

Manitoba Conservation

DISRAELI BRIDGES PROJECT
Environmental Impact Statement

Submitted By: The City of Winnipeg
August, 2010



Report to:

MANITOBA CONSERVATION

**Disraeli Bridges Project
Environment Act License Proposal
and Environmental Impact Statement**

Document No. 1000070301-REP-V0004-00





PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT • SERVICE DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

Engineering Division • Division de l'ingénierie

August 13, 2010

COURIER

Manitoba Conservation
Environmental Assessment and Licensing Branch
123 Main Street, Suite 160
Winnipeg, MB R3C 1A5

Attention: Mr. Bruce Webb, P. Eng.
Water Development & Control Assessment Officer

Dear Mr. Webb:

RE: DISRAELI BRIDGES PROJECT – ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Please find enclosed 10 electronic copies (CD) and 23 hardcopies (paper) of the Environment Act License Proposal/Environmental Impact Statement for the City of Winnipeg's Disraeli Bridges Project.

Yours truly,

Bill Ebenspanger, P. Eng.
Bridge Design & Project Engineer

BE/kar

Attach.

Embrace the spirit • Vivez l'esprit

106 – 1155 Pacific Avenue • 1155, avenue Pacific, bureau 106 • Winnipeg • Manitoba • R3E 3P1
Fax/télé. 204-986-5302 • www.winnipeg.ca

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Bridge Design	Wardrop Engineering Inc.	Rick Haldane-Wilson, P.Eng.
Bridge Construction & Operation	PCL Constructors Canada Inc.	Monique Buckberger, P.Eng.
Existing Contaminants	Wardrop Engineering Inc.	Andrew Eason, P.Eng.
Hydrology	Bruce Harding Consulting	Bruce Harding, P.Eng.
Existing Environment & Impact Assessment	Wardrop Engineering Inc.	Dave Tyson, M.Sc., R.P.Bio Doug Ramsey, M.Sc., R.P.Bio. Mike Ryan, Ph.D Lyndsey MacBride, M.Sc., P.Geo. Jennifer Wilson, H.B.Sc., R.P.F.

Public engagement summary reports compiled for this document were prepared for the City of Winnipeg by Susan Freig and Associates and Marr Consulting. Other reports prepared for the City have been cited throughout the document.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

The Disraeli Freeway was completed in 1960 to connect downtown Winnipeg and the northeast area of the city. The freeway is a vital transportation corridor that consists of level roads, an overpass, and a bridge over the Red River. After 50 years, the bridge and overpass have reached the end of their service life and will require replacement. The City conducted an extensive consultation process to solicit public input on concepts to reconstruct the existing river bridge. During consultation, the City worked closely with a key Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC), which included representation from area residents, businesses, and city-wide organizations to review design concepts.

City Council approved the procurement process for the Disraeli Bridges Project (the Project) to be a public-private partnership/design-build-finance-maintain (P3/DBFM) model for a refurbishment of the existing structures or new construction. Following a competitive process, the City selected Plenary Roads Winnipeg (PRW) as the preferred proponent. The PRW team includes Plenary Group, PCL Constructors Canada Ltd. (PCL), Wardrop Engineering Inc. (Wardrop), and Stantec Engineering (Stantec).

PROJECT COMPONENTS

The Disraeli Bridges Project is comprised of on-land and in-water works to construct a new river bridge over the Red River. A primary design consideration for the Project is to ensure that traffic is maintained during construction and avoid the 18 month crossing closure that would be required for the reconstruction of the existing bridge for vehicle traffic. The Project work plan is to construct the new river bridge immediately adjacent to the existing crossing and to route traffic to the new structure prior to the reconstruction of the existing Disraeli Bridge.

The Project design took into consideration the historic soil and sediment contamination at the project site on the west bank of the Red River. Preventative measures were designed into the Project to contain and remove any contaminate soil and sediment disturbed as a result of the Project works. Mitigation measures were also designed into the Project to ensure the Project will have no residual effects on the historic contamination.

