

- **Air** _____ **Total 4**
 - 1 concern about air pollution
 - 1 concern about pollution leaving the plant
 - 1 thinks smoke and odour are considered equal, why should OlyWest be able to emit odour when other plants are not
 - 1 general air concern expressed

- **Pollution** _____ **Total 4**
 - 2 fear accidental discharges
 - 1 believes the effect to province isn't worth the jobs created
 - 1 thinks the chemicals used to remove odour and pollution in the air, land & water will eventually bring on health problems to the people, soil, water, land & air as well as growth of trees & all plants

- **Property Value** _____ **Total 4**
 - 1 concern value will decrease
 - 1 wants to know who will compensate for decrease in value
 - 2 general property value concerns expressed

- **Environment** _____ **Total 3**
 - 1 fears environmental impact
 - 1 concern about contamination
 - 1 general environment concern expressed

- **Workforce** _____ **Total 3**
 - 1 concern about low wages
 - 1 thinks there will be bad working conditions because Burns and Brandon Maple Leaf are importing workers
 - 1 wants worker rights and protections (wage packages and stable workforce with opportunities for advancements. Migrant workers should be given opportunities for education and advancing self)

- **Infrastructure** _____ **Total 3**
 - 1 thinks road construction should have been completed first
 - 1 thinks why wait till 2011 to construct access roads for exclusive use
 - 1 general infrastructure concern expressed

- **Waste and Wastewater** _____ **Total 3**
 - 1 concern about effluent disposal
 - 1 believes pollution from solid waste that the Winnipeg sewage treatment plant will not be able to handle until after OlyWest begins production

- 1 concern about manure holding area until it is removed to a storage site.
- **Animal Welfare** _____ **Total 2**
 - 1 concern that the video at the open house was in slow motion, so not showing true handling
 - 1 concern that the animal is not unconscious when bled
- **Hog Industry Expansion** _____ **Total 2**
 - 1 fears industry inviting more hog barns into the prairies
 - 1 concern hog industry polluting our lakes & rivers.
- **Pest Accumulation from Hogs** _____ **Total 2**
 - 1 concern about rodents, flies, air borne disease
 - 1 concern about flying insect control
- **Sewer** _____ **Total 2**
 - 1 fears sewer backups
 - 1 general sewer concern expressed
- **Lakes and Rivers** _____ **Total 2**
 - 1 concern hog plant won't help current problem
 - 1 believes loss of 5,000 fishery jobs to create 1,000 jobs in hog industry
- **Quality of Life** _____ **Total 1**
 - 1 general quality of life concern expressed
- **Public Health** _____ **Total 1**
 - 1 concern about brain damage caused from hydrogen sulfide gas produced by hog slurry
- **Other** _____ **Total 10**
 - 2 concerns that politicians not hearing peoples concerns
 - 1 concern that problems are going to occur
 - 1 thinks that politicians being short sighted
 - 1 wants to know why done in secrecy
 - 1 concern about the negative attitude that still appears to exist
 - 1 concern that the nuisance clause does not spell out a time frame for the nuisance to be remedied
 - 1 wants to know who is responsible for cleanup once the plant closes?
 - 1 wants to know what has the City provided as opposed to the costs involved?

! concern that a large forum doesn't gather the opinions of those most affected

- 6) Number of people who indicated they want Earth Tech to contact them _____ 7
- 7) Number of people who indicated they do not want Earth Tech to contact them ____ 47
- 8) Number of people who indicated they found the open house useful _____ 48
- 9) Number of people who indicated they did not find the open house useful _____ 9
- 10) Number of people who indicated they found the open house both useful and not useful _____ 2
- 11) List of ways open house could be more useful
 - o Hard copies of boards/ information pamphlets to show people not in attendance _____ 4
 - o Done before any decisions were made _____ 2
 - o Mayor and Councillors (Elected Reps.) present _____ 2
 - o Talk about Mad Cow Disease _____ 1
 - o Talk about processing products _____ 1
 - o More staff for answering questions _____ 1
 - o Summary shows _____ 1
 - o Cold drinks and snacks for kids _____ 1
 - o Utilizing less shy staff _____ 1
 - o More pictures on boards _____ 1
 - o Staff having the same level of information _____ 1
 - o Better advertising _____ 1
 - o Use Bernie Wolfe School as an open house location _____ 1
 - o Demonstration of the odour control system _____ 1
 - o Have one presentation and a Q&A afterwards _____ 1
 - o Larger story boards to sustain larger groups of people _____ 1
 - o Show more of the economic spin-offs _____ 1
 - o More honest, less biased _____ 1

PROJECT NAME:	OlyWest EIA	PAGE:	1 of 4
LOCATION:	Earth Tech 1000 Waverley Street	DATE:	April 28, 2006
DATE OF MEETING:	April 10, 2006	PROJECT NO.:	91511-10
		CONTRACT NO.:	

PRESENT:

Sheldon McLeod	. SLMcLeod Consulting
John Donetz	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Stephen Biswanger	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Alison Weiss	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Windsor Park Resident	
Southland Park Resident	
Pleissis Road Resident	
Southland Park Representative	
Local Church Representative	
St. Boniface Industrial Park- Business Owner	

PURPOSE: Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting #1
 DISTRIBUTION TO ALL ABOVE AND WRITTEN BY: OlyWest Licensing Committee, Myron Paryniuk, EA Website, Darryl Reid, Bidhu Jha
 Alison Weiss

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
1.0	INTRODUCTIONS	
1.1	Six of the seven CAC members were present. The Chamber of Commerce has yet to identify their member for the CAC. Also there has not been anyone identified by Bidhu Jha for the Mission Gardens area. Mr. McLeod invited the members to identify someone from Mission Gardens. Mr. McLeod will stay in touch with Bidhu Jha's office and will keep them informed.	
1.2	The members of the CAC introduced themselves and indicated what some of their initial concerns/interests and thoughts on the project were. The concerns/interests and thoughts raised were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Odour and the rigour of the environmental approval process – will it ensure that odour is addressed? . Noise . Traffic in the neighbourhood and in the industrial park . Property values . Quality of life . Airborne noxious emissions . Transportation of animals and animal wastes en route 	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: April 28, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 2 of 4

