Municipal Engineer

cc Asit Dey, Manitoba Sustainable Development – via email

### Attachments

Test Hole Location Plan

Test Hole Logs

Wood Environmental Laboratory Test Results



## **Attachments**

Test Hole Location Plan

Test Hole Logs


Wood Environmental Laboratory Test Results

Test Hole Location Plan

Test Hole Logs



Oct 18, 2018 - 2:53pm (1:00:07) The Pas, Town/07/05 - Wastewater Treatment Feasibility Study and EAP/CA Drawings/03/03/07/05/Location Plan.dwg



No.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIALS

B.M. EL.

LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES AS SHOWN ARE BASED ON AVAILABLE INFORMATION BUT NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN OR IMPLIED THAT ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES ARE SHOWN OR THAT THE GIVEN LOCATIONS ARE EXACT. CONFIRMATION OF EXISTENCE AND EXACT LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY/OWNER, BY THE CONTRACTOR, BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.

ENGINEER'S SEAL

*PRELIMINARY*

**JR Cousin Consultants Ltd.**  
91A Scurfield Blvd. Winnipeg MB R3Y 1G4  
p. (204) 489-0474  
f. (204) 489-0487  
www.jrcc.ca

ENGINEERING EXCELLENCE SINCE 1981

CODE: T-607.05	PROJECT: TOWN OF THE PAS LAGOON UPGRADE EAP
DESIGNED BY: DK	TITLE: TESTHOLE LOCATION PLAN
DRAWN BY: RH	SCALE: 1:1250
REVIEWED BY: JRC	DATE: 18/10/12
	PLAN: X
	SHEET: X OF Y

**J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.**  
**TEST HOLE LOGS**

---

**SYMBOL INDEX**



GW. : Well graded gravels and gravel sand mixtures, little or no fines



GP. : Poorly graded gravels, gravel - sand mixtures,  
little or no fines



GM. : Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures



GC. : Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures



SW. : Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines



SP. : Poorly graded sands, or gravelly sands, little or no fines



SM. : Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures



SC. : Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures



ML. : Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands,  
or clayey silts with slight plasticity



CL. : Inorganic clays of low plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy or silty  
clays, lean clays



OL. : Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity



CI. : Inorganic clays of medium or intermediate plasticity



MH. : Inorganic silts, fine sandy or silty soils



CH. : Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays



OH. : Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts



Pt. : Peat, humus, swamp soils with high organic contents



TOPSOIL

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.



# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET


LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area  
 COORDINATES: 5965094 N, 354205 E  
 PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment  
 Feasibility Study and EAP

CODE : T-607.05  
 ELEVATION : 263.18m  
 METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig

DATE : April 26, 2018  
 TEST HOLE # 1




GW



GP




GM




GC



SW



SP




SM




SC



ML



CL



OL



CI



MH



CH



OH



PT



Topsoil



Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.


# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET

LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area  
 COORDINATES: 5965139 N, 354291 E  
 PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment  
 Feasibility Study and EAP

CODE : T-607.05  
 ELEVATION : 262.21m  
 METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig

DATE : April 26, 2018  
 TEST HOLE # 2



GW	GP
GM	GC
SW	SP
SM	SC
ML	CL
OL	CI
MH	CH
OH	PT
Topsoil	Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.


# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET

LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area  
 COORDINATES: 5965083 N, 354352 E  
 PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment  
 Feasibility Study and EAP

CODE : T-607.05  
 ELEVATION : 261.84m  
 METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig

DATE : April 26, 2018  
 TEST HOLE # 3



GW	GP
GM	GC
SW	SP
SM	SC
ML	CL
OL	CI
MH	CH
OH	PT
Topsoil	Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.



# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET


LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area  
 COORDINATES: 5965162 N, 354383 E  
 PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment  
 Feasibility Study and EAP

CODE : T-607.05  
 ELEVATION : 262.20m  
 METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig

DATE : April 26, 2018  
 TEST HOLE # 4




GW



GP



GM



GC



SW



SP




SM




SC



ML



CL



OL



CI



MH



CH



OH



PT



Topsoil



Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.

# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET

LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area

CODE : T-607.05

DATE : April 26, 2018

COORDINATES: 5965190 N, 354230 E



ELEVATION : 262.54m

PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment


METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig

TEST HOLE # 5

Feasibility Study and EAP




GW



GP




GM



GC



SW




SP




SM



SC



ML




CL



OL



CI



MH



CH



OH



PT



Topsoil



Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.



# J. R. Cousin Consultants Ltd.

## TEST HOLE LOG SHEET


LOCATION : The Pas Lagoon Expansion Area  
 COORDINATES: 5965115 N, 354244 E  
 PROJECT : The Pas - Wastewater Treatment  
 Feasibility Study and EAP

CODE : T-607.05  
 ELEVATION : 262.50m  
 METHOD OF SAMPLING : Drill Rig


DATE : April 26, 2018  
 TEST HOLE # 6




GW



GP




GM



GC



SW




SP




SM



SC



ML




CL



OL



CI



MH



CH



OH



PT




Topsoil



Static Water Level

The soil logs are based upon objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions. The soil logs indicate site specific soil characteristics and must not be generalized over larger areas due to the limited number of test holes as compared to that of an unlimited number of test holes. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by methods generally recognized. The soil logs represent our opinions. J.R. Cousin Consultants Ltd. cannot be responsible for actual site conditions proved to be materially at variance from our analysis or from the data generalization over untested areas.

## Wood Environmental Laboratory Test Results




COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Sample ID	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	D100	D60	D30	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● TH02	0.4 m	13.9	68	22	46	2				0.0	2.4	20.4	77.2
▣ TH05	1.5 m	28.6	61	22	39	2				0.0	2.3	19.9	77.8
▲ TH06	0.5 m	10.7	24	14	10	19	0.018	0.002		9.4	7.4	47.2	29.8

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WX11334.3100 SOILS ANALYSIS FOR THE PAS, MB.GPJ 18/09/25 01:15 PM (WPG - GRAIN SIZE WITH ATTERBERG & MC)



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Sample ID	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	D100	D60	D30	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● TH02	1.5 m	11.6	21	12	9	12.5	0.021	0.004		3.0	22.8	49.1	23.9

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

# ASTM D5084 - HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY REPORT



**TO:** Oswald Wohlgemut, M.Sc  
JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
91 Scurfield Boulevard  
Winnipeg, MB R3Y 1G4

**PROJECT NO:** WX11334 - 3100  
**CLIENT:** JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
**DATE SUBMITTED:** 8-May-18

**PROJECT:** Soil Analysis for The Pas

**TEST HOLE:** Bulk Samples  
**SAMPLE NO.:** TH2: Re-worked Sample  
**SAMPLE DEPTH:** 1.5-4.2

**PERMEANT:** De-Aired Tap Water  
**HYDRAULIC GRADIENT:** 28.60

## CONSTANT HEAD METHOD ( $K = cQL/thA$ )

	Sample Height, L (cm)	Sample Dia. (cm)	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Degree of Saturation (%)	Cell Pressure (kPa)	Back Pressure (kPa)	Differential Pressure, h (kPa)
Initial	7.38	7.12	12.7%	2098	100.8%	241.4	196.5	20.7
Final	7.35	7.05	12.7%	2140	109.4%			


Date & Time		Time, t (seconds)	Flow (Q)		Temp. Corr, c	Hyd. Cond. Corrected, K (cm/s)
Start	End		Influent (ml)	Effluent (ml)		
5/30/18 10:17 AM	5/30/18 11:41 AM	5040	0.20	0.10	1.225	3.21E-08
5/30/18 11:41 AM	5/30/18 2:29 PM	10080	0.25	0.30	0.956	2.29E-08
5/30/18 2:29 PM	5/30/18 4:31 PM	7320	0.20	0.20	0.956	2.30E-08
5/30/18 4:31 PM	5/31/18 8:04 AM	55980	1.35	1.45	0.956	2.10E-08
5/31/18 8:04 AM	5/31/18 1:36 PM	19920	0.50	0.50	0.956	2.11E-08
5/31/18 1:36 PM	5/31/18 6:13 PM	16620	0.35	0.40	0.956	1.90E-08
5/31/18 6:13 PM	6/1/18 10:13 AM	57600	1.35	1.45	0.962	2.06E-08
6/1/18 10:13 AM	6/1/18 6:25 PM	29520	0.65	0.75	0.968	2.02E-08
6/1/18 6:25 PM	6/2/18 8:48 AM	51780	1.20	1.20	0.974	1.99E-08