SCOPE AND APPROACH

The Canada-Manitoba Agreement on Environmental Assessment Coordination provides for a harmonized environmental assessment (EA) process therefore an environmental assessment scoping document (EASD) was submitted to Manitoba Conservation on



2 March, 2010 for review by provincial and federal working groups. The Project scope outlined in the EASD focused on the proposed new river bridge, as the permitting triggers for the provincial and federal EA processes involve only the new river bridge. The scope presented in the EASD was found to be sufficient to proceed with the provincial EA process however due recent changes in federal project scoping all works associated with a project, regardless of whether permits are required, are required to be included in the scope of the federal EA. The intent of this environmental impact statement (EIS) is to fulfill the EA requirements for a review under the Manitoba *Environment Act*. A separate EIS as scoped in the EASD was submitted to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency for the federal EA process.

The EIS examines the effects of the Project on the physical, terrestrial, aquatic, and human environments within the project site, the local study area, and the region.

DOCUMENT CONTENTS

This EIS has been organized under the following main headings:

Section 1: Introduction - Provides a general overview of the Project, information about the City of Winnipeg, background, the need for the Project, the regulatory setting and the proposed Project schedule.

Section 2: Scope of Assessment - Describes the spatial boundaries and temporal boundaries considered in the EIA as well as the impact assessment approach.

Section 3: Public Engagement - Provides an overview of the public involvement activities undertaken by the City of Winnipeg for the Disraeli Bridges Project and ongoing community relations.

Section 4: Assessment of Alternatives - Provides a description of the various alternative concepts considered during the development of the proposed Project.

Section 5: Project Description - Provides a detailed overview the Project including the Project components, construction procedures, schedule, maintenance, and integrated mitigation measures.

Section 6: Existing Environment - Provides an overview of existing environmental characteristics of the Project site and surrounding area.

Section 7: Effects Assessment, Mitigation and Monitoring - Describes potential Project-related effects on the physical, terrestrial, aquatic, and human environments, the significance of those effects. Also describes proposed mitigation measures and monitoring procedures during construction and performance monitoring procedures following construction.



EFFECTS ASSESSMENT, MITIGATION, AND MONITORING

A detailed list of the potential environmental effects of the Project during construction is presented in Table E.1. Potential effects are listed by type and source and include the mitigation measures. A detailed list of the potential environmental effects of the Project during operation is presented in Table E.2.

SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECTS

All potential effects are mitigated through preventative measures designed into the Project or have minor residual effects.

Table E.1 Summary of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Disraeli Bridges Project during Construction

Adverse Effect	Source	Mitigation	Monitoring/Follow up	Adverse Residual Effects/ Cumulative Effects
Removal of riparian vegetation	Clearing right-of-way for new bridge construction; river access for pier construction	Minimize area of access locations, install and maintain sediment control measures, re-establish vegetation cover; adhere to Health, Safety and Environment Plan (HSEP)	On-site monitoring; sediment control measures and re-vegetation works will be regularly monitored until vegetation re-established	None
Soil and riverbank erosion; riverbank stability	Equipment operation on riverbanks, river access for pier construction;	Minimize work area footprint; install and maintain sediment control measures, re-establish vegetation cover; adhere to HSEP; review and Waterway Permit by Planning, Property and Development	On-site monitoring; sediment control measures and re-vegetation works will be regularly monitored until vegetation re-established; HSEP guidelines; Waterway Permit conditions	None
Loss of fish habitat	Substructure footprint of river piers SU5, SU6, SU7	Pier armouring for SU6 and SU7 exceeds the combined habitat loss of the substructure footprints of SU5, SU6, and SU7; pier armour provides structure and enhances habitat diversity	Non	None
Disruption of fish habitat	Temporary work bridges will cover benthic invertebrates	Works limited to late fall/winter only and work bridges removed before spring freshet	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines; MB Hydro Comprehensive Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	None
Alteration of fish habitat	Permanent rock placement at piers SU5, SU6, SU7 will alter substrate for benthic invertebrates	Works limited to late fall/winter only and work bridges removed before spring freshet	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines; MB Hydro CEMP	None
Disruption of fish passage	Temporary work bridges confine river flow to a narrow channel with increased velocities	Works limited to late fall/winter only and work bridges removed before spring freshet	River flow monitored daily while work bridges in river channel	None
Reduction in habitat quality	Temporary work bridges confine river flow to a narrow channel with increased velocities and may cause scouring and transport of sediment	Place 30 mm gravel layer in channel if flow exceeds 154 m ³ /s	River flow monitored daily while work bridges in river channel	None
Reduction in habitat quality	Construction and removal of temporary work bridges will disturb riverbed and re-suspend sediment, construction of river pier SU5	Temporary work bridges works limited to late fall/winter only and removed before spring freshet; armour SU5 work area with clean rock start works 15 June	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Reduction in habitat quality	Construction of pier SU5; installation and removal of west temporary work bridge	Place rock pad over work areas on bank and around pier SU5 to prevent disturbance of soil/sediment; place filter fabric on riverbed prior to rock placement; leave rock layer on riverbed; Remedial Action Plan; HSEP	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Risk of water quality degradation	River pier substructure construction through historic contaminants in riverbed; contaminated porewater displacement by west temporary work bridge; potential spills from equipment and construction material	In-stream works limited to winter months; primary and secondary containment for caisson installation; containment and removal of water and sediment to shore for assessment and treatment; caisson remedial action plan (RAP); HSEP	On-site monitoring; caisson RAP; HSEP guidelines	None