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>1.2 (Cont'd)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Nitrogen in the effluent from the site · How the plant will be built, i.e., will OlyWest build it the way they commit to build it? · Bus service in the area · Improvements to services in the industrial park · Potential for spin-off services in the area. 	
<p>1.3</p>	<p>The facilitator, Mr. Sheldon McLeod, introduced himself and indicated his background and connection with the project. Mr. McLeod was hired by Earth Tech to be the facilitator for the CAC. As Earth Tech is paid by OlyWest to conduct their Environmental Impact Assessment, Mr. McLeod is ultimately paid by OlyWest. Mr. McLeod's connection to the hog industry is that he did one half day's work for Landmark Feeds three years ago. Mr. McLeod's responsibility is to be impartial and to ensure the operational procedures agreed to by the CAC are followed.</p>	
<p>1.4</p>	<p>It was agreed that meeting materials and correspondence will be distributed by email with printed copies available at the meetings.</p>	
<p>2.0</p>	<p>OVERVIEW OF PURPOSE, APPROACH AND PROCESS FOR THE COMMITTEE</p>	
<p>2.1</p>	<p>The Operational Procedures (Doc. 1.6) were overviewed by Sheldon McLeod. It was agreed that the Operational Procedures would be reviewed (Agenda Item 1.6) at the end of the meeting.</p>	
<p>3.0</p>	<p>REVIEW OF PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND SITE SELECTION</p>	
<p>3.1</p>	<p>John Donetz reviewed the site selection criteria (handout "Site Selection Criteria" was provided). Mr. Donetz referenced the City of Winnipeg (the City) Map showing the prospective OlyWest sites and Figure 1 showing the roads surrounding the site.</p> <p>A concern regarding the traffic during the start/end of worker shifts was brought up.</p>	
<p>3.2</p>	<p>Stephen Biswanger reviewed the project/process description (handout "OlyWest Process Description" was provided). Mr. Biswanger referenced Figure 2 showing a general overview of a processing plant.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: April 28, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 3 of 4

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>3.2 (Cont'd)</p>	<p>The CAC requested more information regarding the interceptor pipe that will run from the plant to the North End Water Pollution Control Centre. Some questions regarding the interceptor pipe were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Will there be fumes coming out of the pipe? · Where does the interceptor line run? <p>Earth Tech will provide more information regarding the interceptor line at a future meeting.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>
<p>3.3</p>	<p>Mr. Biswanger briefly reviewed the wastewater treatment at the plant.</p> <p>Concerns were brought up regarding the level of on-site treatment, nutrient removal and the link to the City system.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that the plant should have secondary on-site treatment prior to discharge to the City sanitary sewer.</p> <p>A concern was also brought up regarding monitoring of the wastewater leaving the plant and enforcement/consequences if the discharges exceed requirements.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked what percentage of the wastewater that the City treats would be coming from the OlyWest plant.</p> <p>It was agreed that wastewater treatment will be discussed in greater detail at the next meeting.</p> <p>Earth Tech will provide more detailed information regarding wastewater treatment at the plant at the next meeting.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>
<p>4.0</p>	<p>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT</p>	
<p>4.1</p>	<p>There was some reluctance from the members to address public engagement with so little experience with the CAC so far.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that the public needs to be educated about the plant and that in doing so, public perception may improve.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that the proponent needs to make some decisions and to come up with strong guarantees regarding odour.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that it may be beneficial to have Open Houses on a neighbourhood basis (Windsor Park, Southland Park, Mission Gardens and the Industrial Park).</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: April 28, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 4 of 4

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
5.0	WORK PLAN FOR THE COMMITTEE	
5.1	<p>It was agreed that the next meeting will cover the Environmental Assessment process and Wastewater Treatment at the plant. The operational procedures and public engagement will be revisited at the next meeting.</p> <p>Information will be provided to the CAC members via email one week in advance for their review with a hard copy version of the information provided at the meeting.</p> <p>Earth Tech will prepare handouts for the CAC for the Environmental Assessment process and Wastewater Treatment at the plant.</p>	Earth Tech
5.2	The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, April 27, 2006 at 7:00 p.m. at the Earth Tech office located at 1000 Waverley Street.	
5.3	Due to time constraints, items 1.5 (review of draft work plan) and 1.6 (review of meeting process and operational procedures) were not addressed. These items will be addressed at the April 27 meeting.	
	<p>These minutes are in the writer's best interpretation of discussions held during the meeting. Please inform the writer of any noteworthy omissions or errors.</p> <hr/>	

PROJECT NAME:	OlyWest EIA	PAGE:	1 of 5
LOCATION:	Earth Tech 1000 Waverley Street	DATE:	May 9, 2006
DATE OF MEETING:	April 27, 2006	PROJECT NO.:	91511-10
		CONTRACT NO.:	

PRESENT:	Sheldon McLeod	- SLMcLeod Consulting
	John Donetz	- Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
	Stephen Biswanger	- Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
	Alison Weiss	- Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
	St. Boniface Industrial Park Business - Owner	
	Southland Park Resident	
	Southland Park Representative	
	Local Church Representative	
	Plessis Road Resident	
	Windsor Park Resident	
	Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce - Representative	

PURPOSE: Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting #2
 DISTRIBUTION TO: ALL ABOVE AND:
 WRITTEN BY: Alison Weiss

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
1.0	APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND RECORD OF MEETING ONE	
1.1	Some CAC members expressed concerns regarding their identification on the meeting record. There were concerns that the identification of member names on the meeting record may imply that the members are supporters of the project. There were also concerns regarding public and media contact with the CAC members should they be identified. The CAC agreed that the meeting record will not include the CAC member names, but members will be identified by their region or affiliation. There were no further comments on the CAC Meeting #1 meeting record.	
1.2	The meeting record from CAC Meeting #1 will be revised to remove the CAC member names and will be e-mailed out to the CAC members. If no comments regarding the record are received within two days of the record receipt, the record will be posted on the EA website.	Alison

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: May 9, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 2 of 5

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
2.0	UPDATE ON ACTIVITY SINCE LAST MEETING	
2.1	John Donetz described the Earth Tech press release (handout "For Immediate Release Earth Tech Manages OlyWest Environmental Assessment" was provided). The press release was held independently from CAC member input. No representatives from OlyWest were present at the press release.	
2.2	A member of the CAC wanted clarification on the statement that it was announced that the CEC hearings would not begin until well into 2007. On Monday April 24, 2006 Terry Sargeant, Clean Environment Commission Head was quoted as saying "it definitely won't be this fall....It's possible that it'll be early in '07, but it's also possible that it could be mid-to later in '07". On Tuesday April 25, 2006 Terry Sargeant was quoted saying that it will take at least six months after the application is filed for the CEC hearings to begin. Mr. Sargeant also noted that delays of one year or more are not uncommon. "This can take a long time. I'm not saying it will but it depends on how much more information we need." Mr. Donetz indicated that the calling of the CEC hearings depends on the Minister and that some time is required to prepare for the hearings. No official announcement has been made regarding the start date of the hearings. Therefore the hearings <u>may not</u> be held for a year however this does not mean that they <u>will not</u> be held for a year.	
2.3	A member of the CAC also wanted clarification on the City of Winnipeg decision to change the sewer upgrade in the area. Mr. Donetz indicated that originally four million dollars were allocated to upgrade the sanitary sewer to a 75 cm sized pipe. To accommodate the wastewater from OlyWest, an additional \$280,000 was approved by the City of Winnipeg to increase the size of the pipe from 75 cm to 90 cm. This decision to add \$280,000 of funding to the project was rescinded by the City of Winnipeg.	
2.4	Two members of the CAC indicated that they had been on the radio since the previous CAC meeting speaking about the OlyWest plant. The members indicated that they were not representing the CAC but were expressing their own opinions.	
2.5	Sheldon McLeod indicated that Bidhu Jha's office has identified a member for the CAC to represent the Mission Garden area and that the new member will attend CAC Meeting #3.	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: May 9, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 3 of 5

