

DATE: 2021-05-20

TO: **Elise Dagick**
Environmental Approvals Branch
Manitoba Conservation & Climate
1007 Century Street
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0W4

FROM: **Archaeological Assessment
Services Unit**
Historic Resources Branch
Main Floor – 213 Notre Dame Avenue
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 1N3

SUBJECT: **EAP 6101.00 – MI – PTH 100 and PR 200 (St. Mary's Road)**
AAS File No. AAS-21-16865

No concerns at this time.

As identified in the Environmental Act Proposal, a heritage resource impact assessment (HRIA) is being conducted for the project and, therefore, the Archaeological Assessment Services Unit (AASU) has no further heritage resource concerns at this time.

If at any time, however, heritage resources are encountered in association with these lands during testing and development, the Historic Resources Branch may require that an acceptable heritage resource management strategy be implemented by the developer to mitigate the effects of development on the heritage resources.

If you have any questions or comments, contact the Archaeological Assessment Services Unit above.

DATE: May 14, 2021

TO: Elise Dagdick
Environmental Approvals Branch
Conservation and Climate
1007 Century Street
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0W4

FROM: Environmental Compliance and
Enforcement
Conservation and Climate
1007 Century Street Winnipeg
MB R3H 0W4

SUBJECT: Environment Act Proposal – Manitoba Infrastructure – PTH 100 and PR 200 (St. Mary’s Road) Interchange (File No. 6101.00)

Manitoba Conservation and Climate, Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (ECE) Branch has reviewed the above noted Environmental Act Proposal for the proposed Interchange Construction related to PTH 100 and PR 200 (St. Mary’s Road), and has the following comments:

1. Section 3.2.9.2 Pipe loading analysis and evaluation (page 19): States it requires analysis and evaluation of special requirements for any construction nearby. What is the risk of failure to this sewer work? Special requirements will need to include an emergency response plan/contingency plan should a failure occur during construction.
2. Section 3.2.13 Noise (page 21 and 22): Are there any additional details (e.g., design components. Fence, bio-products, concrete, etc.) related to the sound attenuation barrier?
3. Section 3.2.14 Lighting (page 22) Permanent interchange lighting will need to be addressed at any public engagement process. ECE has responded to complaints regarding lighting, especially in residential areas.
4. Section 3.3.4 Design (page 24) Driven steel HP piles are recommended. What is the noise level expected from driving these piles? Have noise mitigation measures been specifically addressed for this phase of construction? The applicant will need to provide specific mitigations for the driving of piles where residential areas are adjacent (e.g., pile driving only during certain hours, certain durations, or type of equipment)
5. Section 8.4.1 Release of/Exposure to Pollutants (page 67):
 - I. Construction: Accidental spills and off-site migration of pollutants. Text states “with appropriate mitigation measures”. ECE requires additional and specific information on these mitigation measures, including contingency plans. Or is Table 9.1 being referred to?
 - II. Effects of nuisance noise and vibration (page 67 and 68): ECE needs specific information on the appropriate mitigation, including contingency plans.
6. Section 9 Mitigation Measures
 - I. Text says mitigation measures “have not been detailed at this time”. In addition text states, “noise by-laws shall be complied with.” ECE requests that the specific noise by-laws be identified and will include any conditions/mitigations be identified.
7. Noise and pollutant complaints can be common with these types of projects. How will the proponent address public complaints during construction and/or when in operation?

From: +WPG530 - Drinking Water - Environmental (CC)
Sent: April-07-21 7:55 AM
To: Dagdick, Elise (CC)
Subject: RE: Request for Review/Comment - Environment Act Proposal -- File 6101.00 - Due May 14, 2021

No concerns.

Cory Vitt, CMMA M.Eng. P.Eng.
Approvals Engineer
Office of Drinking Water
Department of Conservation and Climate

From: Kiss, Brian (ARD)
Sent: April-16-21 10:49 AM
To: Dagdick, Elise (CC)
Subject: RE: Request for Review/Comment - Environment Act Proposal -- File 6101.00 - Due May 14, 2021

No wildlife related concerns.

-Brian Kiss

From: Popowich, Matt (CC)
Sent: May-14-21 1:40 PM
To: Dagdick, Elise (CC)
Subject: RE: Request for Review/Comment - Environment Act Proposal -- File 6101.00 - Due May 14, 2021

Hello Elise,

I have reviewed the Environment Act Proposal; the Waste Diversion and Recycling Unit has no comments on the proposal.

Regards,

Matt Popowich
Senior Policy Analyst
Waste Diversion and Recycling Unit
Environmental Programs and Remediation Branch
Manitoba Conservation and Climate