Adverse Effect	Source	Mitigation	Monitoring/Follow up	Adverse Residual Effects/ Cumulative Effects
Soil and groundwater contamination due to spills and historic contamination	Equipment operation in project area, particularly on riverbanks and in channel; excavations and construction through historic contamination	Mitigation measures outlined in upland RAP and HSEP	On-site monitoring; notify Manitoba Conservation; MB Hydro CEMP groundwater monitoring	None
Groundwater/aquifer contamination due to historic contamination	Construction excavations; pier caissons installed through zone of historic contamination in soil and sediment	Primary containment prevents groundwater migration along outside of caissons; caissons filled with concrete to prevent groundwater movement inside caissons; mitigation measures as outlined in upland RAP and caisson RAP	On-site monitoring; upland RAP; caisson RAP; HSEP guidelines; MB Hydro CEMP groundwater monitoring	None
Temporary disturbance of wildlife	Construction activities including equipment operation and vegetation removal in work areas	Minimize area of vegetation removal; re-establish vegetation as soon as possible following construction	On-site monitoring during construction and until vegetation re-established	None
Loss of vegetation and wildlife habitat	Clearing right-of-way for new bridge construction; river access for pier construction	Re-establish similar plant species as soon as possible following construction	On-site monitoring during construction and until vegetation re-established	Permanent loss vegetation; some will be replaced with similar vegetation
Disturbance of wildlife in the project area	Clearing right of way for new bridge construction; river access for pier construction	Clearing to be conducted in fall and winter to avoid disturbance of nesting birds. Disturbed areas will be re-planted following construction	On-site monitoring during construction and until vegetation re-established	None
Removal of bird habitat	Existing bridge and overpass provide nesting and roosting habitat for Rock Doves and other birds	New bridge, overpass, and AT bridge will increase available habitat	None	None
Public safety concerns	Construction staging and site activities	Fence work areas to prevent public access; ensure public awareness through communications	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Pedestrian/vehicle traffic affected by dust due to construction	Construction activities generating dust	Dampening work areas and cleaning streets of mud	On-site monitoring and responding to public concerns	None
Risk to construction worker safety at site	General construction activities	Adherence to Manitoba Workplace Safety and Health guidelines	On-site monitoring; inspection by Workplace Safety and Health	None
Residents/office workers/pedestrians affected by construction noise	General construction activities; driving piles and sheet piling; excavation activities	Schedule construction activities to minimize disruption to public; ensure public awareness through communications	On-site monitoring and responding to public concerns	None
Pedestrian access to the river and recreation	Work areas at project site will prevent access to the to the riverbanks for recreational users	Minimize disruption of pedestrian access over the Red River; HSEP controls types and timing of construction activities to minimize disruptions	On-site monitoring during construction phase	None
River use and navigability	Construction activities, pier and superstructure construction	Pier construction to occur in winter and after fall draw down; navigation channel to be maintained and marked	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines; River Patrol	None
Risk to pedestrian/vehicular safety	Construction activities and construction traffic	Traffic controls, workforce parking controls, signage, ensure public awareness through communications, HSEP	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Pedestrian /vehicular access	Bridge construction activities	Commission new bridge before decommissioning existing bridge, schedule lane closures during off-peak hours	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Service access, e.g. Winnipeg Transit	Construction activities restricting traffic flow	Communication, alternate routing, schedule lane closures for off-peak hours	Monitoring by respective services	None
Impact on local businesses and residences	Vibration from construction activities such as pile driving and heavy equipment operation	Minimize the use potentially damaging construction techniques; HSEP	Conduct photographic condition survey of area buildings prior to construction	None