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
3.0	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
3.1	<p>Sheldon McLeod reviewed the Operational Procedures (Doc 1.6). There were no comments on the section describing the responsibilities of Earth Tech, the members, or the Facilitator.</p> <p>The CAC agreed to the committee decision-making process, other operational procedures and the sub-committee operational procedures.</p> <p>The CAC agreed that a draft copy of the meeting record could be distributed to constituents and member of affiliations associated with the CAC members; however, the meeting record can only be posted on the EA website once it is approved by all of the CAC members.</p> <p>The CAC agreed that the EA website, in addition to the meeting record, should include copies of the handouts, drawings, figures, etc. distributed to the CAC members.</p>	
4.0	PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	
4.1	<p>Mr. McLeod reviewed the handout "Separate Aspects of Public Interaction" (Doc. 1.4).</p> <p>4.2 The CAC agreed that advertising for the first Open House should occur two weeks before the date of the Open House. The Open House will be advertised on the EA website and in the newspaper. A press release will also be prepared for the first Open House.</p> <p>4.3 The members of the CAC indicated that the first Open House needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be scheduled as soon as possible • Present a sufficient amount of information <p>4.4 Several members of the CAC indicated that OlyWest needs to support their plant in the media and they need to defend their project.</p> <p>4.5 Several members of the CAC indicated that it would be beneficial to have a flyer with information regarding the details of the plant, distributed ahead of time in order to give the public a chance to learn about the project prior to the Open House.</p> <p>A member of the CAC also indicated that it may be beneficial to have a section on the website for commonly asked questions and answers prior to the Open House.</p> <p>4.6 It was agreed that the CAC members will receive the information that will be presented at the first Open House by email prior to the Open House date. The CAC members will comment and provide feedback to Earth Tech.</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: May 9, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 4 of 5

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVAL PROCESS	
5.1	<p>Mr. Donetz reviewed the handout "The Environmental Process for the Proposed OlyWest Plant". Mr. Donetz indicated that no proposal has been officially filed by OlyWest; however Manitoba Conservation has indicated on their website that OlyWest will be applying.</p> <p>OlyWest has announced that they will provide interveners funding. The amount of the funding is set by the Minister; however no amount has been set yet.</p>	
5.2	<p>A member of the CAC wanted to know who is responsible for the selection of the members of the CEC. Mr. Donetz indicated that the government selects the members.</p>	
6.0	WASTEWATER	
6.1	<p>Stephen Biswanger reviewed the handout "North End Water Pollution Control Centre Wastewater Treatment Process Summary" and referenced a process schematic diagram. Mr. Biswanger also provided an oral presentation regarding the onsite wastewater pre-treatment.</p> <p>Mr. Biswanger indicated that according to the City of Winnipeg Sewer Bi-Law, all wastewater must be discharged to the City's sewer system.</p>	
6.2	<p>A member of the CAC was concerned about combined sewer overflows and the potential for wastewater from the plant to flow directly into the Red River.</p> <p>Mr. Biswanger indicated that the wastewater from the OlyWest plant will be routed through an interceptor pipe and sent to the North End Water Pollution Control Centre. The interceptor pipe is not connected to storm water pipes, therefore preventing combined sewer overflows.</p>	
6.3	<p>Mr. Biswanger will provide the CAC with the estimated discharge volume at a later date.</p>	Stephen
6.4	<p>Two members of the CAC indicated that the environmental issue with wastewater is not as big a concern now that this discussion was completed.</p> <p>Wastewater will be revisited at a later date.</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: May 9, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 5 of 5

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
7.0	NEXT MEETING	
7.1	<p>It was agreed that the next meeting will include discussions on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protein recycling • Onsite wastewater pre-treatment • Holding pens 	
7.2	John Donetz will distribute the materials for the Open House electronically for review by the CAC members prior to the next CAC meeting.	
7.3	The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday June 1, 2006 at 7:00 p.m. at the Earth Tech office located at 1000 Waverley Street.	
	<p>These minutes are in the writer's best interpretation of discussions held during the meeting. Please inform the writer of any noteworthy omissions or errors.</p> <hr/> <p>AW:nre</p>	

PROJECT NAME:	OlyWest EA	PAGE:	1 of 8
LOCATION:	Earth Tech 1000 Waverley Street	DATE:	June 5, 2006
DATE OF MEETING:	June 1, 2006	PROJECT NO.:	91511-10
		CONTRACT NO.:	

PRESENT:

John Donetz	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Stephen Biswanger	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Alison Weiss	. Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
Mission Gardens Resident	
Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce Representative	
Pleissis Road Resident	
Southland Park Resident	

PURPOSE: Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting #3
 Sheldon McLeod, St. Boniface Industrial Park Business Owner, Southland Park Representative. Local Church Representative, Windsor Park Resident, OlyWest Licensing Committee, Myron Paryniuk, EA

DISTRIBUTION TO ALL ABOVE AND: Website, Darryl Reid, Bidhu Jha

WRITTEN BY: Alison Weiss

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
1.0	APPROVAL OF RECORD OF CAC MEETING TWO	
1.1	The meeting record from CAC meeting #2 could not be approved without all of the CAC members present. The meeting record from CAC meeting #2 will be approved at the next meeting when the other members are present.	
2.0	REVIEW OF OPEN HOUSE	
2.1	Two members of the CAC indicated that they had attended the Open House on May 30, 2006. Stephen Biswanger reviewed the presentation boards presented at the Open House on May 30, 2006.	
2.2	During the review, questions and concerns regarding pork processing were raised. A member of the CAC wanted to know how the CO ₂ was generated onsite. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the CO ₂ is transported to the site by a supplier and will be stored onsite in a holding container. A question was raised about how many hogs are killed at one time. Mr. Biswanger responded that approximately 6-9 hogs would be killed at one time. A member of the CAC wanted to know if the hogs were dead after the CO ₂ . Mr. Biswanger indicated that the hogs were dead and that the hogs are bled using gravity. A member of the CAC wanted to know the approximate length of time between the CO ₂ and the organ harvesting. Mr. Biswanger replied that it takes about 10-20 minutes for the carcass to travel from the CO ₂ to the organ harvesting however the exact time will be confirmed at a later date.	Earth Tech