Sample tested at 96% Standard Proctor Moisture Dry Density. Proctor Reference WX11334.3100-01

**Average Temperature**  
**Corrected Value (cm/s):** 1.99E-08

### Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions

Per:

  
Brad Wiebe, M.Sc., P.Eng.  
Senior Associate Geotechnical Engineer

*Reporting of these results constitutes a testing service only.  
Engineering interpretation or evaluation of the test results is provided only on written request.*

# ASTM D5084 - HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY REPORT



**TO:** Oswald Wohlgemut, M.Sc  
JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
91 Scurfield Boulevard  
Winnipeg, MB R3Y 1G4

**PROJECT NO:** WX11334 - 3100  
**CLIENT:** JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
**DATE SUBMITTED:** 3-Jul-18

**PROJECT:** Soil Analysis for The Pas

**TEST HOLE:** TH05  
**SAMPLE NO.:**  
**SAMPLE DEPTH:** 1.5-2.1m

**PERMEANT:** De-Aired Tap Water  
**HYDRAULIC GRADIENT:** 26.18

## CONSTANT HEAD METHOD ( $K = cQL/thA$ )

	Sample Height, L (cm)	Sample Dia. (cm)	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Degree of Saturation (%)	Cell Pressure (kPa)	Back Pressure (kPa)	Differential Pressure, h (kPa)
Initial	8.05	7.16	28.6%	1531	98.9%	241.4	196.5	20.7
Final	8.15	7.19	31.0%	1478	98.9%			

Date & Time		Time, t (seconds)	Flow (Q)		Temp. Corr, c	Hyd. Cond. Corrected, K (cm/s)
Start	End		Influent (ml)	Effluent (ml)		
7/11/18 1:57 PM	7/12/18 8:22 AM	66300	1.35	1.50	0.980	2.00E-08
7/12/18 8:22 AM	7/12/18 5:37 PM	33300	0.65	0.70	0.980	1.88E-08
7/12/18 5:37 PM	7/13/18 7:58 AM	51660	1.00	1.00	0.980	1.80E-08
7/13/18 7:58 AM	7/13/18 2:12 PM	22440	0.40	0.50	0.980	1.86E-08
7/13/18 2:12 PM	7/14/18 7:31 AM	62340	1.20	1.00	0.980	1.64E-08
7/14/18 7:31 AM	7/16/18 1:40 PM	194940	3.60	3.90	0.980	1.79E-08
7/16/18 1:40 PM	7/18/18 7:56 AM	152160	2.50	2.60	0.980	1.56E-08
7/18/18 7:56 AM	7/18/18 11:26 AM	12600	0.25	0.25	0.980	1.84E-08

**Average Temperature**  
**Corrected Value (cm/s):** 1.71E-08

### Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions

Per:

Brad Wiebe, M.Sc., P.Eng.  
Senior Associate Geotechnical Engineer

*Reporting of these results constitutes a testing service only.  
Engineering interpretation or evaluation of the test results is provided only on written request.*

# ASTM D5084 - HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY REPORT



**TO:** Oswald Wohlgemut, M.Sc  
JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
91 Scurfield Boulevard  
Winnipeg, MB R3Y 1G4

**PROJECT NO:** WX11334 - 3100  
**CLIENT:** JR Cousin Consultants Ltd  
**DATE SUBMITTED:** 8-May-18

**PROJECT:** Soil Analysis for The Pas

**TEST HOLE:** Bulk Samples  
**SAMPLE NO.:** TH06: 0.5 - 1.1m  
**SAMPLE DEPTH:** 0.5-1.1m

**PERMEANT:** De-Aired Tap Water  
**HYDRAULIC GRADIENT:** 27.78

## CONSTANT HEAD METHOD ( $K = cQL/thA$ )


	Sample Height, L (cm)	Sample Dia. (cm)	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Degree of Saturation (%)	Cell Pressure (kPa)	Back Pressure (kPa)	Differential Pressure, h (kPa)
Initial	7.59	7.15	10.7%	2185	100.4%	241.4	196.5	20.7
Final	7.54	7.15	11.6%	2181	107.4%			