Table E.2 Summary of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Disraeli Bridges Project during Operation

Adverse Effect	Source	Mitigation	Monitoring/Follow up	Adverse Residual Effects/ Cumulative Effects
Alteration of fish habitat	Pier alignment could change river flow, riverbank armour, pier armour, and west temporary work bridge pad will change substrate texture	Piers aligned with river flow, current shadows enhance habitat diversity, rock enhances substrate diversity	None	None
Reduction in habitat quality	Rock placed on riverbed and banks could change river flow causing scouring and re-suspension of finer sediments and contaminants.	East bank armouring above winter ice level notched into bank; rock placed on below winter ice level, on west bank, at west temporary work bridge pad, and around SU5 will be tapered into existing bank/bed profile to minimize flow turbulence.	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines; MB Hydro CEMP	None
Reduction in water and habitat quality	Bridge maintenance works	Conduct works from superstructure and install containment structures/decks to contain all materials generated by works; follow DFO Bridge Maintenance operational statement; adhere to HSEP	On-site monitoring; HSEP guidelines	None
Risk of soil/water contamination from spills of fuels and other materials	Accidental release of materials	Adhere to HSEP	Adhere to guidelines in HSEP	None
Human safety	Pedestrian and vehicle bridges and overpass	Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design; lighting; continued use of vehicle bridge by pedestrians; removable bollards for emergency access	Monitor incidents, traffic volume and composition	None



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROJECT OVERVIEW.....	1
1.2	PROJECT SCHEDULE.....	4
1.3	PROPONENT.....	4
1.4	PROJECT MANAGEMENT.....	6
1.5	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....	6
1.5.1	FEDERAL – PROVINCIAL COORDINATION.....	6
1.5.2	PROVINCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND PERMITTING.....	6
1.5.3	FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND PERMITTING.....	7
1.5.4	REGULATORY ENGAGEMENT.....	8
1.5.5	PROJECT RELATED APPROVALS.....	9
1.6	DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION.....	10
2.0	SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT	11
2.1	SCOPE OF PROJECT.....	11
2.2	SPATIAL BOUNDARIES.....	11
2.2.1	REGIONAL STUDY AREA.....	11
2.2.2	LOCAL STUDY AREA.....	11
2.2.3	PROJECT SITE.....	11
2.3	TEMPORAL BOUNDARIES.....	12
2.4	IMPACT ASSESSMENT APPROACH.....	16
2.4.1	FOCUS ON VALUED ECOSYSTEM COMPONENTS.....	16
2.4.2	MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS.....	18
2.5	DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE.....	18
2.6	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT.....	18
3.0	PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	20
3.1	OPEN HOUSES APRIL 13-14, 2010.....	21
4.0	ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES	24
4.1	PROJECT DEVELOPMENT.....	24
5.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	27
5.1	PROJECT SITE INFORMATION.....	27
5.1.1	EXISTING DISRAELI FREEWAY.....	27
5.1.2	HISTORIC CONTAMINATION.....	27
5.1.2.1	Background.....	27
5.1.2.2	Investigations.....	28
5.1.2.3	Comprehensive Environmental Management Plan.....	31
5.1.3	LAND OWNERSHIP AND LAND USE DESIGNATION.....	31