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 2 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>2.2 (Cont'd.)</p>	<p>A member of the CAC wanted to know that if a hog was not okay; did it get hauled off the chain? Mr. Biswanger replied that the entire carcass can be moved aside into a type of quarantine area.</p> <p>A question was raised concerning whether the carcasses are moved into a cooler after they are killed, to wait for people to process them. Mr. Biswanger replied that the cut shift starts before the kill shift. Mr. Donetz replied that the kill and cut are two different entities, with blue and white zones. The bulk of people work on the cutting/packaging process.</p>	
<p>2.3</p>	<p>During the review, questions and concerns regarding product shipping were raised.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked if all of the meat that will be sent out from the facility will be frozen? Mr. Biswanger responded that some gets frozen, while some will stay fresh.</p> <p>A question was asked concerning the amount that will be leaving for export. Mr. Biswanger replied that some will leave for export while some will be for Canadian markets.</p>	
<p>2.4</p>	<p>During the review, questions and concerns regarding the onsite protein recycling were raised. Concerns were brought up about both the odour and the recycling of the meal back into feed.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked if the protein contains minerals. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the protein meal contains minerals.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked why the serum from the blood processing was not used as a product and why it gets sent to the onsite wastewater treatment facility. The member also indicated that the serum from processing beef blood is used as a product. Mr. Biswanger was not sure why it was not being used here and will confirm this.</p> <p>A member of the CAC brought up the Winnipeg Rothsay Rendering Facility, and how it can be claimed that the proposed OlyWest facility will not smell like this facility. Mr. Biswanger replied that OlyWest will be using fresh meat, there would be no decomposition, it is a continuous process but shuts down daily and will operate with a couple of hours of lag time after the shift. Mr. Biswanger indicated that he was not sure if the Rothsay Facility was a batch or continuous process.</p> <p>A member asked that if Olymel doesn't own a protein recycling facility in Canada, how can OlyWest claim that it will not smell badly. Mr. Biswanger indicated that Olymel has been supplied with data from the supplier in the United States. John Donetz indicated that they have also visited similar facilities.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that as the protein recycling process is internal, the odours can be controlled. He also indicated that it did not matter if the meat being processed was fresh or rotten, there will still be an odour that would need to be controlled.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 3 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>2.4 (Cont'd.)</p>	<p>A member of the CAC indicated his opposition to the principle of the whole protein recycling option. The member indicated that he could understand that the carcass should not be wasted, however in light of knowledge of what happened in Europe we should learn from this. Mr. Donetz indicated that in Canada and the United States the practice of feeding recycled protein from hogs to other animals is still allowed. Mr. Donetz also indicated that currently the equivalent of mad cow disease has not been discovered in hogs.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked how much of the hog is used. Mr. Donetz indicated that about 98% of the hog is used while the remaining 2% is water. Mr. Biswanger replied that this mass balance still needs to be determined as part of the environmental assessment.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>
<p>2.5</p>	<p>A question was raised about washing the trucks once they are emptied. Mr. Biswanger replied that they would be washed onsite, although the location of the truck wash has not been determined and as such it is not indicated on the site plan.</p>	
<p>2.6</p>	<p>During the review questions and concerns about manure generation and disposal were raised.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked if the hogs that have not been fed for 12 hours prior to transport to the site can fill a BFI bin with manure, how much manure comes out of the intestines when they are squeezed and where does it go? Mr. Biswanger replied that the solid portion removed from the intestines is sent the manure storage bin and is disposed of with the manure from the holding facility.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that with the size of the facility and the amount of manure that will be generated, why hasn't the disposal method been researched and determined yet. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the method of manure disposal has not been determined (composting, landfill etc). It was then commented by another member of the CAC that if there is no economic value in manure, why not just dry it to reduce the volume before disposal.</p> <p>Mr. Biswanger commented that the Rothsay Rendering Facility dumps liquid at the landfill and that 1 BFI bin is not really that large a volume of waste for this type of industry. A member of the CAC asked if the Brady landfill was clay lined. Mr. Biswanger indicated that it was.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked why the manure is not being used as fertilizer and that in Quebec they spread it on corn fields.</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 5 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>2.8 (Cont'd.)</p>	<p>A member of the CAC wanted to know relatively how large 1 ML was. Stephen Biswanger indicated that 1 ML is the equivalent to 1 million liters. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the citizens of Winnipeg currently use 400-500 L of water per capita per day. To this, a comment was raised that the percentage of water used by OlyWest compared to the entire City was misleading. John Donetz indicated that the water requirement was another reason why OlyWest needed to be inside the City to be able to meet this demand.</p> <p>A discussion into why the plant has to be so large ensued. Mr. Donetz said that it was a case of cost vs. hog supply. Mr. Biswanger indicated that if OlyWest wanted to expand from processing 9,000 hogs per day, they would need to go through the environmental licensing again before they could expand.</p>	
<p>2.9</p>	<p>Questions and comments on the location and property were voiced.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked why OlyWest needed the extra 40 acres if they are not planning on expanding. Mr. Biswanger explained that they may expand the building. Mr. Donetz also indicated that some of the land was required to site the land drainage retention pond.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that people who live near the proposed site don't care about zoning and if it is zoned M3 or not. Mr. Biswanger commented that some zoning around the site was industrial and also residential. The distance to residential property will need to be addressed in the environmental assessment.</p>	
<p>2.10</p>	<p>More comments and questions were raised about the wastewater and water for the proposed facility.</p> <p>Was the \$280,000 for the sewer upgrade taken out of the budget? Mr. Biswanger replied that the line along Dugald will be left at 30 inches and if required will be upgraded at a later date.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked why the wastewater is sent to the NEWPCC. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the NEWPCC can deal with the sludge and nitrogen that will be generated at the proposed OlyWest facility. Another member raised a concern that the existing system at the NEWPCC does not currently remove nitrogen. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the nitrogen removal will increase with time at the NEWPCC towards nutrient reduction targets by 2016.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked what the length of the interceptor was. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the exact length was not known but we can provide that information. A concern was raised about if it will be a separate sewer (i.e. will there be potential for basement flooding during high rain events). Mr. Biswanger explained that the storm water does not enter the interceptor sewer.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 6 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
<p>2.10 (Cont'd.)</p>	<p>A concern was raised to the length of the sewer line and the historical problems with line breaks and leaks and as an example water main breaks. Mr. Biswanger explained that water mains are under pressure. For the interceptor sewer line, some of the line will be under pressure but the majority will act under gravity. Some problems that may be created are if the sewage is not moving fast enough, anaerobic conditions can occur which can create odour. Ongoing maintenance and sewer inspections will be required to determine if crumbling has the potential of occurring.</p> <p>A concern was raised that Winnipeg is not well known for maintaining infrastructure. Mr. Biswanger replied that there is a need to find out age and history of the interceptor sewer.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked if the pretreated wastewater would contain meat. Mr. Biswanger indicated that there will not be any meat in the pretreated wastewater. Mr. Donetz said that the pretreated wastewater would have a high nutrient load and that it is not considered potable. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the solids are removed by screens and precipitation in the pretreatment.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that plants like this are known for exceeding their license limits and that there is typically no enforcement. Mr. Biswanger explained that the City of Winnipeg has a sewer by-law that requires the OlyWest wastewater to be discharged to their sewer system if they are located within the City limits.</p> <p>In addition, a member of the CAC asked aside from the fines, what other penalties would there be to OlyWest for not meeting discharge requirements? Mr. Biswanger indicated that OlyWest will be charged an over-strength wastewater fee from the City of Winnipeg and that the fee is based on the volume and strength of the wastewater. Therefore the City will be monitoring the discharge wastewater to ensure that they are collecting the appropriate fees from OlyWest. Mr. Biswanger explained that Manitoba Conservation has the power to close down the operation however; Manitoba Conservation tends to take a cooperative approach with the business to solve the problem.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked that if the City is in the process of upgrading their operations won't it be too late as the upgrading will not be complete by the time OlyWest will be producing wastewater. Mr. Biswanger said that the OlyWest wastewater is expected to contain approximately 400 kg/d of phosphorous and that the City of Winnipeg has in their license to remove approximately 800 kg/d of phosphorus. To that it was commented that without OlyWest, the City is meeting its targets, but not removing as much as it could. Mr. Biswanger replied that OlyWest will have been told how much nutrients they are required to remove in their environmental license. If OlyWest did not exist, the City of Winnipeg would still remove 800 kg/d.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that NEWPCC upgrades are being negated by what OlyWest is putting in to the system. Mr. Biswanger commented that the City of Winnipeg is not the proponent.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked by how much is OlyWest loading this overloaded system. Mr. Biswanger commented that the City is required to maintain an environmental license. OlyWest coming online does not force the City to remove any more or any less nutrients.</p>	<p>Earth Tech</p>

