	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
V	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
V	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other

_Address _

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
\checkmark	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name	Gregg Browning Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.	
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.	
X	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.	
X	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.	
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs	
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.	
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.	
X	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.	
X	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.	
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.	
X	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.	
×	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.	
×	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other 5 pletting rolemes reden	as safety
Name _	BOB (XXFORD) Address_	m.

V	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
V	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
V	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
7	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other

NameRobert Vlahos Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
X	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
X	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name	dam kubs Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
V	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
W,	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
4	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
U	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
U	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
U	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name	SHERI KIDD Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.	
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.	
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.	
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.	
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs	
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.	
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.	
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.	
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.	
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.	
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.	
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.	
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other	
Nam	REALLY HULMS Address.	ca

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name_	Elqis areiqish Address_

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other

Name Sylvia wisht Address 5

1	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
$\sqrt{}$	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
/	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
1	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
\checkmark	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
\checkmark	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
$\sqrt{}$	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
$\sqrt{}$	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe
Ш	professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
1/	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other

Name Matt Stevenson Address_

RE - Proposed New Hazardous Waste Facility on Sabrina in Headingley This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community. I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem. A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions. The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley. The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky. There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk. Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg. The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license. No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision. There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth. The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley. I believe this requires a formal hearing or other I TES, I SUPPORT THIS FULLY Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
7	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
1	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name _	eila Popaj Address_

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name	Hadress Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
J	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
J	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
\square	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
	297h

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
M	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
N.	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
J	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name _	Evan and Address_

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
V	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
V	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
\square	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
d	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
T.	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name C	hanse Tice Address. Onellet

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
V	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
V	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
V	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
V	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
V	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name &	Address_

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
V	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
$\sqrt{}$	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
V	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
	Common Variation

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
$\sqrt{}$	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
V	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
V	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
	David City

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
V	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
V	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
U	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
Name	RAIG TALAGA Address

	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
V	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
V	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
V	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
0	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other

Name Ashley SwuchelAddress_

- 455

$\sqrt{}$	This proposal is completely out of character and in too close proximity to our upscale single family residential community.
/	I believe that this location is so low and wet that it could reasonably be expected to create high flood risk and a very difficult clean up problem.
	A key element of stewardship is the concept that the producer should look after his own waste, I believe that Headingley should not take responsibility for wastes from other jurisdictions.
	The applicant, Prairieview Terminals is a shell company with an out of province director and is not sufficiently known locally to be trusted with hazardous waste in Headingley.
	The applicant appears to want to undertake a significant amount of unprocessed
	waste transfer and is seeking approval for unlimited commodities which could include PCBs
	A propane storage facility and a flammable hazardous waste facility in close proximity do not make sense. As well an unprotected rail crossing leading to both seems very risky.
\checkmark	There is a risk of contaminating the aquifer because of wells and septic fields in the community and this could put community water at risk.
	Headingley firefighters are not trained or equipped to fight a fire or spill at such a facility. Eg Speedway fire in 2013 in Winnipeg.
\checkmark	The proposal suggests the waste will be diluted and burned while it is clearly illegal to burn unprocessed waste oil in Manitoba and they have not even sought a processing license.
	No public access to independent material to understand and evaluate the risks and
	there does not appear to be 24 hour supervision.
	There are three experienced national collectors in Manitoba who do a safe
ш	professional job on processing and recycling this same waste. I see no need for an inexperienced absentee fourth.
<u></u>	The proposal suggests incorrectly there are net economic benefits when the facts are that the majority of Winnipeg's waste oil is already being recycled. This would only represent a transfer of existing economic activity plus a transfer of unnecessary risk activity to Headingley.
	I believe this requires a formal hearing or other
V	