5.2	RIVER BRIDGE PROJECT COMPONENTS.....	32
5.2.1	OVERVIEW AND SCOPE	32
5.2.2	STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.....	34
5.2.3	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	34
5.2.4	NAVIGATIONAL CLEARANCES.....	34
5.2.5	CONTAMINATED SOIL AND SEDIMENT.....	35
5.2.6	MISCELLANEOUS UTILITY WORKS.....	35
5.2.7	DRAINAGE	35
5.2.8	AESTHETICS	36
5.2.9	LANDSCAPING.....	36
5.3	CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.....	36
5.3.1	PRECONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.....	36
5.3.1.1	Utilities and Service Providers.....	36
5.3.1.2	Site Surveys	37
5.3.1.3	Staging	37
5.3.2	BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION	38
5.3.2.1	Abutments and Land Piers	38
5.3.2.2	Pier SU5 Construction	39
5.3.2.3	Temporary Work Bridges.....	39
5.3.2.4	Piers SU6 and SU7 Construction	42
5.3.2.5	Superstructure	44
5.3.3	CONTAMINATED SOIL AND SEDIMENT.....	44
5.3.3.1	Soil Remedial Action Plan	44
5.3.3.2	Sediment Remedial Action Plan	45
5.3.4	SECURITY.....	49
5.3.5	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	49
5.3.5.1	Road Closures.....	50
5.3.5.2	Vehicle Traffic.....	50
5.3.6	NAVIGATION MANAGEMENT	50
5.3.6.1	Navigational Uses.....	50
5.3.6.2	Safety Markings.....	51
5.3.7	SAFETY	51
5.3.7.1	Safety Audit Plan.....	51
5.3.7.2	Signage	51
5.3.7.3	Traffic Safety During Construction.....	52
5.4	CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE.....	52
5.5	HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT PLAN	52
5.6	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	53
5.6.1	ROAD WEATHER INFORMATION SYSTEM	54
6.0	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	55
6.1	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	55
6.1.1	CLIMATE	55
6.1.2	AIR QUALITY.....	58
6.1.3	SOILS AND GEOLOGY.....	60
6.1.3.1	Contaminated Soils	60
6.1.4	HYDROGEOLOGY	66
6.1.4.1	Contaminated Groundwater	67

6.1.5	NOISE AND VIBRATION	68
6.2	TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT	68
6.2.1	VEGETATION AND HABITAT	68
6.2.2	WILDLIFE	71
6.2.3	TERRESTRIAL SPECIES OF CONCERN	74
6.3	AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT	74
6.3.1	HYDROLOGY	74
6.3.2	WATER QUALITY	76
6.3.3	SEDIMENT QUALITY	83
6.3.4	BENTHIC COMMUNITY	91
6.3.5	FISH COMMUNITY	95
6.3.6	FISH HABITAT	98
6.4	HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	102
6.4.1	REGIONAL ECONOMY	102
6.4.2	COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTERISTICS	103
6.4.2.1	Regional Study Area	103
6.4.2.2	Local Neighbourhoods	103
6.4.3	ROLE OF THE BRIDGE IN THE COMMUNITY	129
6.4.4	LAND USE	129
6.4.4.1	Existing Land Use	129
6.4.4.2	Planned Land Use	131
6.4.5	ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	131
6.4.6	ABORIGINAL INTERESTS	131
7.0	EFFECTS ASSESSMENT, MITIGATION AND MONITORING	133
7.1	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	133
7.1.1	AIR QUALITY	133
7.1.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	133
7.1.1.2	Residual Effects	134
7.1.2	NOISE AND VIBRATION	134
7.1.2.1	Effects and Mitigation	134
7.1.2.2	Residual Effects	135
7.1.3	SOIL CHEMISTRY AND QUALITY	135
7.1.3.1	Effects and Mitigation	135
7.1.3.2	Residual Effects	137
7.1.4	HYDROGEOLOGY	137
7.1.4.1	Effects and Mitigation	137
7.1.4.2	Residual Effects	139
7.2	TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT	139
7.2.1	VEGETATION	139
7.2.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	139
7.2.1.2	Residual Effects	141
7.2.2	WILDLIFE AND HABITAT	149
7.2.2.1	Effects and Mitigation	149
7.2.2.2	Residual Effects	149
7.3	AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT	150
7.3.1	SURFACE RUNOFF MANAGEMENT	150
7.3.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	150