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 7 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
2.11	<p>Comments were raised about the public involvement and the Open House in general.</p> <p>One member of the CAC commented that at the Open House there was not enough information to change a person's mind about the project. Mr. Biswanger commented that there was a high information expectation from the public for the first Open House; however the main purpose of the first Open House was to get comments and feedback from the public.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked what is going to be done to keep the public in the loop after the proposal stage. Mr. Donetz commented that after the proposal is completed Earth Tech would like to hold one final Open House to discuss the findings of the environmental assessment. After the proposal is submitted to Manitoba Conservation, it is placed on the public registry for comments from the public. The project will then go to CEC hearings where the public also has a chance to provide their comments.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that in Canada and North America, the public consultation process is an adversarial system. It was asked what we can do to cooperate with both groups to come to common solutions. It was suggested that Earth Tech meet with the Concerned Citizens Coalition (CCC). Mr. Donetz indicated that Earth Tech offered to meet with the CCC but the CCC declined because Earth Tech could not guarantee no odour from the facility.</p> <p>Comments then came up about an interview on CBC with Al Golden, questioning if the plant would stink. The answer that he was given was that the final analysis will have to determine how much odour is acceptable. A member of the CAC indicated that this is what people are upset about. Mr. Donetz responded by saying that guaranteeing zero odours is difficult, because it is sometimes difficult to determine who is the source of the odour.</p> <p>Mr. Donetz indicated that if people don't have faith in the odour control technology, regulations, Earth Tech, etc. they need to visit a plant themselves. To this a member replied that some people do have faith in the technology, they just need some way of measuring efficiency. Mr. Donetz replied that Earth Tech is doing odour modeling which will examine this.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that there is no smell at the facility in St. Joseph. Another member asked if they can turn the emission system off and on. Mr. Donetz said he didn't think so.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented about the Free Press article about the Red Deer facility, and that there is no odour problem there. The member indicated that he had information indicating that there was odour there. Mr. Donetz indicated that these sources may not be very objective. Mr. Donetz indicated that the odour modeling that Earth Tech will be completing will be peer reviewed and that the numbers will need to be defensible.</p> <p>At this point it was agreed that there would be more discussions about odour at a future meeting.</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: June 5, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 8 of 8

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
2.12	<p>Truck traffic and following the designated truck routes was discussed.</p> <p>A member of the CAC asked if you can guarantee that trucks will not go down Bourmais Drive. Mr. Donetz indicated that OlyWest will be strict with the traffic routes.</p> <p>It was then asked if Plessis Rd. was going to be upgraded and the member indicated that it is currently too narrow. Mr. Biswanger replied that the transport engineers are studying this.</p>	
2.13	<p>Comments then arose on public opinion and OlyWest's presence at the open house.</p> <p>A member of the CAC indicated that the Open House presentation material could have been more detailed however was pleased to see that OlyWest and Olymel representatives attended.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that Earth Tech is being paid by OlyWest and that may limit the information that is provided at the CAC meetings and that an expert should be invited to join the CAC. Mr. Biswanger commented that Earth Tech may bring in a specialist or expert for certain topics as a guest, however the CAC is not meant to be a debate of experts.</p> <p>A member of the CAC commented that during the formation of the CAC, the OlyOpp group had not been formed yet and that it would be in OlyWest's best interests to bring them into the CAC as a member. A member commented that the Chamber of Commerce has met with the OlyOpp group as a neutral party.</p>	
3.0	<p>NEXT MEETING</p>	
3.1	<p>It was proposed that the next CAC meeting should be held in the last week of June. The date will be arranged and confirmed through email.</p>	
3.2	<p>It was agreed to wait for a meeting when the whole group is in attendance to discuss adding people to the CAC and the record of the minutes.</p>	
	<p>These minutes are in the writer's best interpretation of discussions held during the meeting. Please inform the writer of any noteworthy omissions or errors.</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Alison Weiss, E.I.T.</p> <p>AW:sjm</p>	

PROJECT NAME:	OlyWest EA	PAGE:	1 of 7
LOCATION:	A local church	DATE:	July 20, 2006
DATE OF MEETING:	July 18, 2006	PROJECT NO.:	91511-10
		CONTRACT NO.:	

PRESENT:	Stephen Biswanger	- Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
	Alison Weiss	- Earth Tech (Canada) Inc.
	Sheldon McLeod	- SLMcLeod Consulting
	Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce Representative	
	Local Church Representative	
	Southland Park Representative	

PURPOSE: Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting #4

DISTRIBUTION TO ALL ABOVE AND: OlyWest Licensing Committee, Myron Paryniuk, EA Website, Darryl Reid, Bidhu Jha