Date & Time		Time, t (seconds)	Flow (Q)		Temp. Corr, c	Hyd. Cond. Corrected, K (cm/s)
Start	End		Influent (ml)	Effluent (ml)		
5/30/18 10:15 AM	5/30/18 11:39 AM	5040	0.10	0.15	1.225	2.72E-08
5/30/18 11:39 AM	5/30/18 2:27 PM	10080	0.30	0.35	0.956	2.76E-08
5/30/18 2:27 PM	5/30/18 4:28 PM	7260	0.20	0.20	0.956	2.36E-08
5/30/18 4:28 PM	5/31/18 8:02 AM	56040	1.55	1.55	0.956	2.37E-08
5/31/18 8:02 AM	5/31/18 1:34 PM	19920	0.55	0.55	0.956	2.36E-08
5/31/18 1:34 PM	5/31/18 6:11 PM	16620	0.45	0.45	0.956	2.32E-08
5/31/18 6:11 PM	6/1/18 10:11 AM	57600	1.55	1.55	0.962	2.32E-08
6/1/18 10:11 AM	6/1/18 6:23 PM	29520	0.70	0.80	0.968	2.20E-08
6/1/18 6:23 PM	6/2/18 8:46 AM	51780	1.35	1.35	0.974	2.28E-08


**Average Temperature  
Corrected Value (cm/s):** 2.28E-08

### Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions


Per:

  
Brad Wiebe, M.Sc., P.Eng.  
Senior Associate Geotechnical Engineer

*Reporting of these results constitutes a testing service only.  
Engineering interpretation or evaluation of the test results is provided only on written request.*



File: 22\_2021 - 410p.m. 01/06/2021 The Plan, 1/21/2021 08:18:18 Wastewater Treatment Lagoon\04\_Drawing\04\_01\_2021 - Proposed Lagoon Upgrade Layout Plan.dwg




No.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIALS

B.M. EL.

LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES AS SHOWN ARE BASED ON AVAILABLE INFORMATION BUT NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN OR IMPLIED THAT ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES ARE SHOWN OR THAT THE GIVEN LOCATIONS ARE EXACT. CONFIRMATION OF EXISTENCE AND EXACT LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES/UTILITIES MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY/OWNER, BY THE CONTRACTOR, BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.

ENGINEER'S SEAL



**JR Cousin Consultants Ltd.**  
 91A Scurfield Blvd. Winnipeg MB R3Y 1G4  
 p. (204) 489-0474  
 f. (204) 489-0487  
 www.jrcc.ca

CODE: T-607.06  
 DESIGNED BY: DK  
 DRAWN BY: RHF  
 REVIEWED BY: DK

PROJECT: TOWN OF THE PAS WASTEWATER TREATMENT LAGOON UPGRADE  
 TITLE: PROPOSED LAGOON UPGRADE LAYOUT PLAN  
 SCALE: 1:1000    DATE: 21/01/28    PLAN: 1    SHEET: 1 of 1

**J.R. COUSIN CONSULTANTS LTD.**

91A SCURFIELD BLVD.  
WINNIPEG, MB R3Y 1G4

**TD CANADA TRUST**

VISTA PLACE  
UNIT A, 1631 ST. MARY'S ROAD  
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA R2N 1Z4

014521

DATE

0 1 0 3 2 0 2 1  
D D M M Y Y Y Y

**PAY**

\*\*Five Hundred and 00/100

**\*\*\$500.00**

TO  
THE  
ORDER  
OF

Minister of Finance (Manitoba)  
101-401 York Avenue  
Taxation Division  
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 0P8  
Canada



PER



⑈014521⑈ ⑆03007⑈004⑆ 7900⑈5000862⑈

**J.R. COUSIN CONSULTANTS LTD.**

014521

Minister of Finance (Manitoba)

01/03/2021

MFinance-T-607.06

500.00

DUPLICATE COPY

Total

500.00