	7.3.1.2	Residual Effects.....	150
7.3.2		SHORELINE AND RIVERBANK STABILITY	151
	7.3.2.1	Effects and Mitigation	151
	7.3.2.2	Residual Effects.....	151
7.3.3		SURFACE HYDROLOGY.....	152
	7.3.3.1	Effects and Mitigation	152
	7.3.3.2	Residual Effects.....	153
7.3.4		SURFACE WATER QUALITY.....	153
	7.3.4.1	Effects and Mitigation	153
	7.3.4.2	Residual Effects.....	154
7.3.5		SEDIMENT QUALITY.....	156
	7.3.5.1	Effects and Mitigation	156
	7.3.5.2	Residual Effects.....	156
7.3.6		BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES	157
	7.3.6.1	Effects and Mitigation	157
	7.3.6.2	Residual effects.....	158
7.3.7		FISH AND FISH HABITAT	158
	7.3.7.1	Effects and Mitigation	158
	7.3.7.2	Residual Effects.....	162
7.4		HUMAN ENVIRONMENT.....	163
7.4.1		HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	163
	7.4.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	164
	7.4.1.2	Residual Effects.....	166
7.4.2		EXISTING OR PLANNED LAND USE	166
	7.4.2.1	Effects and Mitigation	166
	7.4.2.2	Residual Effects.....	167
7.4.3		ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL BUSINESS	168
	7.4.3.1	Effects and Mitigation	168
	7.4.3.2	Residual Effects.....	168
7.4.4		VEHICLE USE AND TRAFFIC FLOW	168
	7.4.4.1	Effects and Mitigation	168
	7.4.4.2	Residual Effects.....	170
7.4.5		EMERGENCY SERVICES AND TRANSIT.....	171
	7.4.5.1	Effects and Mitigation	171
	7.4.5.2	Residual Effects.....	171
7.4.6		AESTHETICS	172
	7.4.6.1	Effects and Mitigation	172
	7.4.6.2	Residual Effects.....	172
7.4.7		RECREATION AND RIVER USE.....	173
	7.4.7.1	Effects and Mitigation	173
	7.4.7.2	Residual Effects.....	174
7.5		ACCIDENTAL RELEASE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	174
	7.5.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	174
	7.5.1.2	Residual Effects.....	174
7.6		EFFECT OF THE ENVIRONMENT ON THE PROJECT.....	175
7.6.1		CLIMATE CHANGE	175
	7.6.1.1	Effects and Mitigation	175



7.6.1.2	Residual Effects.....	176
7.7	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	176
7.8	MONITORING	177
7.8.1	SOIL CHEMISTRY AND QUALITY	178
7.8.2	HYDROGEOLOGY	178
7.8.3	SHORELINE AND RIVERBANK STABILITY	178
7.8.4	SURFACE WATER QUALITY.....	178
7.8.5	SEDIMENT QUALITY.....	179
7.8.6	ROADWAY AND BRIDGES MAINTENANCE & INSPECTION	180
8.0	REFERENCES.....	182

- APPENDIX A: AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO OBTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVALS
- APPENDIX B: ENVIRONMENT ACT LICENCE PROPOSAL FORM
- APPENDIX C: PUBLIC CONSULTATION REPORT
- APPENDIX D: RED RIVER HYDROLOGY REPORT
- APPENDIX E: REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN FOR UPLAND WORKS
- APPENDIX F: INVENTORY OF VEGETATION IN THE STUDY AREA
- APPENDIX G: RED RIVER DISRAELI BRIDGE SEDIMENT STABILITY ANALYSIS