WRITTEN BY: Alison Weiss

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
1.0	APPROVAL OF AGENDA & RECORD OF MEETING THREE	
1.1	Sheldon McLeod reviewed the meeting agenda. It was agreed that the meeting could proceed without changes to the agenda.	
1.2	The Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce Representative was present at CAC meeting #3 and indicated that no errors or omissions were noticed in the minutes.	
1.3	It was agreed that Sheldon McLeod can email and contact the CAC members present at meeting #3 to approve the minutes. It was agreed that meeting minutes can be approved by email and that a meeting is not required to approve the minutes. The CAC Operational Procedures will be updated to reflect this change.	
2.0	UPDATE ON ODOUR ANALYSIS	
2.1	Stephen Biswanger indicated that Earth Tech has undertaken some odour sampling and analysis however the odour modeling is not complete as of yet. Samples have been collected from a processing plant in Quebec that is very similar in terms of barn design and wastewater treatment to the proposed OlyWest facility. Samples have also been collected from a truck destined for Brandon. The travel time, truck design and number of hogs was typical of what is expected for OlyWest. It was very important for the odour modeling that the samples be representative of what is planned for the OlyWest facility.	
2.2	Mr. Biswanger indicated that the odour samples are analyzed by a panel of assessors in a laboratory. The samples are collected using a vacuum chamber and a Tedlar bag. The laboratory dilutes the odorous sample using odour free air and presents the diluted air to the panel. The number of dilutions required before the panelist can smell the odour is used to determine the odour concentration (odour units).	
2.3	Earth Tech is having some difficulty getting information on odour emissions from protein recycling facilities as OlyWest does not currently own this type of facility. Earth Tech will likely use data from the air emission control manufacturer on the protein recycling aspect of the plant to conduct the odour modeling.	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 2 of 7

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
2.4	Mr. Biswanger indicated that at the plant in Quebec he visited with Alison Weiss, the odours were not significant and that they were very faint. At approximately 300 m downwind from the plant there was no odour from the plant.	
2.5	Mr. Biswanger also indicated that he had visited with Alison Weiss a rendering facility in Quebec for hog and poultry. He indicated that there were noticeable odours on the site although the facility could not be considered directly representative of what is proposed for the Olywest site.	
2.6	Mr. Biswanger indicated that the odour modeling will bring together several sources and their emission points. The odour modeling can predict first high peaks which are predicted for a grid of receptors surrounding the plant. The model uses weather data from Winnipeg and is very conservative. The modeling will be completed for a 5 km radius around the plant.	
2.7	A member of the CAC indicated that the odour modeling should compare the odour unit to something meaningful. This would allow the community to compare the results to something that they can understand. The CAC member indicated that if the correct conditions occur you can measure the odours at certain street corners in Winnipeg to give people comparison data. A member of the CAC suggested that a scale could possibly be used to determine what odour concentration numbers are offensive.	
2.8	A member of the CAC asked if temperature and humidity affected smell. Mr. Biswanger indicated that it affects odour movement. Mr. Biswanger equated an odour plume to a liquid and that things like weather and topography can affect dispersion.	
2.9	Sheldon McLeod asked if there were any other questions regarding odour that could be addressed at the next CAC meeting.	
2.10	Sheldon McLeod indicated that a member of the CAC who was not able to attend this meeting but who will be in attendance at the next meeting wanted to know if it is possible for a odour plume to rise into the atmosphere, travel a distance and come down to receptors at a later point. Mr. Biswanger indicated that this was possible and depends on atmospheric conditions as well as temperature of the gas when it is emitted, stack height and exit flow rate.	
2.11	A member of the CAC asked if Earth Tech was able to collect samples from the protein recycling facility at the Triumph facility. Mr. Biswanger indicated that Earth Tech was unable to visit this location and collect samples.	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 3 of 7

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
2.12	<p>A CAC member indicated that he had recently visited the St. Joseph Triumph facility. He indicated that in the area surrounding the plant it was very industrialized with a lot of other odour sources such as stockyards, a sewage treatment plant and a tannery. The other odour sources made it difficult to determine if the Triumph plant actually smelled badly or not. The CAC member indicated that he had also been granted a tour of the facility and found that it was very clean inside. The CAC member indicated that it was about 106 °F and 75% humidity during his visit. The CAC member indicated that he had spoken to the closest residents approximately a mile away from the Triumph site. The CAC member indicated that the residents said that the area smelled before the Triumph plant was built and that after the plant was built it was difficult to determine if the smell in the area increased.</p> <p>The CAC member indicated that he was disappointed in his visit as he had heard that this plant was supposed to be very similar to the OlyWest facility, however due to the environmental setting he was unable to determine if there were odour impacts due to the facility or not. The CAC member indicated that he would like OlyWest to suggest a facility that he could visit that would represent both the proposed plant as well as the environmental setting. Stephen Biswanger indicated that he would ask OlyWest this question on behalf of the CAC member.</p>	Stephen Biswanger
2.13	<p>Another CAC member indicated that he had also visited the Triumph facility and that during his visit there was not a significant amount of smell coming from these other sources; however he also indicated that his visit was in the winter months. The CAC member also indicated that at a distance of approximately 50 paces from the plant the odour from the protein recycling facility was not noticeable.</p>	
2.14	<p>A member of the CAC asked how similar the Brandon facility was to the OlyWest facility in terms of odours. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the Brandon facility is not equipped with a protein recycling facility and does onsite wastewater treatment prior to discharging directly to the river. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the wastewater treatment is dedicated to the Maple Leaf facility and that this type of treatment (anaerobic) generates biogas that can be odourous. As OlyWest is pre-treating its wastewater onsite aerobically and sending the pretreated wastewater to the City of Winnipeg North End plant, the wastewater odours would not be present on the site in Winnipeg as they are in Brandon.</p>	
3.0	GROUNDWATER AND AQUEDUCT	
3.1	<p>Stephen Biswanger reviewed the figure showing groundwater use in the vicinity of the proposed OlyWest facility. The figure indicated the number of registered wells within a 3 km radius of the site, their approximate location and the registered well use. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the registered well logs are provided by the Province as a searchable database. Mr. Biswanger indicated that two searches were completed, one for registered wells within a 1.5 km radius and a second search for a 3 km radius. Mr. Biswanger indicated that some of the wells are actually test holes and industrial wells and are not used for providing potable water. Mr. Biswanger indicated that within 1.5 km of the site there are 8 wells that are registered for domestic use and that within 3 km of the site there are 125 wells registered for domestic use.</p>	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 4 of 7

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
3.2	A member of the CAC asked how will OlyWest impact groundwater if there are wells in the area? Mr. Biswanger presented a second figure which was a geotechnical cross section for the soils in the vicinity of the proposed OlyWest site. Mr. Biswanger indicated that there is a significant amount of clay and till above the groundwater that people are drawing drinking water from. Mr. Biswanger indicated that water doesn't flow well through clay and till. The figure indicated that the wells have solid pipe through the clay and till and that the screened portion of their wells are in the limestone underlying the clay and till.	
3.3	Mr. Biswanger indicated on the figure the presence of the City of Winnipeg aqueduct. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the aqueduct carries drinking water from Shoal Lake to the City of Winnipeg where it is distributed to residents through a network of pipes. Mr. Biswanger indicated that OlyWest will not connect directly to the aqueduct for the water required for their operations; however they will connect to the existing distribution network like everyone in Winnipeg. Mr. Biswanger indicated that people have raised concerns about the possibility of OlyWest contaminating the aqueduct. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the aqueduct is operating under a surcharge and as such water flows out of the aqueduct, not in. The aqueduct is also equipped with an under drain which functions similar to weeping tile that is used to prevent water from entering the aqueduct trench. Mr. Biswanger indicated that there are a lot of specific requirements for water and wastewater lines that will be crossing the aqueduct. Sewer pipes must be double encased and must cross under the aqueduct at least 1 m below the bottom of the aqueduct and must also be below any water lines.	
3.4	A member of the CAC indicated asked if there is rain, is there a possibility of contaminating wells surrounding the site? Mr. Biswanger indicated that the site will be drained to a storm water retention pond that will be sized for two consecutive 1/25 year storm events. The storm water retention pond will be typical of other storm water ponds in Winnipeg. All sanitation and pretreated wastewater are not outdoors and are discharged to an interceptor sewer which is separate from land drainage sewers. The interceptor sewer takes the pretreated wastewater to the City of Winnipeg North End plant for final treatment.	
3.5	A CAC member asked how the storm water pond works. Mr. Biswanger indicated that runoff from the roof and paved/compacted areas will flow by gravity to this pond. A CAC member asked what was the square footage of the parking lot and the building. Mr. Biswanger indicated he would provide the exact numbers at a later date.	Stephen Biswanger
3.6	A CAC member asked how the wells would be protected and is it only that the liquid pollutants were not outside of the building? Mr. Biswanger indicated that part of the answer is that the pollutants are not outside of the building but that there is also thick clay and till layers protecting the groundwater wells in the area. Mr. Biswanger indicated similar facilities in some cases also have to implement groundwater monitoring programs.	
3.7	A member of the CAC asked if OlyWest was putting in their own groundwater well. Mr. Biswanger indicated that they would not put in a well for drinking water but they may use a well for air conditioning, however this needs to be verified with OlyWest.	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 5 of 7

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
3.8	Mr. Biswanger also explained that in dry conditions, clay soils can crack. However in reviewing the geotechnical cross section, the clay is deep enough that even extremely deep cracks would not contaminate deeper layers. Further as water flows into the cracks, it would cause the cracks to close.	
3.9	A CAC member asked about the offloading of hogs and potential for contaminants. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the unloading area would be equipped with a trench and sump pit which would convey the water from this area to the onsite wastewater treatment facilities. Later confirmation with Olywest personnel indicate that this water bypasses the pretreatment system but is directed to the interceptor sewer along with the sanitary wastewater and truck wash water from the plant.	
3.10	A CAC member asked about the potential for sewer back ups in the area. Mr. Biswanger showed a figure with the interceptor pipe routing to the City of Winnipeg North End plant. Mr. Biswanger indicated that as the interceptor sewer is not connected to land drainage sewers, it is unlikely that combined sewer overflows and back-ups will occur as often. A member of the CAC asked how much water would OlyWest be sending down the interceptor sewer compared to the quantity of wastewater currently in the interceptor sewer. Mr. Biswanger indicated that he would need to get back to this member with the exact figure.	Stephen Biswanger
4.0	OPEN HOUSE	
4.1	Mr. Biswanger indicated that the second Open House is planned for the second or third week of August. The second Open House will state whether the effects of the proposed OlyWest facility are significant or insignificant. Currently it is planned that the Environmental Assessment (EA) report will be submitted prior to the second open house and prior to the next CAC meeting.	
4.2	All three CAC members present indicated concern that they would not know the results of the odour modeling before the EA report is submitted and that this is a very important issue for all of them. The CAC members expressed concerns that they have devoted time to the CAC and that their comments on odour would not be considered before the EA report is submitted. The CAC members indicated that they would like to know the results of the odour modeling before the general public and it would be acceptable for them to review the results in an email as long as it was prior to public release.	
4.3	Mr. Biswanger indicated that he would discuss this with OlyWest to determine if the CAC could be given a preview of the odour modeling results prior to the EA report submission.	Stephen Biswanger

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 6 of 7

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION BY
4.4	Mr. Biswanger asked if anyone had any suggestions for alternative locations for Open House #2. A member of the CAC suggested the Transcona Country Club. Mr. Biswanger indicated that this location was considered, however was significantly more expensive than the Oxford Height Community Centre also the Transcona Country Club was not located in a residential area. A member of the CAC indicated that the Windsor Park Inn may be a suitable location. Earth Tech will contact the Windsor Park Inn to determine if this venue is suitable. Another problem Earth Tech had in determining a location for the Open House was that as Earth Tech is not a non-profit group, schools and gyms are not available to them for renting. Earth Tech also had security and layout considerations for the Open House that the venue required.	
4.5	Mr. Biswanger asked if there were any suggestions regarding the timing of the Open House. The members of the CAC indicated that the 9:30 a.m. to 9:30 p.m. time was okay, however if the next Open House is held in August, OlyWest will be criticized of holding the Open House when people are away on holidays and are not available. Mr. Biswanger indicated that the CEC hearings are another way for the public to come forward to express their concerns however he would also express these concerns to OlyWest regarding the timing of the second Open House.	
5.0	OTHER ISSUES AND QUESTIONS RAISED BY MEMBERS	
5.1	The members of the CAC wanted clarification on the purpose of the CAC and if a report or specific recommendations were going to be made at the end of this process. Mr. McLeod indicated that the purpose of the group was to get information and raise concerns about the project and have their concerns heard by OlyWest. Sheldon McLeod indicated that the closing of the CAC is open ended, and that if the CAC can come to some agreements they can officially submit a report or some recommendations to Earth Tech and OlyWest.	
5.2	Mr. Biswanger indicated that the meeting minutes are also a part of the EA submission and that the record shows what issues are raised and what was addressed in the meetings.	
5.3	It was agreed that after the next meeting discussing odour, the CAC would possibly like to meet with OlyWest to express their opinions and so that they can make sure that OlyWest is aware of their issues. Mr. McLeod indicated that the record of the meeting with OlyWest will be recorded and will be posted on the EA website. Mr. McLeod asked if they required several representatives from OlyWest or if it was okay if Mr. Baudry spoke on OlyWest's behalf. The CAC members agreed that Mr. Baudry can speak on behalf of OlyWest at the meeting.	