LIST OF TABLES

Table E.1	Summary of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Disraeli Bridges Project during Construction	iv
Table E.2	Summary of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Disraeli Bridges Project during Operation	vi
Table 1.1	Construction Schedule	5
Table 2.1	List of factors to be considered in the Disraeli Bridges	17
Table 3.1	Summary of April 13-14 Open Houses comment forms' multiple-choice questions	22
Table 5.1	Vertical navigation clearances of the proposed and existing Disraeli Bridges as well as the bridges immediately upstream and downstream of the Project site.....	35
Table 5.2	Laboratory analytical results for sediment samples collected in February and March, 2010 at the proposed pier SU6 location for the Disraeli Bridges Project (data provided by AECOM)	47
Table 5.3	Schedule for the new river bridge upland works.....	52
Table 5.4	Construction schedule for the new river bridge in-channel works.....	52
Table 6.1	Summary of historical meteorological data collected at Winnipeg, MB (1978-2007) (calculated from daily data supplied by Environment Canada 2010)	56
Table 6.2	Monthly prevailing wind conditions at Winnipeg, MB (2003-2007) (calculated from hourly data supplied by Environment Canada 2010)	56
Table 6.3	Rainfall intensity (mm) at Winnipeg, MB (1967-1996) (Environment Canada 2010)	57
Table 6.4	Summary of short-term maximum and annual average observed concentrations of air pollutants at Winnipeg monitoring sites for 2003-2006 (from NAPS 2008).....	59
Table 6.5	Soil sample laboratory analytical results, February 2010; Disraeli Bridge – West River Bank / West of Bridge	65
Table 6.6	Red River vegetation description and classification in the Local Study Area (from Dillon 2009)	71
Table 6.7	Wildlife species observed along the Red River riparian zone in the fall, 1992 (after MacPlan 1994 and InterGroup 2001)	72
Table 6.8	Red River monthly average discharge at the Disraeli Bridge (from Bruce Harding 2010)	76
Table 6.9	Red River Flood Hydrology (from Bruce Harding 2010).....	76
Table 6.10	Monocyclic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (MAH and PAH) concentrations in surface water upstream (US) and downstream (DS) of the existing river bridge; 1994 and 2007. Units are µg/L unless otherwise noted. See Figure 6.7 for station locations. Values in boldfaced type exceed the <i>Manitoba Water Quality Standards, Objectives and Guidelines</i> (MWQSOG) for the protection of aquatic life. Data summarized from CH2M Hill (1995) and UMA (2007b).	80

Table 6.11	Total metal concentrations in surface water upstream (US) and downstream (DS) of the existing river bridge; 2007. Units are µg/L unless otherwise noted. See Figure 6. 7 for station locations. Bolded values exceed the <i>Manitoba Water Quality Standards, Objectives and Guidelines</i> (MWQSOG) for the protection of aquatic life. Data summarized from UMA (2007b).....	81
Table 6.12	Red River water quality in the vicinity of the Disraeli Bridge in 2007 (March 12-13 and August 28-29) and the Redwood Bridge in 2009 (May to November). Values are the mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values. Units are mg/L unless otherwise noted. See Figure 6. 7 for station locations. Bolded values exceed the <i>Manitoba Water Quality Standards, Objectives and Guidelines</i> (MWQSOG) for the protection of aquatic life. Data used to calculate the mean, SD, minimum and maximum values are from ENSR (2007), UMA (2007b) and City of Winnipeg (2010b).....	82
Table 6.13	Grain particle size composition, total organic carbon and hydrocarbon concentrations in sediment sampled from the Red River within the Disraeli Bridge study site; 1995-2007. Values are mg/kg (dry weight) unless otherwise noted. Shaded and bolded values exceed the CCME interim sediment quality guideline (ISQG) and the probable effect level (PEL), respectively. Data from Agassiz (1996), North/South (2003), UMA (2003) and ENSR (2007).....	87
Table 6.14	Total organic carbon and metal concentrations in sediment sampled from the Red River within the Disraeli Bridge study site; 2003. Values are mg/kg (dry weight) unless otherwise noted. Shaded and bolded values exceed the CCME interim sediment quality guideline (ISQG) and the probable effect level (PEL), respectively. Data from North/South (2003) and UMA (2003).....	88
Table 6.15	Grain particle size composition, and hydrocarbon concentrations in sediment sampled from the Red River within the Disraeli Bridge study site; 2008-2010. Values are mg/kg (dry weight) unless otherwise noted. Shaded and bolded values exceed the CCME interim sediment quality guideline (ISQG) and the probable effect level (PEL), respectively. Data from AECOM (2008-2010 unpublished data).....	89
Table 6.16	Mean density (individuals/ m ²) of benthic invertebrates collected in 1995 and 2003 within the proposed Disraeli Bridge study area. See Figure 6.9 for station locations. Data from Agassiz (1996) and North/South (2003).....	94
Table 6.17	Fish species occurring in the Red River watershed in Manitoba (after Stewart and Watkinson 2004)	96
Table 6.18	COSEWIC and SARA status of aquatic fauna in the Red River at Winnipeg.....	98
Table 6.19	River substrate within the local study area at the Project.....	99
Table 6.20	Manitoba Economic Characteristics (2004 - 2008).....	102
Table 6.21	Population and population density for neighbourhoods within the Regional Study Area.....	106
Table 6.22	Mode of transportation to place of work for neighbourhoods in the regional study area; includes employed labour force that is 15 years and older	107
Table 6.23	Proximity to First Nations Reserves.....	132
Table 7.1	Summary of changes to vegetation within the local study area at the Disraeli Bridges Project	147