PROJECT NO.: 91511

DATE: July 20, 2006

CONTRACT NO.:

PAGE: 7 of 7

6.0	NEXT MEETING The next CAC meeting will be held on August 9, 2006 at 1000 Waverley Street and will discuss odour.	
	<p> These minutes are in the writer's best interpretation of discussions held during the meeting. Please inform the writer of any noteworthy omissions or errors. </p> <hr/> Alison Weiss, E.I.T. AW:	

OlyWest Project Website Comments Summary from launch to August 2, 2006

Total number of people who have sent in comments..... 58

Issues and number of hits:

- **Traffic** total 3
 - 1 Not safe route (only access for some residencies, slippery, children play near road)
 - 1 If infrastructure is in place why are taxpayers spending 15 million to upgrade infrastructure
 - 1 General traffic concern expressed

- **Odour** total 16
 - 1 I don't know why people are complaining about this smell when the smell from the Mushroom factory is there and located in a residential area (no fight to get it moved)
 - 1 Odours only noticeable to workers
 - 1 New ways have been improving odour control in barns
 - 1 I don't think the plant smell would be much different than the Mushroom plant
 - 2 Wants 100% guarantee no odour (all conditions)
 - 1 Wants to know how OlyWest can guarantee no smell
 - 1 Wants OlyWest to guarantee no odour and clean up other plants smells
 - 1 1.5 km buffer not enough
 - 1 Explain which end of a food group stinks
 - 1 Wants the plant to control/ eliminate odours
 - 1 Any assurances about odour from hogs in transit or waiting for processing
 - 1 How is smell from plant and trucks controlled
 - 1 Plant will smell
 - 1 Company offering services for odour control
 - 1 General odour concern expressed

- **Water Quality** total 10
 - 7 **Water**
 - 1 No water contamination will occur
 - 2 People are still on wells in area
 - 1 Is this factored into plan
 - 1 Discharge of sewage can lead to ground water and drinking water contamination
 - 1 What is the plan to control surface water runoff

- 1 What is the plan to protect ground water
- 1 How can you assure ground water or river water will not be affected (is assessment based on max capacity of plant)
- 1 4.5 trillion liters of water is insane

3 Wastewater

- 1 Waste materials should have a proper treatment facility before discharge into a water body
- 1 Why would OlyWest be allowed to send sewage to facilities when ET advised them not to take sewage, how does the city dumping raw sewage into the river during storms compare to OlyWest wastewater being dumped daily
- 1 What happens with sewer backups and sewage from facility ends up in peoples' basements

o Location total 20

- 2 Keep in industrial park
 - 1 Good area for trucks, and let people move as the workers could move closer to the job if they want
 - 1 People should have thought about the industrial park before they moved in to the area
- 2 I want you to move your plant to my location
 - 1 in RM of Mountain
 - 1 in Tuxedo – land, space, sewage facilities, highways
- 5 Should not be inside the city limits
 - 1 quality of life and environment effects
 - 1 water and sewage threat, medical costs, lifestyle and outside activity threats, home value and tourism threats
 - 1 provide shuttle for employees and locate outside city limits
- 2 Move away from residential areas
- 2 Too close to residential communities
- 2 Move it because of the smell
 - 1 Move it to the outskirts of the city – no trucking through city, no odour drifting into city
 - 1 Move to town that's for sale near Winnipeg – only 5 people there, no smell to Winnipegers
 - 1 Move out of North America
 - 1 If want sewer to go to NEWPCC, then move the plant closer to it (less of a chance of pipes leaking or breaking, sewage travels less distance)
 - 1 Guy Beaudry committed to me that the other locations within the city of Winnipeg considered by Olywest for the plant site would be made public. Please keep your promise and publish the locations.

o Labour Force total 10

- 4 I would like to work for you
 - 1 Electronics specialist
 - 1 I wouldn't mind a job there
 - 1 I would love to work for OlyWest
 - 1 How do I apply for a job
- 2 Think of all the jobs with decent wages
 - 1 Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs are interested in a partnership to assist the new initiative with the labour force requirements
 - 2 Winnipeg has a labour shortage in processing plants
 - 1 New plant will lower available personnel
 - 1 Industry require people from other countries to work
 - 1 Wages from 9-17.hr (low)

o **General Comments** total 30

5 Website Comments

- 1 Bad website – no clue on what trying to convey
- 1 Manitoba Conservation link is not sufficient – need to show in text of website how to find info out
- 1 Need to include OlyWest press releases on website
- 1 More professional to provide link to public registry for OlyWest project, subject listing is inadequate and doesn't relate to the standards in the Scopings document
- 1 Is there another site for the questionnaire

3 Open House Comments

- 1 OlyWest was evasive and uncaring (open house)
- 1 OlyWest giving conflicting answers on odour
- 1 I toured the open house, though our concerns were not addressed, though I appreciate the attempt at providing info
- 2 Property Value
 - 1 Provide all facts about trip to St. Joseph – 1 month running, 10% capacity
 - 1 Delivery of animals – how many daily, mode of transport for hogs, where will hogs be housed, how many hogs housed at a given time,
 - 1 CAC – done by ET, wants to know about the people, are they there to say 'you have nothing to fear'
 - 1 Hate radio ads – comparing creation of Forks, MTS Centre, Floodway to plant with low paying jobs and odour is ludicrous
 - 1 What did OlyWest promise to get councilors in favor of vote
 - 1 Proximity to aqueduct
 - 1 South winds
 - 1 Noise from trucks and penned pigs
 - 1 Why done behind close doors, why city sell property for \$1.
 - 1 What about the Industrial Park wildlife
 - 1 Point of misinformation – Smithfield Plant is 11km from Wilson Plant

(not next door), and we've (Vita Health) have always maintained a distance of 5km from our business and residential areas.

- 1 I'm a grade 10 student doing a project and I would like an experts opinion on some questions:
 - Q1 Why do you think OlyWest are so set on building the plant in Winnipeg? Why would they choose St. Boniface in particular yet no other area around or outside the city is of interest to OlyWest?
 - Q2 What is this technology that 'guarantees' no odours and just how much would this technology cost? How can you promise no bad effects to the environment??
- 1 Please provide details about intervenor funding that OlyWest is providing the Province of Manitoba to be distributed out
- 1 Would OlyWest be able to be our (Water Caucus) guests and provide a brief presentation and answer questions in regard to the proposal
- 1 Advertising garbage, foist lies on a smaller community
- 1 I think the city and OlyWest should compensate homeowners with tax cuts or other breaks
- 1 Where will the sewage lagoons be located
- 1 Hurst Boilers, we suggest that Hurst be considered for your power plant requirements
- 1 Where are the minutes from the last CAC meeting?
- 1 I am totally against factory farming and if I purchase your products I will be contributing to animal abuse.

o **General Supportive Comments** **total 9**

- 2 Winnipeg takes time to accept changes
 - 1 We complain for a while then we accept it and try to show it off
 - 1 Winnipeg just takes time to accept changes
- 1 All measures OlyWest is undertaking for environmental and citizen safety equal or surpass current operating producers, I've worked 1st hand
- 1 Plant will provide more growth for Winnipeg
- 1 I think we need this as so many industries are going to Alberta
- 1 I welcome the plant and see it as a tremendous opportunity for Winnipeg and OlyWest
- 1 With all the research and planning the public can feel secure in OlyWest's bid to provide a safe, environmentally sound project
- 1 I support the plant
- 1 I think OlyWest opening a plant in Winnipeg is a fantastic idea