Table 7.2	Flow conditions and maximum bed stresses in the Red River simulated under various flow rates for current, construction, and operation scenarios at the Disraeli Bridges Project	152
Table 7.3	Mean polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) concentrations in: (i) porewater from sediments collected within the temporary work bridge area of the Red River at the Disraeli Bridge (ENSR 2007); (ii) mean river water calculated from UMA (2007) and CH2MHill (1995); and (iii) estimated concentrations of river water upon mixing with pore water. Shaded values exceed the <i>Manitoba Water Quality Standard, Objectives, and Guidelines</i> for the protection of aquatic life (MWQSOG; Williamson 2002). Units are µg/L. DL- detection limit.	155
Table 7.4	Summary of river substrate alterations within the local study	159
Table 7.5	Summary of river substrate in the local study area before and after the bridge works	163
Table 7.6	Water quality parameters.....	179
Table 7.7	Regular inspection and maintenance schedule for the Project	181

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	General location of proposed works	3
Figure 2.1	Regional study area	13
Figure 2.2	Local study area.....	14
Figure 2.3	Project site.....	15
Figure 5.1	Sutherland former MGP extent of soil and sediment contamination	30
Figure 5.2	New river bridge general arrangement	33
Figure 5.3	West temporary work bridge cross section.....	41
Figure 5.4	River bridge pier caisson general arrangement.....	43
Figure 6.1	Windrose (2003-2007), Winnipeg, Manitoba	58
Figure 6.2	Pre-existing soil and sediment contamination in the Project area.....	61
Figure 6.3	Historic soil sample and borehole locations from February 2010	62
Figure 6.4a-b	North bank looking: (a) downstream west of and (b) upstream east of existing river bridge (Dillon 2009).....	69
Figure 6.5a-b	South bank looking: (a) downstream west of and (b) upstream east of existing river bridge (Dillon 2009).....	69
Figure 6.6	Vegetation classification (City of Winnipeg Naturalist Services 2009).....	70
Figure 6.7	Historic Water Sample Locations.....	79
Figure 6.8	Historic Sediment Sample Locations	86
Figure 6.9	Historic Benthic Invertebrate Sample Locations.....	93
Figure 6.10	Fish habitat within the local study area at the Disraeli Bridges Project.....	101
Figure 6.11	City of Winnipeg Neighbourhood Clusters Map (City of Winnipeg 2006).....	104
Figure 6.12	Winnipeg Neighbourhood Map with Regional Study Area; (City of Winnipeg 2006)	105
Figure 6.13	Land zoning (provided by City of Winnipeg, 2010c).....	130
Figure 7.1	Effects of the Project on vegetation	142
Figure 7.2	Landscaping	148
Figure 7.3	Work areas within the Red River channel at the Disraeli Bridges Project	161